

ENVIRONNEMENT CANADA
SERVICE CANADIEN DES FORETS

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

Aménagement polyvalent de la Forêt
aux fins d'usages direct et indirect

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Multiple-use Forest Management
and
Non-consumptive Forest Use

par

R.J. Hatcher

Centre de Recherches forestières des Laurentides

AVANT-PROPOS

Cette bibliographie a été préparée comme outil de travail pour un projet de foresterie urbaine de la section Liaison, Aménagement et Développement, Centre de Recherches forestières des Laurentides. Un nombre très restreint de copies a été envoyé au personnel du Service canadien des Forêts ainsi qu'aux individus travaillant à contrat pour notre section.

Ce document n'est pas un simple réaménagement, avec sous-divisions, d'une publication du Centre de Recherches forestières des Laurentides, sous presse, et intitulée "Document n° 2 - Aménagement polyvalent de la forêt: une bibliographie rétrospective 1960-1973" par S. Richer. Plusieurs références se trouvent dans les deux bibliographies mais bien d'autres se trouvent ou dans l'une ou dans l'autre.

FOREWORD

This bibliography was prepared to be used as a reference paper for an urban forestry project of the Liaison, Management and Development Section of the Laurentian Forest Research Centre. A very limited number of copies have been distributed to Canadian Forestry Service personnel and persons working on contracts for the Service.

This paper is not a sub-divided version of a Laurentian Forest Research Centre library publication, in press, entitled "Document n° 2 - Aménagement polyvalent de la forêt: une bibliographie rétrospective 1960-1973" by S. Richer. Although many references appear in both bibliographies, many others may be found only in one or the other.

Robert J. Hatcher,
Chef de section, Liaison,
Aménagement et Développement,
Centre de Recherches forestières
des Laurentides,
Case postale 3800,
Ste-Foy, Québec, G1V 4C7.

5 octobre 1973.

AMENITY

1. Alessandrini, A. 1968. *I Withered trees.* Ital. For. Mont. 23(6):282-285.
- *2. Atkinson, A.A. and I.M. Robinson. 1969. *Amenity resources for urban living. The quality of the urban environment.* John Hopkins Press Ltd., Baltimore, Md.
3. Betolaud, Y. 1968. *Forest and urban civilization.* Rev. For. Fr. 20(9):535-549.
4. *The case against conifer afforestation.* Forestry Action Group, Pwlliwrch, Darowen, Machynlleth, Montgomeryshire. 3rd ed. 1971. 2 p.
- *5. Charlton, N. 1973. *Can we think again about amenity?* Q. J. For. 57(2): 119-122.
6. *Countryside Act 1968. Chapter 41,* H.M. Stationery Office, London. 1968. 62 p.
7. Downing, M.F. 1972. *Forestry and the picturesque tradition.* Q.J.For. 66(2):103-112.
8. Edlin, H.L. 1963. *Amenity values in British forestry.* Forestry 36(1): 65-89.
9. Fairbrother, N. 1970. *New lives, new landscapes.* Architectural Press, London. 397 p.
10. Kelly, D.D. and D.A. Black. 1972. *User survey in Coromandel Forest Park.* N.Z.J.For. 17(1):43-51.
11. Shafer, E.L. Jr. and J. Meitz. 1970. *It seems possible to quantify scenic beauty in photographs.* USDA Forest Serv. Res. Paper NE-162. 12 p.
12. Strand, H. 1970. *Production of wood or amenities--decision problems.* Tidsskr. Skogbruk. 78(2):320-323.
13. Streeby, L.L. 1970. *Scenic management impact on other forest activities.* J. For. 68(7):430-432.
14. Wigg, L.T. 1969. *Forestry in a national park: the Exmoor excursion, 1968.* Forestry 42(1):37-46.
15. Yarrow, C. 1966. *A preliminary survey of the public's concern concepts of amenity in British forestry.* Forestry 39(1):59-67.

* - References not found in Forestry Abstracts.

ECONOMICS

- *1. Balmer, W.E. and G. Dutrow. 1973. Financial evaluation of recreation alternatives in hardwood management. J.For. 71(1):23-25.
2. Beardsley, W. 1970. Economic value of recreation benefits determined by three methods. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note RM-176. 4 p.
- *3. Chappelle, D.E. 1971. A resource economist look at recreation research. Michigan State University, Dept. Res. Dev., East Lansing, Mich. 17 p.
4. Clawson, M. and J.L. Knetsch. 1966. Economics of outdoor recreation. Resources for the Future, Wash., D.C. 328 p. (The Hopkins Press, Baltimore, Mary.)
- *5. Edminister, R.R. and O.L. Harline. 1962. An economic study of the proposed canyonlands national park and related recreational resources. Univ. Utah Bur. Econ. Bus. Res., Salt Lake City, Utah. 176 p.
6. Farquhar, J.D. 1967. An approach to the economic allocation and use of resources for forestry and allied purposes. Diss. Abstr. 27A(9):2666.
7. Fischer, D.W. 1969. Planning, programming and budgeting for recreational investments in the U.S. Forest Service: a case study in regional resource economics. Diss. Abstr. 29A(10):3300-3301.
8. Flora, D.F. 1967. The total economic impact of forest land withdrawals. Proc. Annu. Wash. State For. Conf. 46:39 p.
9. Gillespie, G.A. and D. Brewer. 1969. An economic model for predicting water-oriented outdoor recreation demand. U.S. Econ. Res.Serv. ERS-402. 15 p.
10. Gray, J.R. and G.E. Garruthers. 1966. Economic impact of recreational developments in the reserve ranger district. N.M. State Univ. Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 515. 25 p.
11. Grayson, A.J. 1972. Valuation of non-wood benefits. G.B. For.Comm. Res. Dev. Pap. No. 93. 10 p.
12. Hagenstein, P.R. and B. Dowdle. 1962. A theoretical model for examining forest land use alternatives. J. For. 60(3):187-191.
13. Hakansson, H. and B. Hagglund. 1969. [Example of nature-conservation costs in forestry.] Sver. Skogsvarvsforb. Tidskr. 67(5):459-480.
14. Hamill, L. 1963. A preliminary study of the status and use of the forest resources of western Oregon in relation to some objectives of public policy. Diss. Abstr. 24(3):1134.

15. Hardin, G. 1969. The economics of wilderness. *Nat. Hist.* 78:20-27.
16. Hopkins, F.S. Jr. et al. 1973. Planning for the future in outdoor recreation: an economic viewpoint. *For. Chron.* 49(2):71-75.
17. Pabst, H. 1969. [Forest recreation as an economic problem.] *Allg. Forst. Jagdzt.* 140(5):111-120.
18. Pearse, P.H. 1968. A new approach to the evaluation of non-priced recreational resources. *Land Econ.* 44(1):87-99.
19. Sartorius, P. and H. Henle. 1968. *Forestry and economic development.* Frederick A. Praeger, New York. 340 p.
20. Seckler, D.W. 1966. On the uses and abuses of economic science in evaluating public outdoor recreation. *Land Econ.* 42(4):485-494.
- *21. Sewell, W.R.D. et al. 1961. Guide to benefit-cost analysis. Resources for Tomorrow Conference, Montréal.
22. Strand, H. 1967. [Outdoor recreation from an economic viewpoint.] *Medd. Nor. Skogforsoksves.* 22(84):157-187.
23. Supply costs for public forest and recreation. *Mich. Agric. Exp. Stn. Res. Rep. Nat. Resour.* 158. 1972. 20 p.
- *24. Taylor, I.C. 1969. Economic impact of provincial park location. Dep. Treasury and Economics Regional Development Branch, Ottawa.
25. Teegarden, D.E. and K.R. Werner. 1968. Integrating forest-oriented recreation with timber growing--a case study of economic factors. *Calif. Agric.* 22(10):10-13.
26. Wayt, W.A. et al. 1968. A look at commercial recreation on small woodlands in Ohio. *U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap.* NE-101. 11 p.

EDUCATION

1. Brown, J. 1965. Forestry and school children. Q. J. For. 59(4): 279-284.
2. Cameron, R.D. 1967. Management plans for school forest gardens and woodland nature reserves. Q. J. For. 61(3):222-226.
3. Dana, S.T. and E.W. Johnson. 1964. Selected bibliography on education in forestry and related fields of natural resources management. J. For. 62(8):541-549.
4. Forestry and the town school. Forestry Commission, London. 1959. 19 p.
5. Forestry education for the public in Canada. Canadian Forestry Association, Ottawa. 1962. 31 p.
6. Grant, W. 1971. Trees are for people: a transatlantic study of methods and techniques for the educational aspects of wildlife conservation and outdoor recreation in forests. G.B. For. Comm. Res. Dev. Pap. No. 83. 25 p.
7. Hamilton, L.S. and M.M. Fischer. 1970. The Arnot Forest: a natural resources research and teaching area [in the state of New York]. Cornell Ext. Bull. 1207. 30 p.
- *8. Hammerman, D.R. and W.M. Hammerman. 1967. Teaching in the outdoors. Burgers Publishing Company, Minneapolis, Minn. 120 p.
9. Schauer, W. 1967. [The importance of wooded nature reserves for science and forestry]. Arch. Forstwes. 16(1):77-90.
10. Seymour, W. 1965. Woodland management and forestry education. Q.J.For. 59(3):217-221.
- *11. Smith, J.W. et al. 1963. Outdoor education. Prentice Hall Company, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.

INFLUENCE OF MAN

1. Barton, M.A. 1969. Water pollution in remote recreational areas. J. Soil Water Conserv. 24(4):132-134.
2. Bernatzky, A. 1969. The role of protective plantations in the abatement of air pollution. In Air Pollution, Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen. pp. 383-395.
3. Cieslinski, T.J. and J.A. Wagar. 1970. Predicting the durability of forest recreation sites in northern Utah--preliminary results. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note INT-117. 7 p.
4. Ciortuz, I. 1971. The influence of tourism on the anti-erosion function of the forest. I Bul. Inst. Polit. Brasov (Ser. B:Econ. For.) 13:73-77.
5. Darling, F.F. 1968. Impacts of man on the biosphere. Unasylva 22(2):3-13.
6. Dotzenko, A.D. et al. 1967. Effect of recreational use on soil and moisture condition in Rocky Mountain National Park. J. Soil Water Conserv. 22(5):196-197.
7. Duffey, E., ed. 1967. The biotic effects of public pressures on the environment: Monks Wood Experimental Station Symposium No. 3. Nature Conservancy, London. 178 p.
8. LaPage, W.F. 1972. Recreation and the forest site. J. For. 60(5): 319-321.
9. Leonard, R.E. and S.B. Parr. 1970. Trees as a sound barrier. J.For. 68(5):282-283.
10. MacLeod, J.G. 1972. Whose forests are these, anyway? Pulp Paper Mag.Can. 73(1):115-117.
11. Magill, A.W. 1970. Five California campgrounds...conditions improve after 5 years'recreational use. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. PSW-62. 18 p.
12. Merriam, L.C. Jr. et al. 1971. A progress report on the condition of newly established campsites in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area. Minn. For. Res. Notes No. 232. 4 p.
13. Mesnil, H. and R. Minair. 1963. Le tourisme et la forêt dans le nord de la France. I Tourism and the forest in the north of France.I Rev.For. Fr. 15(7):580-593.

- *14. Milliken, J.G. and H.E. Mew Jr. 1969. Recreational impact of reclamation reservoirs. U.S. Dep. of Industry, Washington, D.C. 18 p.
- *15. Muratori, A.Jr. 1968. How outboards contribute to water pollution. N.Y. Conserv. 22(6):6-8, 34.
16. Neumann, P.W. and H.G. Merriam. 1972. Ecological effects of snowmobiles. Can. Field Nat. 86(3):207-212.
17. Pfeffer, A. 1969. [The effect of recreation on the forest.] Lesnictvi 15(8):727-742.
18. Ripley, T.H. 1962. Recreation impact on Southern Appalachian campground and picnic sites. U.S. For. Serv. Southeast. For. Exp. Stn. Stn. Pap. 153. 20 p.
19. Ripley, T.H. 1962. Tree and shrub response to recreation use. U.S. For. Serv. Southeast. For. Exp. Stn. Res. Note 171. [2 p.]
20. Ryle, G.B. 1961. Forest and parks. Country-man 1961:555-562.
21. Ryle, G.B. 1966. The ecological impact of recreation and tourism upon the forest lands of Britain with special reference to the New Forest. Pap. 9th General Assembly, International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources. IUCN R.T. 10/1/2. B3. 8 p.
22. Vos, A. de and R.H. Bailey. 1970. The effect of logging and intensive camping on vegetation in Riding Mountain National Park [Manitoba]. For. Chron. 46(1):49-55.
23. Wagar, J.A. 1964. The carrying capacity of wild lands for recreation. For. Sci. Monogr. No. 7. 24 p.

MANAGEMENT

1. Administrative policies for natural areas of the national park system. U.S. Dep. of the Interior, National Park Service, Washington, D.C. 1970. 147 p.
2. Administrative policies for recreation areas of the national park system. U.S. Dep. of the Interior, National Park Service, Washington, D.C. 1968. 108 p.
3. Algonquin Park: a test case for Canada. Pulp Paper Mag. Can. 70(1):40-47.
4. Alston, R.M. 1972. Forest-goals and decision making in the Forest Service. U.S. For. Serv. Pap. INT-128. 84 p.
5. Amidon, E. and E. M. Gould Jr. 1962. The possible impact of recreation development on timber production in three California National Forests. U.S. For. Serv. Pac. Southwest For. Range Exp. Stn. Techn. Pap. 68. 21 p.
6. Bell, F. 1970. An example of optimization techniques in land management: the Eldorado model. Repr. from Modelling and Systems Analysis in Range Science. Colorado State University. pp. 75-87.
7. Bernetti, G. 1971. I Nature and sentiment, exotic species and clear felling. I Ital. For. Mont. 26(1):20-22.
8. Bitterlich, W. 1967. I General evaluation of forest influences and amenity for forests close to centres of population. I Proc. 14th Congr. Int. Union For. Res. Organ., Munich 1967, Pt. VII Sect. 26:406-414.
9. Bittig, B. 1972. I Theoretical forest policy (1). I Schweiz. Z. Forstwes. 123(6):364-371.
10. Bracey, H.E. 1970. People and the country-side. Routledge & Kegan Paul, London. 310 p.
11. Burch, W.R. Jr. 1964. Two concepts for guiding recreation management decisions. J. For. 62:707-712.
12. The Canada Land Inventory ARDA. Rep. No. 6, 1969. Land capability classification for outdoor recreation. Can. Dep. Reg. Econ. Expansion, Ottawa. 114 p.
13. Canadian Pulp and Paper Association. 1972. Proceedings of the seminar on "The Forest Environment", held at Lakehead University, Thunder Bay, Ontario, from May 22 to 26, 1972. Canadian Pulp and Paper Association, Montréal. 95 p.
14. Cate D.F. 1964. Recreation and the U.S. Forest Service: a study of organizational response to changing demands. Diss. Abstr. 24(8):3408.

15. Chubb, M. 1967. Outdoor recreation planning in Michigan by a system analysis approach. Part III. The practical application of "Program RECYS and SYMAP". Mich. Dep. Conserv. Game Div. Tech. Rep. 12. 298 p.
- *16. Cicchetti, C.J. et al. 1970. The demand and supply of outdoor recreation: an econometric analysis. Bureau of Economics Research, Rutgers University.
17. Conservation of the New Forest. Forestry Commission, London. 1970. 96 p.
18. Cooper, D.S. and W.L. Hotaling. 1970. Ecology and land use of the Supply Ponds Natural Area, Branford, Connecticut. School of Forestry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut. 56 p.
19. Corti, R. 1968. I National parks and forest reserves in the framework of nature conservation in Tuscany. I Ital. For. Mont. 23(5):205-220.
20. Crowther, C.R. 1968. Forest management and the recreation specialist. J. For. 66(11):838-841.
21. Dahlsten, D.L. et al. 1969. Insect problems in forest recreation areas. Calif. Agric. 23(7):4-6.
- *22. Les différents types de parcs et de réserves provinciaux du Québec. Corporation des ingénieurs forestiers de la province de Québec. 1972.
- *23. Dixon, R.M. L 1972? I Conflicts in forest management. Proc. 7th World For. Congr. Buenos Aires 1972.
- *24. Driver, B.L., ed. 1970. Elements of outdoor recreation planning. University of Michigan, East Lansing, Mich. 316 p.
25. Duchesneau, M. 1970. I Aspects of forest policy in Québec. I Pulp Paper Mag. Can. 71(21):81-84.
26. Dufrane, F. 1972. La forêt des loisirs et une réalisation limbourgeoise. Bull. Soc. R. For. Belg. 79(3):209-219.
27. Dyer, A.A. and R.S. Whaley. 1968. Predicting use of recreational sites. Utah Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 477. 21 p.
28. Dyne, G.M. van, ed. 1969. The ecosystem concept in natural resource management. Academic Press, New York & London. 383 p.
29. Edlin, H. 1969. Fifty years of Forest Parks. Commonw. For. Rev. 48(2):113-126.

- *30. Falardeau, J.G. 1972. La vallée de la rivière Jacques-Cartier sauvegardée et mise en valeur à l'intérieur d'un parc reconsidéré au niveau de l'aménagement du territoire. C.R. Corp. Ing. For. Prov. Qué. 52:??p.
- *31. Fenwick, N.J. 1969. Regional Parks Study. The Montreal Parks and Playgrounds Assoc. Inc., Montréal.
- 32. Financing of outdoor recreation. U.S. Dep. of the Interior, Bureau of outdoor recreation, Washington, D.C. 1966. 5 p.
- 33. Fontaine, R. 1971. I Foresters and the biosphere.I Ital. For. Mont. 26(4):137-140.
- 34. Fontaine, R.G. 1971. The forestry sector in today's setting. Unasyuva 25(1):9-14.
- 35. Forestry policy. London, UK, Her Majesty's Stationary Office. I 1972 I 15 p.
- 36. I Forests and forestry in Canton Fribourg.I Schweiz. Z. Forstwes. 121(8):539-633.
- *37. Foss, P.O. 1966. The issues underlying outdoor recreation policy. ORRRC Study Rep. 22.
- 38. Frappier, G. et al. 1970. Eléments d'un programme d'interprétation de la nature. Min. Tourisme Chasse Pêche, Dir. Parcs, Québec. 10 p.
- 39. Gardner, R.B. 1971. Forest road standards as related to economics and the environment. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note INT-145. 4 p.
- *40. Garnica, M.N. I 1972? I Urban pressure in the forest: the case of Madrid. Proc. 7th World For. Congr. Buenos Aires, 1972.
- 41. Gathy, P. La forêt protectrice. I The protective forest.I Bull. Soc. For. Belg. 69(3):150-167.
- *42. Goddard, M.K. 1972. Recreational opportunities in an intensively managed forest. Proc. 7th World For. Congr. Buenos Aires, 1972.
- 43. Gold, S.M. 1969. Concepts for outdoor recreation planning. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Michigan, East Lansing, Mich. 438 p.
- *44. Goodall, B. and J.B. Whittow. The recreational potential of forestry commission holdings. Univ. Reading, Dep. Geogr.
- 45. Gombrich, L. 1971. Forestry and the environment. For. Abstr. 32(1):8-13.

46. Green, A.W. 1964. Recreation, leisure and politics. McGraw-Hill, N.Y. 193 p.
47. Heath, E.I. 1964. Comparison of recreational development plans for a northern Maine wilderness tract. Maine Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 628. 51 p.
48. Heinzelman, M.L. 1965. Vegetation management in wilderness areas and primitive parks in the U.S.A. *J. For.* 63(6):440-445.
49. Hendee, J.C. and R.V. Harris. 1970. Foresters' perception of wilderness-user attitudes and preferences. *J. For.* 68:759-762.
50. Hendee, J.C. et al. 1971. A typology of outdoor recreation activity preferences. *J. Environ. Educ.* 3(1):28-34.
- *51. Heytze, J.C. 1971. Open air recreation with the state forest administration. Paper Elmia Conference on Environmental Preservation and Modern Forestry, Sweden.
52. Hopkins, F.S.Jr. 1961. Potential supply of forest products. In *Dynamics of Land Use: Needed adjustment*. Iowa State University Press, Ames. pp. 140-157.
- *53. Hopkins, W.S. and W.F. LaPage. 1966. The future of American forest recreation areas. *Proc. 6th World For. Congr. Madrid, 1966*.
- *54. Johnson, H.A. 1962. Private enterprise in the development of outdoor recreation. *USDA Econ. Res. Serv.* 20 p.
- *55. Johnson, H.A. 1966. Opportunities and limitations in private recreation development. *USDA Econ. Res. Serv.* 19 p.
56. Juon, P. 1967. Nature conservation in riverain flood-plains. *Schweiz. Z. Forstwes.* 118(6):373-398.
57. Kalter, R.J. and L.E. Gosse. 1969. Outdoor recreation in New York State: projections of demand, economic value, and pricing effects for the period 1970-1985. *N.Y. State Coll. Agric. Cornell Univ. Spec. Cornell Ser.* 5. 57 p.
58. Kopp, H., ed. 1969. *Great Britain. Allg. Forstz.* 24(18): 357-391.
59. Kraus, R. 1966. Recreation today; program planning and leadership. *Appleton-Century-Crofts, New York.* 451 p.
60. *Landscape architecture and conservation. Lesnictvi* 16(9;11):765-867.

61. Landscape management handbook. U.S. For. Serv. For. Serv. Handb. 2309.21R10. 1970. 1 v.
62. LaPage, W.F. 1963. Some sociological aspects of forest recreation. J. For. 61:32-36.
63. LaPage, W.F. 1967. Recreation research and public land management goals. N.Y. For. 24(3):1-6.
64. LaPage, W.F. 1970. The mythology of outdoor recreation planning. South. Lumberman 221(2752):118-121.
65. LaPage, W.F. et al. 1972. The commercial campground industry in New Hampshire. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. NE-255. 41 p.
66. Larson, J.S. 1967. Forests, wildlife and habitat management... a critical examination of practice and need. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. SE-30.
67. Leclère, A. 1972. I Can private forests become "recreational forests"?-- An attempted summing-up on the question. I For. Privée Fr. 84:39-44.
68. Leibundgut, H. I'Integral" opening up of forests. I Forstwiss. Centralbl. 90(3):135-142.
- *69. Lewis, P.H. 1966. The outdoor recreation plan. Wisc. Dev. Ser. Dep. Resourc. Dev.
- *70. Lime, D.W. and G.H. Stankey. 1971. Carrying capacities: Maintaining outdoor recreation quality. In Recreation Symp. Proc., Syracuse, N.Y., 1971:174-184.
- *71. Lloyd, R.D. and V.L. Fischer. Dispersed versus concentrated recreation as forest policy. Proc. 7th World For. Congr. Buenos Aires, 1972.
- *72. Lucas, R.C. 1970. Natural amenities, outdoor recreation and wilderness. Univ. Montana School For.
73. Lyabel, C. 1969. I The development of road systems and the protection of forests. I Monti Boschi 20(4):5-13.
- *74. Mack, R.P. and S. Myers. 1965. Outdoor recreation. In measuring benefits of government investments. Robert Dorfman, Ed., Washington, D.C.
75. Magill, A.W. and E.C. Nord. 1963. An evaluation of campground conditions and needs for research. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note PSW-4. 8 p.

- *76. Maini, J.S. and A. Carlisle. Conservation in Canada, a conspectus conservation of urban open space. Canadian Forestry Service, Ottawa, Ont.
- 77. Maldague, M. 1970. L'aménagement de l'environnement naturel de l'Europe de demain. Rapport du thème III: Agriculture et forêts. Conf. européenne sur la conservation de la nature, Strasbourg. Conseil de l'Europe, Strasbourg. 78 p.
- 78. Maldague, M. 1971. Influence de la forêt sur l'homme et son environnement. De Toute Urgence 2(1):22-30.
- 79. Mantel, K. 1965. I New directions in forest policy.I SchrReihe Forstl. Abt. Univ. Freiburg 4:12-33.
- *80. Manthy, R.S. and L.M. James. 1969. Probable future demand on the public lands. Public Land Review Commission. 214 pp.
- *81. McCurdy, D.R. 1970. A manual for measuring public use of wildlands--parks, forests and wildlife refuges. South Ill. Univ. Dep. For. Publ. 5. 48 p.
- 82. Ménard, G. 1973. Conception de l'aménagement en milieu rural. Opérations For. 8(5):22-24.
- 83. Mineral King--a planned recreation development. U.S. For. Serv. Washington, D.C. 1969. 14 p.
- 84. Moore, E.J. 1964. Rural recreation enterprises in New England. U.S. Econ. Res. Serv. Rep. 56. 27 p.
- *85. Nash, J.B. 1962. The enlarging role of voluntary leisure-time associations in outdoor recreation and education. ORRRC Study Rep. 22:157-180.
- 86. I The National Forest Office.I Rev.For.Fr. 22 (N^o spéc.):665-808.
- 87. I The National parks of France. I Rev.For.Fr. 23 (N^o spéc.):81-248.
- 88. Nelson, J.G. 1969. Canadian parks in perspective. Harvest House Ltd., Montréal. 1969. 343 p.
- 89. Niesslein, E. 1968. I The position of recreational forests in land-use planning and in the business economics of forestry.I Centralbl. Gesamte Forstwes. 85(2):80-97.
- 90. Nixon, H.N. 1967. Population trends in relation to the national parks system. Can. Dep. Indian Aff. North. Dev. Nat. Parks Serv. Rep. 6. 23 p.

- *91. O'Gorman, F. Les conservationnistes et récréationnistes. Proc. 7th World For. Congr. Buenos Aires. 1972.
- 92. Outdoor Recreation Resources Review Commission. Study Rep. No. 3, 1962. Wilderness and recreation--a report on resources, values and problems. ORRRC, Washington, D.C. 340 p.
- 93. Outdoor recreation trends. U.S. Dep. of the Interior, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, Washington, D.C. 1967. 24 p.
- 94. Paille, G. 1971. I The possibility of integrated management.I For. Conserv. 37(1):9-12.
- *95. Les Parcs du Québec: 1894-1971. Corporation des ingénieurs forestiers de la province de Québec.
- 96. Parcs, territoires et zones analogues--éléments de prospective. Corporation des ingénieurs forestiers de la province de Québec, Québec. 1972. 153 p.
- 97. Paris, J.D. 1972. The "citification" of the forest. Pulp Paper Mag. Can. 73(9):119-120,122.
- *98. Parks and Recreation Master Plan 1970-1980. (preliminary draft). Parks and Recreation Department City Hall, Edmonton, Alberta. 1970.
- 99. Peterson, G.L. and E.S. Neumann. 1969. Modeling and predicting human response to the visual recreation environment. J. Leisure Res. 1(3):219-237.
- 100. Place, I.C.M. 1968. Land managers-not forest managers. Pulp Paper Mag. Can. 69(12):85.
- 101. Proceedings of the Lockwood conference on suburban forest and ecology. Conn. Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. No.652. 102 p.
- *102. A program for outdoor recreation research; report of a study conference 2-8 June, 1968. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C. 90 p.
- *103. Projet d'aménagement du chenal nord de l'Ile d'Orléans. Au fil des Evènements. 8(31).
- 104. Proposed Council E.E.C.I directive on the limitation of land used for agriculture. Agra-Europe, Presse-und Information dienst, Bonn. 11(19):42-54. 1970.
- *105. Public awareness program--draft report and appendices by Hough, Stansbury & Associate Ltd. Consultants & O.F.A. Assoc. Consultants. Toronto, Ont. I 1973 I

- *106. Rabinowitz, C.B. and R.E. Coughlin. 1970. Analysis of landscape characteristics relevant to preference. Reg. Sci. Res. Inst. Disc. Pap. 38.
- 107. Rankin, K.N. 1972. Forestry in the national parks. Q.J. For. 66(4):346-348.
- 108. Recreation land price escalation. U.S. Dep. of the Interior, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, Washington, D.C. 1967. 33 p.
- 109. Shafer, E.L. Jr. 1967. Forest aesthetics--a focal point in multiple use management and research. Proc. 14th Congr. Int. Union For. Res. Organ., Munich 1967; Pt. VII Sect. 26:47-71.
- 110. Shafer, E.L. Jr. et al. 1969. Natural landscape preferences: a predictive model. J. Leisure Res. 1(1):1-20.
- 111. Sickle, C.C. Van. 1971. Functional appraisal of the forest resource. J. For. 69(12):847-849.
- 112. Smith, D.M. et al. 1969. Adapting forestry to megalopolitan southern New England. J. For. 67(6):372-377.
- 113. Speidel, G. 1971. I Alternatives to sustained-yield forestry.I Forstarchiv 42(5):92-96.
- 114. Spurr, S.H. 1966. Wilderness management. Univ. Calif. Sch. For. The Horace M. Albright Conserv. Lect. VI. 17 p.
- 115. Stamp, I L. I D., ed. 1965. Planning problems in the New Forest. Adv Sci. 22(97):134-146.
- 116. Stankey, G.H. 1971. The perception of wilderness recreation carrying capacity: a geographic study in natural resources management. Ph.D. Thesis. Michigan State University, East Lansing. 351 p.
- 117. Stone, E.C. 1965. Preserving vegetation in parks and wilderness. Science 150(3701):1261-1267.
- 118. Strong, A.L. 1965. Open space for urban America. Dep. of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C. 154 p.
- 119. Supply and demand I of forest products and other resources.I In Forestry Reader. Canadian Council of Resource Ministers, Montréal. 1970. Paper 1-6. I 99 p.I
- 120. A symposium on trees and forests in an urbanizing environment. August 18-21, 1970. University of Massachussetts, Amherst. Co-operative Extension Service, University of Massachussetts, Amherst. 1971. 168 p.

121. Thornburn, G. et al. 1973. An information system for rural land-use planning. Can. Pacific For. Res. Centre, Victoria, B.C. Inf. Rep. BC-X-75. 91 p.
122. Timmermans, J. 1972. Protection de la nature, création de réserves naturelles et ouverture de la forêt au public en province du Limbourg. Bull. Soc. R. For. Belg. 79(3):203-208.
123. Towards a new relationship of man and nature in temperate lands. Part II. Town and country planning problems. Proc. 10th Tech. Mtg. Int. Union Conserv. Nature, Lucerne 1966, Pt. II. 1967. 146 p.
124. Twright, B.W. 1968. The clientele of the University of Washington Arboretum. Masters Thesis. University of Washington, Seattle, Wash. 82 p.
125. Twiss, R.H. 1969. Conflicts in forest landscape management: the need for forest environmental design. J. For. 67(1):19-23.
- *126. Tyle, G.B. 1967. The impact of the twentieth century forest upon nature conservation. Q. J. For. 61(2):114-122.
127. Tyulpanov, I Tjul'panov I, N.M. 1968. Management of forest parks. Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information, Springfield, Va. 1968. 136 p.
128. Urban open space: luxury or necessity? Bureau of Municipal Research, Toronto, Ont. 1971. 64 p.
129. Wachtmeister, H. and H. Astrand. 1967. [Aspects of the use of abandoned arable land in Sweden] K.Skogs-o. Lantbr. Akad. Tidskr. 106(6): 239-261.
130. Wagar, J.A. 1965. Cultural treatment of vegetation recreation sites. Soc. Am. For. Proc. 1965:37-39.
131. Wagar, J.A. 1966. Quality in outdoor recreation. Trends in Parks and Recreation. 3(3):9-12.
132. Westoby, J.C. 1967. Changing objectives of forest management. FAO, Rome. 15 p.
133. Wielgolaski, F.E. 1971. National parks and other protected areas in North America in relation to those in Norway and Sweden. Biol. Conserv. 3(4):265-292.

- *134. Wilderness and recreation--a report on resources, values, and problems.
ORRRC Study Rep. 3. 1962.
- 135. Willeston, H.L. and R.M. Conardo. 1970. Firebreaks of many uses.
Fire Control Notes 31(1):11-13.

MULTIPLE USE OF FOREST

1. Addy, N.D. et al. 1971. Impact of insects on multiple-use value of north-central U.S. forests: an experimental rating scheme. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. NC-57. 8 p.
2. Aird, P.L. 1970. Our forest and public concern. Pulp Paper Mag. Can. 71(23/24):139-142.
- *3. Benthem, R.J. [1972?] La Foresterie, l'homme et le paysage. Proc. 7th World For. Congr., Buenos Aires 1972.
4. The Canada Land Inventory ARDA. Rep. No. 1, January 1965. Objectives, scope and organization. Can. Dep. For., Ottawa. 12 p.
5. Clicheroux, E. 1971. [The multiple role of forests in rural areas.] Ann. Gembloux 77(4):281-295.
6. Crowther, C.R. 1963. Recreational policies and practices on commercial forest lands in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. J. For. 61(3): 205-209.
7. Ffolliott, P.F. and D.P. Worley. 1965. An inventory system for multiple use evaluation. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. RM-17. 15 p.
8. Forrest, G. 1966. Multi-purpose land use in a forest park. Scott. For. 20(1):10-16.
9. Garthwaite, P.E. 1971. Forest management for conservation, landscaping, access and sport. G.B. For. Comm. Res. Dev. Pap. No. 81. 20 p.
10. Greenleaf, R. [1964?] The integration of year round recreation and timberland management on the Passadumkeag mountain region of eastern Maine. Maine Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 616. 60 p.
11. Hall, G.R. 1963. The myth and reality of multiple use forestry. Nat. Resour. J. 3:276-290.
12. Hewlett, J.D. and J.E. Douglass. 1968. Blending forest uses. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. SE-37. 15 p.
13. Hughes, J.M. 1965. Wilderness land allocation in a multiple use forest management framework in the Pacific Northwest. Diss. Abstr. 15(1):11.
14. [Indirect significance of forests.] Schweiz. Z. Forstwes. 119(4/5): 233-399, 1968.
15. Mair, W.W. and A.S. Fleming. 1969. [I I] Forest protection implications of the multiple use concept--an industry point of view. Pulp Paper Mag. Can. 70(18):95-96,99-100.

- *16. Maldague, M. 1966. L'aménagement polyvalent des forêts. Analyse du concept et applicabilité. C.R. Congr. Corp. Ing. For. Qué. 46:67/95.
- *17. Maldague, M. et R. Fafard. 1967. Vers la réalisation de l'aménagement polyvalent des forêts. Comptes rendus du Symposium tenu à la Faculté de Foresterie et de Géodésie le 1er mars 1967.
- *18. Maldague, M. Concept d'aménagement polyvalent des forêts. Cours Ressources et Fonctions de la forêt. 1972. Faculté de Foresterie et de Géodésie de l'Université Laval, Québec, Qué.
- 19. Marks, R.T. 1968. A study of direct users of the Gallatin National Forests. U.S. Forest Service. Region 1, Bozeman, Montana. Diss. Abstr. 29B(2):428.
- 20. Martin, P. 1969. Conflict resolution through the multiple-use concept in Forest Service decision-making. Nat. Resour. J. 9:228-236.
- 21. Muir, W.D. 1962. Multiple use of forest lands. Emp. For. Rev. 41(2): 114-117.
- 22. Multiple-use: can loggers and recreationists co-exist in the forest? Pulp Pap. Mag. Can. 70(16):47-49, 1969.
- 23. Multiple use of forest lands. Proc. 5th World For. Congr., Seattle 1960 Vol. 1:141-187 [1962]
- 24. Naysmith, J.K. 1970. The future value of Canada's northern forests. For. Chron. 46(4):277-281.
- 25. Pabst, H.R. 1971. [Principles for evaluation of the social functions of forest.] Schriftenreihe der Landesforstverwaltung Baden-Wurttemberg No. 35. 123 p.
- 26. Polak, O. 1971. [The multiple-use functions of the forest. I.] Acta Univ. Agric., Brno (Fac.Silv.) 40(1):59-78.
- 27. Pressures for alternate uses of industrial forest land. Proceedings of the 6th Conference on Southern Industrial Forest Management, Duke University, Durham, N.C. [1964] 55 p.
- 28. Prodan, M. 1965. [Evaluation of forests] for multiple use. [SchrReihe Forstl. Abt. Univ. Freiburg 4:34-50.
- 29. Radel, J. 1971. [Tasks and responsibilities of forestry in the development of the "Thuringer Wald" recreational region.] Sozial. Forstw. 21(8):234-237.

30. Reiske, R.F. 1966. Soil landscapes, an index to multiple use. J. For. 64(4):230-235.
31. Reynolds, H.G. et al. 1970. Gambel for Southwestern wildlife. J. For. 68(9):545-547.
32. Rowe, J.S. and R.J. McCormack. 1967. Forestry and multiple land use. Can. Dep. For. Rural Dev., Ottawa. 9 p.
33. Ryle, G.B. 1963. The diverse uses of forests. Forestry 36(1):3-9.
34. Sterling, E.M. 1970. The myth of multiple use. Am. For. 76(6): 25-27.
35. Stewart, D.J. 1970. Where are we in the multiple use picture? Pulp Paper Mag. Can. 71(17):69-71.
- *36. Towell, W.E. et al. I 1972? I Achieving balanced use in forest conservation. Proc. 7th World For. Congr. Buenos Aires 1972.
37. Tromp, H. 1963. The influence of non-forestry factors on transport networks and on the opening up of forest zones. I Publ. I FAO/ECE Jt. Comm. Working Tech. No. LOG/SYMPOSIUM/1/25. 11 p.
38. Wenger, K.F. 1967. Multiple-use silviculture in the United States. Proc. 14th Congr. Int. Union For. Res. Organ., Munich 1967, Pt. IV Sect. 23:619-630.

RECREATION

- *1. Action for outdoor recreation for America. ORRRC Report. 1964. 38 p.
2. Alessandrini, A. 1970. I Forest footpaths.I Ital. For. Mont. 25(6): 304-308.
3. Argow, K.A. and J. Fedkiw. 1963. Recreation user fee income: how far does it go toward meeting costs? J. For. 61(10):751-753.
- *4. Atkinson, N.H. and J.C. Hetherington. Recreation sites pressures and their variation with site location. Univ. Coll. North Wales Dep. of For. Wood Sc.
5. Baldwin, D.N. 1965. An historical study of the western origin, application and development I by the U.S. Forest Service I of the wilderness concept, 1919 to 1933. Diss Abstr. 26(6):3273.
6. Baldwin, Malcolm F. 1969. The snowmobile and environmental quality. Living Wilderness 32(104):14-17.
7. Beardsley, W.G. and J.A. Waga:. 1971. Vegetation management on a forested recreation site. J. For. 69(10):728-731.
8. Bibliography of Forest Service outdoor recreation research publications. (Supplement No. 3). U.S. For. Serv., Washington, D.C. 1970. 6 p.
9. Bichlmaier, F. 1969. I The importance of forest recreation in land-use planning.I Forstwiss. Forsch. No. 20. 79 p.
10. Bichlmaier, F. 1970. I The location and organization of recreation forests.I Forstwiss. Centralbl. 89(6):356-362.
- *11. Brooks, L. 1961. The forces shaping demand for recreation space in Canada. Resources for tomorrow conference. Background papers, Vol. 2.
12. Brown. C.S. 1968. New approaches to forest recreation planning in Canada. For. Chron. 44(3):5-11.
13. Buchinger, M. 1967. Forest recreation versus conservation. Proc. 14th Congr. Int. Union For. Res. Organ., Munich 1967, Pt. VIII Sect. 26:265-285.
14. Buechner, Robert D. ed. 1971. National park and recreation standards. National Parks and Recreation Assoc., Washington, D.C.
15. Bultena, G.L. and L.L. Klessig. 1961. Satisfaction in camping: a conceptualization and guide to social research. J. Leisure Res. 1(4):348-354.

16. Burch, W.R. Jr. 1965. The playworld of camping: research into the social meaning of outdoor recreation. *Am. J. Sociol.* 70:602-612.
17. Burch, W.R. Jr. 1966. Wilderness--the life cycle and forest recreational choice. *J. For.* 64:606-610.
18. Burger, D. 1967. Research problems concerning forest, fauna, flora and outdoor recreation in the Netherlands. *Proc. 14th Congr. Int. Union For. Res. Organ., Munich 1967, Pt. VII Sect.* 26:155-182.
- *19. Burke, H.D. 1967. Forest recreation research--a new understanding. *N.Y. Sta. Conserv.* 15(4):27-29.
20. Burton, T.L. and G.P. Wibberley. 1965. Outdoor recreation in the British countryside. *Studies in Rural Land Use, Wye College, Ashford, Kent Rep.* 5. 54 p.
21. Bury, R.L. and R. Malgoules. 1964. A method of estimating current attendance on sets of campgrounds--a pilot study. *U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note PSW-42.* 6 p.
22. Buxton, S.F. and J. Delphendahl. 1970. Campers at Lily Bay State Park-- socio-economic characteristics and economic impact. *Maine Agric. Exp. Stn. Tech. Bull.* 687. 28 p.
23. Catton, W.R. Jr. 1969. Motivations of wilderness users. *Pulp Paper Mag. Can.* 70(24):121-126.
24. Cesario, F.J. 1969. Operations research in outdoor recreation. *J. Leisure Res.* 1(1):33-51.
25. Choate, G.A. 1965. Forests in Utah. *U.S. For. Serv. Resour. Bull.* INT-4. 63 p.
- *26. Clawson, M. 1959. Methods for measuring the demand for and value of outdoor recreation. *Resources for the Future. Repr.* 10.
27. Cliff, E.P. 1969. Forestry in the United States of America, 1968-69. *Unasylva* 23(3):29-33.
- *28. Cook, W.L. 1965. Bike trails and facilities. *Amer. Inst. Park Executives, Ogleby Park, Wheeling, W.Va.*
29. Cordell, H.K. and S.J. Maddock. 1969. Recreational policies of the major pulp and paper companies in the South. *J. For.* 67(4):229-231.
30. Cordell, H.K. et al. 1970. Estimating recreation use at visitor information centers. *U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. SE-69.* 8 p.

31. Countryside Commission Research Register No. 2. Countryside Commission, London. 1969. 177 p.
32. Crafts, A.C. 1966. Our needs for bicycle trails. Trends in Parks and Recreation 1(5):435,437,452.
33. Crapo, D. and M. Chubb. 1969. Recreation area day-use investigation techniques. Mich. State Univ. Dep. Park Recreation Res. Tech. Pap. 6. 124 p.
34. Cressman, D.R. and D.W. Hoffman. 1968. Classifying land for recreation:a review of Canada's land use capability classification for recreation with an example of how it is applied. J. Soil Water Conserv. 23(3):91-93.
35. Cushwa, C.T. and B.S. McGinnes. 1964. Sampling procedures and estimates of year-round recreation use on 100 square miles of the George Washington National Forest. N. Am. Wildl. Nat. Resour. Conf. Trans. 28:457-465.
36. Cushwa, C.T. et al. 1965. Forest recreation: estimates and predictions in the North River area, George Washington National Forest, Virginia. Va. Polytech. Inst. State Univ. Res. Div. Bull. 558. 48 p.
37. Dice, E.F. et al. 1971. An introductory study of privately operated campgrounds in Michigan. Mich. State Univ. Coop. Ext. Serv. Nat. Res. Ser. Ext. Bull. 717. 11 p.
38. Douglass, R.W. 1969. Forest recreation. Pergamon Press, Oxford. 336 p.
39. Droste zu Hulshoff, B. 1971. Bibliographie der Erholungsfunktion des Waldes. I Bibliography on forest recreation.I Bundesforschungsanstalt fur Forst- und Holzwirtschaft, Reinbeck. 809 p.
40. Echelberger, H.E. and E.L. Shafer, Jr. 1968. Some managers' viewpoints on campers and campground operation. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note NE-88. 6 p.
41. Eddy, A.R. 1960. Forest recreation. For. Tech. Pap. For. Comm. Vict. 5:13-19.
42. Eidsvik, H.K. 1969. Forest recreation: the need for action. Pulp Paper Mag. Can. 70(24):132-133.
43. Etzhorn, P.K. 1964. Leisure and camping: the social meaning of a form of public recreation. Sociol. Social Res. 49:76-89.
44. Federal outdoor recreation programs and recreation--related environmental programs. U.S. Dep. of the Interior, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, Washington, D.C. 1970. 226 p.

45. Fisher, F. 1965. I The Uetliberg I Zurich I as a recreational area.I Schweiz. Z. Forstwes. 116(6):487-499.
46. I Forest recreation and landscape.I Sozial. Forstw. 19(5):121-150.
47. Forest recreation and wildlife. Proc. 5th World For. Congr., Seattle 1960 Vol. 3 (Sect.8):1751-1856.
48. Forest recreation and wildlife. Proc. 14th Congr. Int. Union For. Res. Organ., Munich 1967, Pt. VII Sect. 26:496.
49. Forest recreation for profit. U.S. Dep. Agric. Inf. Bull. 265. 27 p.
50. Forest recreation research: bibliography of Forest Service outdoor recreation research publications, 1942 through 1966. U.S. For. Serv., Washington, D.C. 16 p.
- *51. Gans, H.J. 1962. Outdoor recreation and mental health. ORRRC Study Rep. 22.
52. Gould, E.M. Jr. 1962. Forestry and recreation. Harv. For. Pap. No. 6. 17 p.
53. Gribie, F. 1969. La grande migration des citadins en France. Centre national de la recherche scientifique, Paris.
54. Gundermann, E. 1972. I Investigations on the determination, evaluation and ranking of the recreation function of forest stands in the high-mountains of Bavaria.I Forschungsbericht, Forstliche-Forschungsanstalt. No. 4. 195 p.
55. Hamill, L. 1971. Classification of forest land for recreational potential and scenery. For. Chron. 47(3):149-153.
56. Hendee, J.C. et al. 1968. Wilderness users in the Pacific Northwest--their characteristics, values and management preferences. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. PNW-61. 92 p.
57. Hendee, J.C. and W. R. Catton, Jr. 1968. Wilderness users...what do they think? Am. For. 74(9):28-31,60-61.
58. Hendee, J.C. 1969. Rural-urban differences reflected in outdoor recreation participation. J. Leisure Res. 1:333-341.
59. Hendee, J.C. and F.I. Campbell. 1969. Social aspects of outdoor recreation--the developed campground. Trends in Parks and Recreation 4:13-16.

60. Hewston, J.G. and D.R. Franklin. 1969. Recreation use pattern at Faming Gorge Reservoir, 1963-65. U.S. Bur. Sport Fish. Wildl. Resour. Publ. 70. 80 p.
- *61. Hopkins, W.S. 1968. Forestry recreation research--some problems, some accomplishments, some goals. Alleghany Sect. Soc. Amer. For. 3 p.
62. Hyde, R.S. 1965. Outdoor recreation on industrial timberlands. Tech. Pap. Amer. Pulp Ass. 31-2.
- *63. Inhaber, J. 1972. Behaviour and attitudes of suburban forest recreationists in the Morgan Arboretum, Quebec. Dep. of Woodlot Management, Macdonald College of McGill University.
64. James, G.A. and R.A. Harper. 1965. Recreation use of the Ocala National Forest in Florida. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. SE-18. 28 p.
65. James, G.A. and J.L. Rich. 1966. Estimating recreation use on a complex of developed sites. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note SE-64. 8 p.
66. James, G.A. 1967. Recreation use estimation on Forest Service lands in the United States. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note SE-79. 8 p.
67. James, G.A. and G.L. Tyre. 1967. Use of watermeter records to estimate recreation visits and use on developed sites. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note SE-73. 3 p.
68. James, G.A. 1968. Pilot test of sampling procedures for estimating recreation use on winter-sports sites. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. SE-42. 8 p.
69. James, G.A. and R.K. Henley. 1968. Sampling procedures for estimating mass and dispersed types of recreation use on large areas. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. SE-31. 15 p.
70. Kardell, L. 1972. I Forest recreation in Western Europe. I Sver. Skogsvarvsforb. Tidskr. 70(3):233-255.
- *71. Kardell, L. I Recreation in the Crown Forest of Bogesund. I Redogörelse, forskningsstiftelsen Skogöarbeten, 1972 No. 4. 40 p.
72. Kato, S. 1967. Use of the National Forests for recreation in Japan. Proc. 14th Congr. Int. Union For. Res. Organ., Munich, Pt. VII Sect. 26:393-400.
73. Kenneweg, H. I 1971 I Information obtainable from aerial photos on visitor frequencies in recreational areas. G.B. For. Comm. Transl. No. 444. 4 p.

74. Kilpatrick, C.S. 1964. Public response to forest recreation in Northern Ireland. Ministry of Agriculture, Belfast. 46 p.
75. Kloet, W.G. van der. 1965. Forest and recreation in the Netherlands. Ir. For. 22(1):16-22.
76. Knetsch, J.L. 1967. Forest recreation: a case of non-market resource use. J. For. 65(2):102-105.
77. Kovacs, T.J. 1970. Self-administered park visitor survey technique. Canadian Outdoor Recreation Demand Study. Can. Dep. Indian Aff. North. Dev., Ottawa. 23 p.
78. LaPage, W.F. 1967. Meeting the social needs of tomorrow's campers. Univ. Vt. Ext. Serv. Brieflet 1197:6-18.
79. LaPage, W.F. 1967. Successful private campgrounds, a study of factors that influence the length and frequency of campers visits. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. NE-58. 22 p.
80. LaPage, W.F. 1970. Trends in camping participation. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. NE-183. 25 p.
81. Laurent, J. and F. Fischer. 1967. A study of forest recreation. Schweiz. Z. Forstwes. 118(3):105-121.
82. Lerner, L.J. 1962. Quantitative indices of recreation values. In Water Resources and Economic Development of the West. Rep. No. 11:55-80.
83. Lewis, J.H. and R.W. McDermid. 1967. Public recreational use of private forests in Louisiana. La. Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 618. 24 p.
- *84. Lime, D.W. 1969. Wilderness-like recreation opportunities adjacent to the boundary waters canoe area. Natur. 20(1):36-41.
- *85. Lime, D.W. 1970. Research for determining use capacities of the boundary waters canoe area. Natur. 21(4):8-13.
86. Lime, D.W. 1971. Factors influencing campground use in the Superior National Forest of Minnesota. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. NC-60. 18 p.
87. Lindeckert, R. 1969. Research on the study of forest recreation. Rev. For. Fr. 21(4):301-310.
88. Lindsay, J.J. 1969. Location potential outdoor recreation areas from aerial photographs. J. For. 67(1):33-35.
- *89. Ling, A.C. 1966. Access to the countryside for recreation. Proc. 1st Congr. on Leisure and Touring, Rotterdam.

90. Love, L.D. 1964. Summer recreational use of selected national forest campgrounds in the central Rocky Mountains. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. RM-5. 23 p.
91. Lucas, R.C. 1963. The status of recreation research related to users. Soc. Am. For. Proc. 1963:127-128.
92. Lucas, R.C. 1964. The recreational capacity of the Quetico-Superior area. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. LS-15. 34 p.
93. Lucas, R.C. 1964. Recreational use of the Quetico-Superior area. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. LS-8. 50 p.
94. Lucas, R.C. and D.L. Schweitzer. 1965. Outdoor recreation surveys: length-of-stay bias and its correction by computer. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note LS-68. 2 p.
- *95. Maldague, M. 1970. Utilisation de la forêt pour la faune et la récréation. Essais sur la forêt, document n° 4. 15 p.
96. Manning, G.H. 1973. Subjective evaluation of recreation sites. Can. For. Econ. Res. Inst. Ottawa. Inf. Rep. E-X-20. 12 p.
97. Marcus, L.F. et al. 1961. Measuring the recreation use of national forests. U.S. For. Serv. Pac. Southwest For. Range Exp. Stn. Tech. Pap. No. 50. 26 p.
- *98. Mead, M. 1962. Outdoor recreation in the context of emerging American cultural values: background consideration. Study Rep. 22:2-24.
- *99. Minnesota Snowmobile Survey. Minn. Dep. Conserv. 1970. 39 p.
100. Moeller, G.H. 1971. The landowner and the snowmobiler--problem or profit? U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. NE-206. 15 p.
- *101. Mueller, E. and G. Gurin. 1962. Participation in outdoor recreation: factors affecting demand among American adults. ORRRC Study Rep. 20. 94 p.
102. Munro, N. and D.M. Anderson. 1967. An initial bibliography on outdoor recreational studies in Canada with selected United States references. Can. Dep. Reg. Econ. Expansion, Ottawa. 121 p.
103. National scenic and recreation trails. U.S. Dep. of the Interior, Bur. of Outdoor Recreation, Washington, D.C. 1970.
104. The 1970 survey of outdoor recreation activities. Preliminary report. U.S. Dep. of the Interior, Bur. of Outdoor Recreation, Washington, D.C. 1972. 105 p.

105. Nixon, H.N. 1967. Banff National Park; aspects of visitors use. Can. Dep. Indian Aff. North. Dev. Nat. Parks Serv. Rep. 26. 49 p.
106. Nord, E.C. and A.W. Magill. 1963. A device for gaging campground screening cover. J. For. 61(6):450-451.
107. Ormrod, P. 1967. Forestry, public recreation and National Parks. Q.J.For. 61(1):58-64.
108. Orrom, M. 1967. Recreational use of forests in Holland. Report on a study visit to the Netherlands, organized by the Western European Union, May 1965. G.B. For. Comm. Res. Dev. Pap. No. 48. 16 p.
109. Outdoor recreation in the national forests. U.S. Dep. Agric. Agric. Inf.Bull. 301. 106 p.
110. Outdoor recreation in the Upper Great Lakes Area. Proceedings of a Seminar in research needs, May 11-13, 1961. U.S. For. Serv. Lake States For. Exp. Stn. Stn.Pap.No. 89. 104 p.
111. Outdoor recreation research: a reference catalog. U.S. Dep. of the Interior, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, Washington, D.C. 1969. 126 p.
112. Outdoor Recreation Resources Review Commission. 1962. Outdoor recreation for America. ORRRC, Washington, D.C. 246 p.
113. Outdoor Recreation Resources Review Commission. 1962. The quality of outdoor recreation: as evidenced by user satisfaction. ORRRC, Washington, D.C. 95 p.
114. Outdoor Recreation Resources Review Commission. Study Rep. No. 1, 1962. Public outdoor recreation areas--acreage, use, potential. ORRRC, Washington, D.C. 260 p.
115. Outdoor Recreation Resources Review Commission. Study Rep. No. 2, 1962. List of public outdoor recreation areas. ORRRC, Washington, D.C. 190 p.
116. Outdoor Recreation Resources Review Commission. Study Rep. No. 20, 1962. Participation in outdoor recreation: factors affecting demand among American adults. ORRRC, Washington, D.C. 94 p.
117. Outdoor recreation space standards. U.S. Dep. of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 1967. 67 p.
118. Outdoors USA. U.S. Dep. Agric. Yearb. Agric. 1967. 408 p.

119. Paine, L.A. 1966. Butt rot defect and potential hazard in lodgepole pine on selected California recreational areas. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note PSW-106. 7 p.
120. Paine, L.A. 1971. Accident hazard evaluation and control decisions on forested recreation sites. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. PSW-68. 11 p.
121. Palmer, W.L. 1967. An analysis of the public use of southern Michigan game and recreation areas. Mich. Dep. Nat. Res. Dev. Rep. 102. 88 p.
122. Portfolio in nature centers and outdoor education areas. National Audubon Society, New York. 5 v.
- *123. I Protection and welfare functions of the forest.I Schweiz. Z. Forstw. 112 (10/11), 1961. (613-713).
124. Randon, G. 1968. I Why is there so little alk of recreation forests?I Cellul. Carta 19(11):17-21.
125. Ravetta, R. 1970. I TheIrecreationalI pilot district of Compiègne.I Rev. For. Fr. 22(6):645-658.
126. I Recreation and the preservation of nature in the Noord Holland dune reserve.I Meded. Inst. Toegep. Biol. Onderz. Nat. No. 69A-E. 1964. 50 p.
- *127. Recreation as an industry. R.R. Nathan Associates Inc. 1966.
- *128. Recreation symposium proceedings. Northeastern Forest Experiment Station Upper Darby, Pa. 1971. 211 p.
129. Recreational use of the countryside. Research Register, National Parks Commission, London. No. 1, 1968. 35 p.
130. Richardson, S.D. 1970. The end of forestry in Great Britain. Commonw. For. Rev. 49(4):324-335.
131. Rozsnyay, S. 1972. I Results of ten years' opinion polling in forestry.I Forstarchiv 43(8):149-159.
132. Rudolf, P.O. 1967. Silviculture for recreation area management. J. For. 65(6):385-390.
133. Ruppert, K. 1970. I Making forest recreation trails.I Forsttech. Inform. 9:83-86.
134. Ruppert, K. 1971. I The recreational function of forest near human settlements.I Mitt. Hess. Landesforstverw. No. 8. 142 p.

135. Safar, J. 1971. Poplars in the coastal region of Yugoslavia I: sites, and ecological and economic importance. Summ. List 95(1/2):1-12.
136. Sanzen-Baker, R.G. 1970. The forest of Dean-forest park. Forestry 43(2):105-123.
137. Satherthwaite, A. and G.T. Marcou. 1968. Open space recreation and conservation. In Principles and practice of urban planning. Inst. Training in Municipal Administration, Washington, D.C. p. 185-207.
138. Scamoni, A. and G. Hoffman. 1969. A method of assessing the recreation potential of forest area. Arch. Forstwes. 18(3):283-300.
139. Séguin, J.-J. 1973. Récréation dans la nature. For. Conserv. 39(1):13-15.
140. Selected outdoor recreation statistics 1971. U.S. Dep. of the Interior, Bur. of Outdoor Recreation, Washington, D.C. 141 p.
141. Seneca, J.J. et al. 1968. An analysis of recreation use of TVA Lakes. Land Econ. 44(4):524-529.
142. Seneca, J.J. and C.J. Cichetti. 1970. User response in outdoor recreation: a production analysis. J. Leisure Res. 1(3):238-245.
- *143. Sewell, W.R.D. and J. Rostron. 1970. Recreational fishing evaluation. Dep. Fish. For., Ottawa, Ont.
144. Shafer, E.L. Jr. 1964. The photo-choice method for recreation research. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. NE-29. 10 p.
145. Shafer, E.L. Jr. and H.D. Burke. 1965. Preferences for outdoor recreation facilities in four state parks. J. For. 63(7):512-518.
146. Shafer, E.L. Jr. and R.C. Thompson. 1968. Models that describe use of Adirondack campgrounds. For. Sci. 14(4):383-391.
147. Shafer, E.L. Jr. 1969. The average camper who doesn't exist. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. NE-142. 27 p.
148. Sinden, J.A. and L.B. Sinden. 1964. A forest recreation survey: implications for future development. Scott. For. 18(2):120-127.
149. Smith, J.H.G. and J.R. Matthews. 1972. Environmental tolerances and visitor preferences for some forest recreation habitats in British Columbia. For. Chron. 48(3):133-137.

150. Soubeiran, A. 1971. [The green spaces of the forests: five years of achievement] in providing for recreation. I Rev.For.Fr.23(3):385-396.
151. Spencer, J.A. and R.M. Sidaway. 1972. The special contribution of forests and woodlands to recreation in an industrial society. G.B. For.Comm.Res. Dev.Pap. 92. 8 p.
152. Stewart, B.E. 1963. Recreational use of private land in a portion of eastern Maine. Maine Agric. Exp. Stn. Misc.Publ. 658. 47 p.
153. Streeter, D.T. 1964. Heathland conservation on Ashdown Forest. Annu. Rep.Soc. for the Promotion of Nature Reserves, London. 1963/64:27-43.
154. Strong, W.A. 1970. Recreation and the local economy. Univ. Wis. Tech. Rep. 4.
155. Such, S. 1969. Recreational pressures and forest fires in Michigan. Pulp Paper Mag.Can. 70(24):129-130.
156. Susmel, L. 1968. [The third dimension of the forest. I Ital.For.Mont. 23(2):78-87.
157. Symposium--"The forest and recreation". Ir.For. 19(2)139-153.
158. Symposium on recreational use of forests, May 1965. N.Z.J. For. 10(2): 155-220.
159. Taylor, G.D. 1965. Seasonal camping--Prince Albert National Park. Can. Dep. North Aff. Nat. Resour. Nat. Parks Br. Recreational Res. Rep. 16. 4 p.
160. Taylor, G.D. 1966. An appraisal of public recreation to campgrounds facilities and standards. Two jack pine lake campgrounds, Banff National Park. Can.Dep.North.Aff.Nat.Resour. Nat.Hist.Br. Recreational Res. Rep. 20. 21 p.
161. Taylor, G.D. 1966. What people want in forest recreation. Outdoor West Virginia 27(5):9-12.
162. Taylor, G.D. 1967. St.Lawrence Islands National Park. A visitor use study, 1966. Can.Dep.Indian Aff. North. Dev. Nat. Parks Serv. Rep. 30. 32 p.
163. Taylor, G.D. 1967. Techniques in the evaluation of recreational use. Can. Dep. Indian Aff. North. Div., Ottawa. 33 p.
164. Telfer, E.S. 1969. Outdoor recreation and forest fires. For. Chron. 45(2):96-99.

165. Thorsell, J.W. 1967. Recreational use in Waterton Lakes National Parks. M.A. Thesis. University of Western Ontario, London. 188 p.
166. Thorsell, J.W. 1967. Waterton Lakes National Park visitor use survey, 1966: Part II, wilderness recreational use. Can. Dep. Indian Aff. North. Dev. Nat. Parks Serv. Res. Rep. 24. 57 p.
167. Tombaugh, L.W. and L.D. Love. 1964. Estimating number of visitors to national forest campgrounds. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note RM-17. 4 p.
168. Towards a new relationship of man and nature in temperate lands. Part I. Ecological impact of recreation and tourism on temperate environments. Proc. 10th Tech. Mtg. Int. Union Conserv. Nature, Lucerne 1966, Pt.I. 1967. 287 p.
169. Twiss, R.H. 1963. An interdisciplinary approach to outdoor recreation research. J. For. 61(8):580-582.
170. Tyre, G.L. and G.R. Welch. 1972. Program manual for estimating use and related statistics on developed recreation sites. U.S. For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-1. 44 p.
171. Wagar, J.A. and J.F. Thalheimer. 1968. Computer programs for weighting length-of-stay and other visitor characteristics. U.S. For.Serv.Res. Note. INT-79. 12 p.
172. Wagar, J.A. 1969. Estimation of visitor use from self-registration at developed recreation sites. U.S. For.Serv.Res.Pap.INT-70. 27 p.
173. Wagar, J.A. and J.F. Thalheimer. 1969. Trial results of net count procedures for estimating visitor use at developed recreation sites. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note INT-105. 8 p.
174. Wagener, W.W. 1963. Judging hazard from native trees in California recreational areas--a guide for professional foresters. U.S. For. Serv. Res.Pap. PSW-P1. 29 p.
- *175. Wager, J. 1967. Outdoor recreation on common land. J. Town Planning Inst. 53(9).
176. Wagner, J.A. and J.F. Thalheimer. 1969. Trial results of net count procedures for estimating visitor use at developed recreation sites. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Note INT-105. 4 p.
177. Wenger, W.D. Jr. 1964. A test of unmanned registration stations on wilderness trails: factors influencing effectiveness. U.S. For.Serv. Res.Pap. PNW-16. 48 p.