

# THINNING ASPEN RIDING MOUNTAIN 

Project MS-146

by<br>G. A. Steneker

# FOREST RESEARCH LABORATORY WINNIPEG, MANITOBA INFORMATION REPORT MS-X-2 

DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY<br>MAY, 1966

Page
INTRODUCTION ..... 1
LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA ..... 1
METHODS ..... 1
RESULTS ..... 3
Diameter and Height Increment ..... 3
Basal Area and Volume Increment ..... 3
Volume Production to 1960 and 1965 ..... 9
Mortality ..... 9
DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 9
REFERENCES ..... 11
APPENDIX
List of common and botanical names of plantsmentioned in the text.12

PROJECT MS-146
by
G. A. Steneker

## INTRODUCTION


#### Abstract

Trembling aspen ${ }^{1}$ is one of the most abundant commercial tree species in Manjitoba and Saskatchewan, occupying respectively about one-tenth and one-third of the productive forest land in the two provinces (Gill 1960 and Anon. 1959). As aspen is highly susceptible to infection by pathogens, it must be cut before reaching maturity in order to minimize volume losses due to decay (Gill 1960 and Kirby et al 1957). Cutting of aspen before maturity, however, will curtail greatly the production of large-sized material suitable for veneer and lumber, unless the growth rate of individual trees can be increased by thinning.

Since 1926 the Department of Forestry has conducted thinning experiments in pure aspen stands in Manitoba and Saskatchewan to determine the stocking levels (= basal area per acre) required for maximum diameter increment and volume production. This report presents l5-year results of a thinning experiment, carried out in 1950 in 14- and 23-year-old aspen stands ${ }^{2}$. Results to 1960 have been reported previously (Steneker 1964).


## LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA

The experimental areas are within the Riding Mountain National Park, which is located in the southeastern extremity of the Bl8a Forest Section (Rowe 1959). A description of the selected stands, based on observations in 1950, is presented in Table 1.

METHODS

Within each stand permanent sample plots (with 30 -foot surrounds) were laid out and thinned to spacings of 8 -by $8-, 10$-by $10-$, and $12-$ by $12-$ feet. Treatments were replicated once in the 23-year-old stand, but not

2 For botanical names of plants, see the appendix. A 19-year-old stand was also thinned in 1950, but sample plots were unavoidably destroyed in 1961.

TABL® 1

DESCRIPTION OF STANDS BASED ON OBSERVATIONS IN 1950

| Factor | Stand I | Stand II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 14 years | 23 years |
| Stand origin | clear cutting | clear cutting |
| No. trees/acre | 6,000 | 2,200 |
| Av. d.b.h. | 1.4 inches | 2.9 inches |
| Av. dom. $\mathrm{ht}$. | 22 feet | 42 feet |
| Aspect | south | north |
| Slope | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Soil texture | clay loam | clay loam |
| Moisture * | fresh to mod. fresh | mod. moist to moist |
| Tree species | pure aspen | aspen and $8 \%$ burr oak, green ash and balsam poplar by no. of trees. |
| Underbrush | hazelnut, cherry | hazelnut, cherry |
| Ground flora | dewberry, wild strawberry, rose | sarsparilla, northern bedstraw, snakeroot |

* After Hill's classification, 1952.
in the l4-year-old stand. Control plots, which received no treatment, were established as well in each stand. Trees were tallied by one-inch diameter classes before thinning. Malformed and suppressed trees and species other than aspen were removed in thinning, along with sufficient intermediate trees to provide the prescribed spacings. Residual trees were tagged and breast-height diameteys were measured to the nearest one-tenth-inch; trees were remeasured in 1960 and 1965. Heights of all trees on the thinned plots and on about 10 per cent of the trees on the control plots were measured to the nearest foot in 1950, 1960 and 1965. Height/diameter curves were constructed for each plot in 1950, 1960 and 1965. Table 2 presents stand statistics for all plots in 1950, 1960 and 1965.


## RESULTS

## Diameter and Height Increment

Figure 1 shows that periodic diameter increment by one-inch diameter classes was directly related to thinning intensity and that all size classes benefitted from the thinning. Diameter increment decreased substantially after 1960, particularly on the plots thinned to 8 - by 8- and 10- by 10 -foot spacing and among the smaller diameter classes on all plots (Table 3).

The average diameter of the 200 largest trees per acre on each plot in 1950, 1960 and 1965 has been used as a basis to study the effect of thinning on the size of potential crop trees. Up to 1960 and 1965 the thinned plots supported greater sized crop trees than the controls (Table 4). The average diameter in 1965 of the 200 largest trees on the 8- by 8-foot thinned plot in the younger stand seemed to be a reflection of the above average tree size on this plot in 1950. Periodic increment between 1950 and 1960 on the thinned plots in the younger stand was 42 per cent greater than that on the control. In the older stand periodic increment on the thinned plots was between 20 and 40 per cent greater than that on the controls. Increment between 1960 and 1965 on the thinned and unthinned plots was on the average only 50 per cent of thiat betwion Be950 and $9560.3 \times 260$.

Based on the height of the 20 tallest trees per plot ( 100 per acre) in 1950 and 1965, thinning did not seem to have had any influence on height increment. Dominant heights between plots in 1950 in the 14 -year-old stand varied from 19 to 26 feet and in 1965 from 35 to 42 feat, giving an average increment of 16 feet for all plots. In the 23-year-old stand dominant heights in 1950 averaged 42 feet and in 1965 they averaged 59 feet, giving a periodic increment of 17 feet.

## Basal Area and Volume Increment

Basal area and total volume increment per acre to 1960 was greatest on those plots thinned to an 8- by 8 -foot spacing and decreased with lighter and heavier thinning (Table 5). Losses at the lower densities are attributed to inadequate stocking and at the higher density levels (controls) to mortality resulting from overstocking。


TABLE 2. STAND DATA PER ACRE FOR ALL PLOTS IN I950, I960 AND I965.

| Treatment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { prots } \end{aligned}$ | No. of trees |  |  |  | Basal area (sq.ft.) |  |  |  | Total volume (cu.fi.)' |  |  |  | Volume (cords) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | Volume (bd.ft.) ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1950 |  | 1960 | 1965 | 1950 |  | 1960 | 1965 | 1950 |  | 1960 | 1965 | 1950 |  | 1960 | 1965 | 1950 | 1960 | 1965 |
|  |  |  | $B T$. | AT. |  |  | BT. | AT. |  |  | $B T$. | $A T$. |  |  | $B T$. | AT. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 \times 12$ | 23 | 1 | 2365 | 300 | 300 | 290 | 97 | 26 | 71 | 87 | 1986 | 580 | 1873 | 2455 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 23 | 31 | - | 803 | 3613 |
| $12 \times 12$ | " | 1 | 1965 | 300 | 295 | 280 | 95 | 28 | 69 | 80 | 1895 | 593 | 1801 | 2141 | 9.0 | 5.5 | 22 | 26 | - | 1071 | 3282 |
| $10 \times 10$ | " | 1 | 2645 | 435 | 425 | 405 | 106 | 36 | 93 | 105 | 2065 | 765 | 2408 | 2861 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 28 | 36 | - | 325 | 3268 |
| $10 \times 10$ | " | 1 | 2250 | 435 | 420 | 395 | 75 | 33 | 84 | 95 | 1796 | 693 | 2160 | 2511 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 25 | 31 | - | 306 | 1369 |
| $8 \times 8$ | " | 1 | 1700 | 680 | 615 | 530 | 92 | 56 | 114 | 114 | 1793 | 1132 | 2964 | 3087 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 36 | 38 | - | 1062 | 2281 |
| $8 \times 8$ | $\prime$ | 1 | 1665 | 680 | 640 | 575 | 92 | 53 | 115 | 119 | 1792 | 1087 | 2974 | 3175 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 35 | 39 | - | 580 | 1944 |
| Control | * | 1 | 2490 | - | 1470 | 1090 | 127 | - | 159 | 164 | 2706 | - | 4069 | 4562 | 16 | - | 40 | 59 | - | 270 | 2076 |
| Control | " | 1 | 2730 | - | 1650 | 1115 | 131 | - | 166 | 159 | 2631 | - | 42/4 | 4259 | 14 | - | 40 | 49 | - | 0 | 945 |
| $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 1 | 5970 | 300 | 260 | 250 | 70 | 6.5 | 29 | 40 | 907 | 83 | 459 | 729 | - | - | 3.7 | $7 \cdot 3$ | - | - | - |
| $10 \times 10$ | " | 1 | 6670 | 440 | 410 | 350 | 55 | 9.4 | 40 | 46 | 714 | 119 | 640 | 803 | - | - | 4.5 | 7.7 | - | - | - |
| $8 \times 8$ | " | 1 | 5270 | 680 | 630 | 560 | 71 | 20 | 75 | 85 | 990 | 296 | 1424 | 1767 | - | - | 13 | 19 | - | - | - |
| Control | $\because$ | 1 | 6050 | - | 3060 | 2030 | 55 | - | 103 | 103 | 712 | - | 1685 | 1900 | - | - | 3.8 | 5.7 | - | - | - |

1 Interpolated volume tables.Canada, Dept.Mines and Resources, Dom. For. Ser.Misc.Ser.No. 3.1944
2 Peeled $l^{1}$ stump, $3^{\prime \prime}$ top diam.ib.Volume, yoeld and stand tables for tree species in the lake
3 States.I934.Univ.Minn.Tech. Bull.No.39, Page 30.
I' stump;log length 12.61 and $16.8^{\prime \prime}$;top diam. 6.5" Int.log rule(l/4) (7.5"dbh) Form class
volume tables (sec.ed.) 1948. Canada Dept. Nines and Resources, Dom.For.Ser. Table 203

TABLE 3
ANNOAL PERIODIC DIAMETER INCRENENT DURING 1960-1965 AS A PER CENT OF THAT DURING 1950-1960 BY TREATMENT AND ONE-INCH DBH CLASSES

| Treatment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 1950 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No. of plots | Dbh. class (inches) m 1950 |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| $12 \times 12$ |  | 1 | 67 | 69 | 69 |  |  |  | 69 |
| $10 \times 10$ |  | 1 | 60 | 50 |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| $8 \times 8$ |  | 1 | 53 | 40 | 46 |  |  |  | 41 |
| Control |  | 1 | 59 | 50 |  |  |  |  | 53 |
| $12 \times 12$ |  | 2 |  | 44 | 54 | 62 | 60 |  | 60 |
| $10 \times 10$ |  | 2 |  |  | 26 | 48 | 50 |  | 39 |
| $8 \times 8$ |  | 2 |  | 10 | 25 | 38 | 42 | 48 | 33 |
| Contral |  | 2 |  | 22 | 57 | 77 | 80 |  | 67 |

TABLE 4

AVERAGE BREAST HEIGHT DIAMETER OF THE 200 LARGEST TREFS IN 1950, 1960 AND 1965, AVERAGE ANNUAL INCREMENT 1950-1960 AND 1960-1965 AND THE AVERAGE ANNUAL INCREMENT 1960-1965 AS A PERCENT OF THAT DURING 1950-1960.

| Treatment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | No. of plots | Av. dbh. (inches) |  |  | Annual increment Period |  | Per cent$I I / I \times 100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1950 | 1960 | 1965 | 1950-1960 | 1960-1965 |  |
| $12 \times 12$ |  | 1 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 5.7 | . 27 | . 20 | 74 |
| $10 \times 10$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\substack{8}}$ | 1 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 5.3 | .27 | . 10 | 37 |
| $8 \times 8$ | $\pm$ | 1 | 2.6 | 5.3 | 6.0 | . 27 | . 14 | 52 |
| Control |  | 1 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 4.5 | . 19 | . 10 | 52 |
| $12 \times 12$ |  | 2 | 4.3 | 7.1 | 7.8 | . 28 | . 14 | 50 |
| $10 \times 10$ | ¢\% | 2 | 4.2 | 6.9 | 7.6 | . 27 | . 14 | 52 |
| $8 \times 8$ |  | 2 | 4.6 | 7.0 | 7.4 | . 24 | . 08 | 33 |
| Control | N | 2 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 7.0 | . 20 | . 10 | 50 |

## TABLE 5

NET PERIODIC BASAL AREA AND TOTAL VOLJME INCREMENT PER ACRE

| Treatment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 19,50 \end{aligned}$ | No. of plots | Basal area sq. ft. |  |  |  |  | Total volume cu. ft. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Standing <br> $1950\|1960\| 1965$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increment } \\ & 50-60,60-65 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Standing } \\ 1950 \text { 1960 } 1965 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Increment$\|50-60\| 60-65 \mid$ |  |
| $12 \times 12$ |  | 1 | 6.5 | 29 | 40 | 22.5 | 11 | 83 | 459 | 729 | 376 | 270 |
| $10 \times 10$ | \% | 1 | 9.4 | 40 | 46 | 30.6 | 6 | 119 | 640 | 803 | 521 | 163 |
| $8 \times 8$ |  | 1 | 20 | 75 | 85 | 55 | 10 | 296 | 1424 | 1767 | 1128 | 343 |
| Control | $\stackrel{-}{\sim}$ | 1 | 55 | 103 | 103 | 48 | 0 | 712 | 1685 | 1900 | 973 | 215 |
| $12 \times 12$ |  | 2 | 27 | 70 | 84 | 43 | 14 | 586 | 1837 | 2298 | 1251 | 461 |
| $10 \times 10$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | 2 | 34 | 88 | 100 | 54 | 12 | 729 | 2284 | 2686 | 1555 | 402 |
| $8 \times 8$ |  | 2 | 54 | 114 | 116 | 60 | 2 | 1110 | 2969 | 3131 | 1859 | 162 |
| Control |  | 2 | 129 | 162 | 162 | 33 | 0 | 2668 | 4142 | 4410 | 1474 | 268 |

By 1960 basal area levels in the older stand were such that subsequent increment was highest on the 12- by 12-foot thinned plots. These plots still supported the smallest basal area in 1960 and 1965. A decrease in basal area increment occurred between 1960 and 1965 with increasing densities. These data indicate that the basal area per acre in 1960 required for maximum periodic increment was at or below $70 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. In the younger stand increment trends were not clear, as the 12- by 12- and 8- by 8-foot thinned plots had almost similar basal area increments and the 10- by 10foot thinned plot had an unexpectedly low increment. Consequently, the basal area required in 1960 for maximum periodic increment in the younger stand could not be determined from the available data. The increment pattern of the older stand corresponds with that found by Steneker and Jarvis (1966) in other aspen stands. They concluded that maximum periodic increment at age 33 (stand age in 1960) will be reached with a basal area of about $70 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. For the younger stand they predict aibasal area of $55 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{A}$.

## Volume Production to 1960 and 1965

Total volume and cordwood production per acre to 1960 and 1965 (Table 6) on the control plots in the older stand are reflections of stand conditions in 1950 before thinning, when the control plots supported by far the largest growing stock (Table 2). If volumes had been more comparable the 8 - by 8 -foot spacing would probably have given the highest production as it did in the younger stand. Board foot production to 1960 and 1965 was increased by thinning, and in 1965 on the heaviest-thinned plots was more than twice that on the control plots.

## Mortality

Mortality between 1950 and 1965 was directly related to stand density (Table 71). Hypoxylon pruinatum caused much mortality among all size classes. No trends could be detected between stocking and losses due to this canker.

## DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In all instances thinning resulted in an increase in the diameter increment of residual trees. With thinning to 10 - by 10 - and 12 - by 12 -foot spacings, this increase occurred at the expense of basal area and total volume increment per acre, as these light stockings prevented the complete utilization of the available growing space by the residual trees. By 1960 stocking, at least on the heaviest thinned plots in the older stand, had increased sufficiently to attain a greater periodic basal area and volume increment to 1965 than the lighter thinned plots and controls.

Maximum basal area and total volume increment will be achieved when stands are sufficiently stocked so as to use all available growing space, but stocking is light enough to keep mortality, as a result of natural thinning, to a minimum. Based on findings by Steneker and Jarvis (1966) the required stocking level to achieve maximum per acre periodic increment in the 14 -year-old stand in 1950 would have been about 35 sq . ft. per acre

TOTAL VOLUME PRODUCTION PER ACRE TO 1965

| Age in ige | No. of |  | Total volume cu. ft. Thinning To To in $1950 \quad 1960,1965$ |  |  | Cordwood volume Thinning To To in $1950 \quad 1960,1965$ |  |  | Bd . ft. volume To To $1960 \quad 1965$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | plots | Treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | $12 \times 12$ | 824 | 1283 | 1553 | 0 | 3.7 | 7.3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | $10 \times 10$ | 595 | 1235 | 1398 | 0 | 4.5 | 7.7 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | $8 \times 8$ | 694 | 2118 | 2461 | 0 | 13 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | Control | 0 | 1685 | 1900 | 0 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 0 | 0 |
| $\infty$$\stackrel{H}{0}$$\stackrel{1}{\infty}$1$\sim$ | 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 1354 | 3191 | 3652 | 3.2 | 26 | 32 | 937 | 3448 |
|  | 2 | $10 \times 10$ | 1202 | 3486 | 3888 | . 6 | 27 | 34 | 316 | 2318 |
|  | 2 | $8 \times 8$ | 683 | 3652 | 3814 | 0.7 | 36 | 39 | 821 | 2112 |
|  | 2 | Control | 0 | 4142 | 4410 | 0 | 40 | 54 | 135 | 1510 |

TABLE 7
MORTALITY PER ACRE 1950 - 1965

| Treatment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | No. of plots | No. of trees | Basal area sq. ft. | Total volume cu. ft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 \times 12$ |  | 1 | 50 | 4.1 | 63 |
| $10 \times 10$ | \% | 1 | 110 | 9.4 | 163 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 1 | 1 | 120 | 10.8 | 215 |
| Control | $\cdots$ | 1 | 4020 | 36.4 | 491 |
| $12 \times 12$ |  | 2 | 15 | 3.5 | 143 |
| $10 \times 10$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\sim}$ | 2 | 35 | 6.2 | 145 |
| $8 \times 8$ | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | 2 | 126 | 16.9 | 412 |
| Control |  | 2 | 1508 | 48.5 | 998 |

and for the 23-year-old stand about 55 sq . ft. per acre. In 1960 these levels would have been about $55 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. and 70 sq . ft. respectively, and in 1965 about 65 and 80 sq . ft. respectively.

It is recommended that an additional thinning is carried out in the summer of 1966 to maintain the high diameter increment of individual trees on the thinned plots and to prevent plots from becoming overstocked. Based on the results of this study and the findings by Steneker and Jarvis (1966) the 12- by l2-foot thinned plots in the older stand:will be thinned to a residual basal area of about 65 sq . ft., the 10 - by $10-\mathrm{foot}$ thinned plots to $80 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}_{\mathrm{I}}$, and the $8-\mathrm{by} 8-\mathrm{foot}$ thinned plots to $95 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. Controls will not be thinned. In the younger stand the 8-by 8-foot thinned plot will be thinned to a residual basal area of 65 sq. ft.
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## APPERDIX

Common and botanical names of plants mentioned in text.

| Ash, green | Fraxinus pennsylvanica Marsh. var. subintegerrina (Vahl*) Ferm。 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Aspen, trembling | - Populus tremuloides Michx. |
| Cherry | - Prunus sp. |
| Oak, burr | - Quercus macrocarpa Michx. |
| Poplar, balsam | - Populus balsamifera $L$. |
| Dewberry | - Rubus pubescens Raf. |
| Hazelnut | Corylus cornuta Marsh. |
| Northern bedstraw | - Galium boreale L. |
| Rose | - Rosa sp. |
| Sarsaparilla | - Aralia nudicaulis $L_{\text {. }}$ |
| Snake root | - Samcula marilandica $L$. |
| Wild strawberry | - Fragaria Virginiana Duchesne. |

