

1
2
3
4 WORKSHOP ON REARING
5 CONIFERS IN CONTAINERS
6 FOR TREE IMPROVEMENT
7 JANUARY 1988

8
9 A. M. Nanka, Compiler

10
11
12
13
14
15
16 Study NOR 1203 File Report No. 2
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 Forestry Canada
27 104-180 Main Street
28 WINNIPEG, Manitoba
29 R3C 1A6
30

31 February 1990

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This workshop was one segment of the technology transfer aspect within the Jack Pine Seed Orchard Development Program, which was funded by the Canada-Manitoba Forest Renewal Development Agreement. I am grateful to all participants who presented very informative papers at the workshop and shared practical experience. A special thanks to participants who provided copies of presented papers for inclusion in this report. I also extend a thank you to those who sent poster papers for the workshop. I thank C. Brown for doing all the audio work and S.M. Sokol for arranging and entering text material onto a processing terminal for printing.

FOREWARD

Dear Reader:

You are cordially invited to read all the papers and posters presented at a technical workshop on "Rearing Conifer Plants in Containers for Tree Improvement Programs" held in Winnipeg on January 26-28, 1988. For your convenience all presented papers and posters are compiled in this report.

1. The purpose of this workshop was; (a) to examine and discuss current rearing practices and determine how; (b) to improve efficiency and quality of output in the production of orchard and plantation assessment stock including all other kinds of stock specifically tailored for tree improvement program in Manitoba and the Boreal Forest Region.

2. To provide technology transfer on advancements in early cone production.

3. To tour the new Tree Improvement Complex at Pineland Nursery (Hadashville) and observe rearing practice.

The planned agenda specifically focused on the following operationally relevant rearing topics:

- (i) stock parameters
- (ii) selection of container
- (iii) growing media
- (iv) lighting type (high pressure sodium) and photoperiod
- (v) prophyllaxis
- (vi) canopy tailoring for cone production
- (vii) cone induction in potted orchard stock
- (viii) accelerated growth

This workshop was targeted specifically for professional and technical tree improvement staff of Forestry Canada (ForCan) and

1 Manitoba Forestry Branch (MFB) and other provincial, private and
2 public forestry agencies that are actively working on the
3 aforementioned subject.

4

5 The objective is: (a) to provide an opportunity to discuss
6 common problems and solutions of similar nature; (b) to promote
7 the development of an information network among colleagues; (c)
8 to exchange innovative ideas in producing cost-effective
9 propagules for forest renewal from tree improvement programs.

10

11 The workshop was conducted in an informal round-table
12 discussions. Participants were encouraged to share their
13 experiences and opinions on each topic. Some questions and
14 answers for each topic and presentation are included. An
15 elected moderator guided the discussion of each topic and
16 assisted in the joint formulation of each summary.

17

18 Should you find the contents of value for your work or
19 program, please send me your comments regarding needs for future
20 workshops.

21

22 Compiled by: Al Nanka
23 Tree Improvement Specialist
24 ForCan
25 Manitoba District Office

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Acknowledgements.....	ii
Foreward.....	iii
<u>Papers Presented:</u>	
(1) Family-Test Stock Production Concerns from a Program Managers' Point of View - John Dojack.....	1
(2) Accelerated Growth and Out of Phase Dormancy - Tim Mullin.....	4
(3) Quality Standards for Container-Grown Stock for Forest Tree Improvement - Jerome I. Klein.....	11
(4) Optimizing Light Sources and Photoperiods to Gain Maximum Use of Your Greenhouse Systems - Dr. Ian Dymock.....	17
(5) How We Grow Orchard Stock (With Emphasis on Container Stock) - Andrea Eastham.....	29
(6) Rearing Quality Seedlings in Containers for Tree Improvement Programs - Albert (Al) M. Nanka.....	36
(7) Growing Regime Used For Tree Improvement Crops at Pineland Forest Nursery - Wendy Kozmak.....	43
<u>Posters Presented:</u>	
Tree Improvement in Nova Scotia - Tim Mullins.....	45
Ontario Tree Improvement Council - Jim Coles.....	47
Weyerhaeuser Canada (Sask Div) Tree Improvement Program - Diane Roddy & Spencer McDougald.....	49
B.C. Ministry of Forests and Lands - Research Program at North Road Research Laboratory - Andrea Eastham.....	51
PFRA Tree Nursery - Shelterbelt Tree Improvement - W.R. (Bill) Schroeder.....	52
Fast Growing Forests - Tree Improvement Program - B.A. Barkley, Co-Ordinator.....	55
The discussion, use or mention of specific manufactured products in this report does not necessarily imply endorsement of products nor does the exclusion of any product imply disapproval.	

1
2
3
4 FAMILY-TEST STOCK PRODUCTION CONCERNS FROM A
5 PROGRAM MANAGERS POINT OF VIEW
6
7

8 by
9

10
11 John Dojack
12 Tree Improvement Specialist
13 Manitoba Forestry Branch

1 The Manitoba Forestry Branch is currently planning and
2 implementing an operational tree improvement program for black
3 and white spruce in the five major breeding zones of the
4 province. Long term plans call for the establishment of spruce
5 programs in all seven breeding zones. This would result in the
6 production of approximately 250,000 test seedlings; 120,000
7 orchard seedlings and 15,000 grafts. The estimated cost of
8 establishment for the spruce program is \$2 million. In addition
9 to the spruce program the Jack Pine Tree Improvement Program
10 coordinated by J. Klein and A. Nanka has already been
11 established in the four active breeding zones. Production of
12 the orchard crops is completed with plans for a gain test in
13 effect. Initiation of a resistance to Western Gall Rust program
14 is planned while the second generation crop for the Eastern
15 Breeding District is currently growing.

16
17 Family Test - refers to a plantation established to evaluate
18 individuals based on the performance of their relatives. In
19 establishing family tests there are four key objectives.

- 20 1) Evaluate genetic worth of family or parent.
21 2) Establish a base population from which individuals can be
22 selected for advanced generation breeding.
23 3) Estimate genetic parameters such as heritability, juvenile-
24 mature correlations and genotype environment interactions.
25 4) Determine the level of gain achievable to act as a
26 demonstration area to assist in program justification.

27
28 The primary objective of a family test is to provide a
29 genetic evaluation of the families. Appearance and growth of an
30 individual is a result of genetic and environmental influences.
31 To effectively evaluate genetic influences, it is crucial that
32 all environmental variation be minimized. Precautions must be
33 made to ensure environmental uniformity in selecting orchard
34 sites, seed processing and stock production phases. To meet the
35 primary objective of providing a genetic evaluation of the

1 family it is essential that rearing practices ensure identity,
2 uniformity and quality.

3

4 Example: random placement of families and rotation of
5 crop reduce edge effect during rearing phase.

6

7 The container system chosen should ideally lend itself to
8 sorting, the family test design and overwintering. The
9 Department of Natural Resources has chosen the styroblock 20 as
10 the overall container to meet their purposes.

11

1 ACCELERATED GROWTH AND OUT OF PHASE DORMANCY

2

3

4

by

5

6

7

8

Tim Mullin

9

Head of Tree Improvement

10

Nova Scotia Tree Breeding Centre

11

DEBERT, Nova Scotia

1 There are three spruces native to Nova Scotia: Red, White
2 and Black. Will not dwell alot on the black spruce because we
3 do not handle any grafting stock of black spruce, so I will
4 concentrate on the other species. The majority of plus trees
5 are located on privately owned lands which must be climbed
6 either with spurs or ladders to collect scions. Scions are cut,
7 grafts are made and orchards are established. We then wait in
8 the order of 5 to 8 years before flowering is prolific enough to
9 allow for cross pollinating to initiate the second generation.
10 We are looking at a number of ways to accelerate growth
11 especially in the first generation which is a very expensive
12 part of any tree improvement program. The following is a
13 description of the facilities at our disposal to accelerate
14 growth.

15
16 Our greenhouse was built in 1980. It is actually two
17 greenhouses each 120 feet long by 42:5 feet wide. They are
18 joined together by a 14 ft. wide corridor down the middle. Each
19 greenhouse is divided width wise as well, allowing for four
20 separate breeding zones each 60 ft. by 42.5 ft. Each zone is
21 independently controlled with its own control system. The
22 system used is a Wadsworth Step 500. The greenhouse has no
23 night watchman so an alarm system adapted from a G.D.T. system
24 is employed. The alarm is tied into the departmental radio
25 system. Any alarm goes to the radio dispatcher then to
26 designated employee who is on call 24 hours a day. A Honeywell
27 humidistat controls a solenoid valve which activates mist
28 nozzles to control humidity. Both humidity and temperature are
29 monitored in each of the 4 breeding zones. A control sensor and
30 hygrothermograph are situated in approximately the same location
31 in each zone.

32
33 Watering is performed by an overhead boom. Well water used
34 for irrigation is very cold so a mixing valve is used to mix
35 warm water with it before applying to very young seedlings. Two

1 fertilizer injectors, use soluble fertilizer mixed up from stock
2 solutions. High pressure sodium lights (only 54 of them) light 1
3 of the 4 breeding zones. The growing medium used for normal
4 grafting stock production for 1.5 growing seasons is 1/2 peat,
5 1/4 vermiculite and 1/4 sand.

6

7 A conveyor system moves stock from potting area into the
8 greenhouse. This eliminates the cost of hiring labour to move
9 stock around manually. Potting is performed by a three-man crew
10 with a Baldwin and Lawson potting machine.

11

12 Mechanization reduces both labour costs as well as human
13 error. Three-man crew averages 1500 pots a day. This includes
14 mixing, potting and moving stock into the greenhouse via
15 conveyor.

16

17 Spruce grafting stock is grown from the seed in multi-pot
18 containers. The following spring, stock is transplanted into
19 one gallon containers. In the case of white spruce this
20 material goes directly outdoors with second-year production
21 occurring entirely outside in a shade frame. The irrigation
22 system within the shade frame employs a square nozzle which
23 provides uniform coverage. Potted stock comes in for grafting
24 around January and enters one of the four breeding zones where
25 it thaws out. Grafting employs a side veneer graft. A first
26 cut is made on the cutting and the second cut made on the root
27 stock. All the grafting is signed by the respective grafter,
28 providing a means to cull poor quality grafters. A fair amount
29 of tending is performed while the grafts are in the greenhouse.
30 This tending involves pruning of new foliage primarily on the
31 root stock. Most of the lateral shoots are removed. If this
32 maintenance is not performed massive foliage growth occurs.

33 When grafted stock is ready for transplanting they are taken
34 out of the one gallon pots, root-pruning is performed and they
35 are transplanted into outdoor beds. This usually occurs around

1 June. Buds formed in the greenhouse usually stay dormant
2 throughout the first year. The following spring any of the tops
3 that are still on the grafts are removed. Trees are allowed to
4 flush and then spend a second growing seasons in the transplant
5 beds prior to being moved out to the orchard. This has been
6 standard procedure in Nova Scotia for white spruce and is
7 considered to be sound procedure for white spruce. Red spruce
8 however reacts adversely to transplanting, and movement direct
9 from greenhouse to orchard is considered preferable, although
10 this raises the cost of initial tending.

11

12 The main tools available for accelerated growth in the
13 greenhouse are:

- 14 (1) Control of photoperiod (control when the tree wants to go
15 into the dormant stage), and
16 (2) Artificial chilling in order to satisfy the chilling
17 requirements.

18

19 Preventing onset of dormancy for any crop growing in the
20 winter is accomplished by exposing the crop to a night-time
21 light break. Fluorescent tubes (V.H.O.) are mounted on a
22 watering cart which travels the 60-foot length of the greenhouse
23 for two hours with each tree receiving a light pass once every
24 six minutes. The watering cart is tied into a time clock that
25 fires it up for 2 hours at any predetermined time. This 2 hour
26 light break has proven sufficient for all the species we are
27 working with. This system does prevent bud set. Chilling
28 requirements are satisfied by way of a cooler. Grafts are
29 placed in the cooler which is run between 3 and 5 degrees
30 celsius. Grafts are also exposed to an eight-hour photoperiod
31 under fluorescent lights (controlled by a time clock within the
32 cooler.) Typically the schedule we've worked out is to bring
33 material from the cooler into the greenhouse at the beginning of
34 January. The greenhouse is warmed up over a 1-week period to a
35 growing temperature of 18 degrees to 20 degrees celsius, and the

1 day-length extended to 20 hours at 5-6000 lux using the HPS
2 lights. By mid-May, the trees should be sufficiently hardened
3 off (by induction treatments) to be moved directly into the
4 cooler. Inducing bud-set is accomplished by reduction of day-
5 length and mild moisture stress. Irrigation (and fertilization)
6 resumes after bud-set to ensure proper bud development. The
7 cooler is set between 3 and 5 degrees celsius while stock is in
8 the cooler for a period of six weeks or 1000 hours. The second
9 cycle begins in July.

10

11 Studies from Irving Woodlands in New Brunswick indicate the
12 minimum chilling requirement for any clone to be 1000 hours (six
13 weeks). It was also found that 1500 hours was worse than 1000
14 hours although we have experienced no evidence of this claim.
15 We, as well as Irving, are forming the impression that a limit
16 exists as to how many of these acceleration treatments may be
17 applied before becoming detrimental to the tree. It is
18 generally felt that treatments can be applied for two full
19 calendar years or four accelerated cycles and greater growth
20 will be derived than would otherwise. However the trees seem to
21 slow down during the second accelerated cycle of any given
22 calendar year resulting in a poorer flush than that of the first
23 cycle. We've come to the conclusion that the hardening off
24 period in the middle of the summer is the most critical element
25 of this whole process. This process must be carried out with
26 extreme care with the tree fully hardened and the bud well
27 developed before going into the cooler.

28

29 Propagation of Family Test Material - This involves the
30 collection of open pollinated seed from black spruce. Felling
31 of trees is generally the rule when making selections. We have
32 a breeding population in the order of 1200 trees. Cones are
33 brought into the Centre, air-dried on trays then placed into the
34 kiln. Seed is extracted on a single-tree selection basis
35 incorporating a homemade dewinger and seed cleaner into the

1 process. When stock goes into the greenhouse, it is replicated
2 and different colour tags are used to identify distinction
3 between the replicates. All the replicates are sown at the same
4 time for any given seed lot. Seedlots are sown in a random
5 fashion in the seedhouse in any particular order. Sowing is
6 performed by hand, if sufficient seed exists double sowing
7 occurs. Seed is covered with silica grit after sowing and two
8 identity tags are placed in each container one of which is
9 buried. Replication exists in the greenhouse. Stock is tagged
10 prior to sorting using a computerized tag which is placed high
11 on the tree to ensure it stays clean as well as facilitating
12 easier reading.

13
14 Nova Scotia family test sites are generally herbicided the
15 year prior or the year of planting, to control higher growth
16 such as raspberry and pincherry. Nova Scotia test sites are
17 small, rarely exceeding more than 2 ha. Site preparation
18 involves root raking and some disking--this mixes the duff and
19 mineral soil. Problems unique to Nova Scotia are the root-
20 collar weevil which makes it very risky to plant softwood
21 cutovers the year after cutting. Root-collar weevils are
22 attracted by the fresh wood smell particularly on cutovers with
23 no hardwood at all. Rocks and boulders present another problem
24 and come into play where spacing of plantations is concerned.

25
26 Mapping takes place while the site is being planted. A
27 handwritten map goes to the Tree Breeding Centre within a week
28 of planting and a computerized map is produced. The computer is
29 programmed to generate row, column and rep numbers for each
30 plot. The computer also checks for duplicate numbers and other
31 queries. The first computer draft goes back to the site, where
32 these queries are double checked, and corrections made where
33 necessary.

34

35

1 Q. What kind of a planting design do you usually use for your
2 family tests?

3

4 A. Family-tests go out with six replicates of four-tree row
5 plots, so there are 24 trees per family per site. Spacing
6 is 2 meters in the case of black spruce.

1 QUALITY STANDARDS FOR CONTAINER-GROWN STOCK
2 FOR FOREST TREE IMPROVEMENT

3
4
5 by

6
7
8 Jerome I. Klein
9 Forest Geneticist
10 Forestry Canada
11 Northern Forestry Centre

1 Tree improvement programs require populations of trees for
2 provision of information on various aspects of genetic quality,
3 for production of seed or cuttings, or for a combination of
4 purposes. Fulfilment of these purposes can be expedited and
5 enhanced by use of planting stock that is tailored to the
6 objectives of the population. Container culture is well-suited
7 to production of planting stock having the required attributes
8 for a range of tree improvement purposes, because of the
9 opportunity it offers for controlling and modifying growing
10 regimes.

11
12 Genetic quality information and seed production differ as to
13 the planting stock attributes which favour suitability. Within
14 each category, the desired attributes vary in kind and degree
15 according to the exact need in each instance. Some examples
16 will be presented to illustrate the variety of desired
17 attributes, or quality standards, for container-grown tree
18 improvement stock, arising from the varying purposes of tree
19 improvement populations.

20 21 Family Test

22 A family test is a plantation or a set of plantations
23 containing trees of known parentage, designed to provide
24 information on genetic quality. The information on genetic
25 quality obtained from a family test is used to select
26 genetically superior trees, either in the family test or in
27 another plantation of related trees.

28
29 Family test plantations that grow promptly after planting
30 are likely to show better expression of genetic differences and
31 lower error variation than slow-starting plantations because
32 they offer less opportunity for competing vegetation to become
33 established. Early results are especially improved in
34 reliability by improvement of growth in the years following
35 planting. Capability for prompt growth after planting thus

1 appears to be an appropriate standard for family test planting
2 stock.

3

4 Achievement of prompt growth after planting requires correct
5 matching of the length of the growing period to container size.
6 Extending the growing period to increase top size in a small
7 container will, if carried to excess, lead to pot-binding. Pot-
8 bound trees will have reduced growth after planting, and are
9 likely to be weakly anchored. If stock from a customary
10 container does not meet the family test growth standard with the
11 matching growth period, a larger container should be used.

12

13 There are standards for family test stock production in
14 addition to growth capability. Stock must be correctly
15 identified through the rearing period. Labels must be applied
16 during the rearing period to maintain identity until
17 verification of plantation labelling and mapping after planting,
18 free of errors, fading, tag loss, or damage to the stock.
19 Variation in growth capability after planting introduced by
20 environmental variation across the rearing environment must be
21 minimized and the residue appropriately distributed. Some
22 accessory equipment or procedures can be directed towards
23 reducing environmental variation within a crop's growing space.
24 Rotation of trays can reduce the impact of greenhouse variation
25 on the stock. Variation of rooting environment can be important
26 between neighboring cavities as well as between different trays.
27 Control of this kind of variation begins with awareness of its
28 potential importance, and is exercised by refining physical
29 procedures for filling, sowing and rearing.

30

31 The real measure of the quality of a growing system for
32 family test stock would be the growth rate, root morphology, and
33 error variation of a crop after planting. Any tree improvement
34 program can assess and refine its rearing program by investing
35 some staff time over several years in recording and analyzing

1 observations on seedling crops before and after planting. For
2 programs that can not afford a formal quality monitoring system,
3 awareness of these criteria on the part of grower and tree
4 improvement staff may be useful.

5

6 Tested Seed Orchard

7 For seed orchards derived from trees selected by use of
8 family test results, the only stock production criterion is the
9 promotion of early, abundant and sustained seed production.
10 This kind of plantation is defined by the completion of
11 selection before planting of the stock. All or most of the
12 trees planted will be used for seed production, and are thus
13 relatively high in value.

14

15 Planting stock usually consists of grafts or control-
16 pollinated seedlings. Basic container culture would consist of
17 operational procedures for seedlings or procedures required for
18 grafting success for grafts. Basic culture may be appropriate
19 for species which grow rapidly after planting of seedlings or
20 grafts.

21

22 Accelerated container culture can be useful for establishing
23 a tested seed orchard. It consists of growing the plants
24 indoors in containers under optimum conditions, possibly with
25 artificially induced dormancy to provide two growth periods in
26 each calendar year, until the plants have reached a desired size
27 or development state for outplanting.

28

29 Accelerated container culture requires investment in growing
30 facilities beyond what is required for basic container culture,
31 but can reduce costs in later phases of seed orchard
32 establishment. If growth of grafts or seedlings is slow under
33 normal culture, accelerated container culture can reduce tending
34 costs by confining the plants to the more controllable
35 greenhouse environment while they are small and slow-growing.

1 When they reach a size large enough to be awkward for greenhouse
2 culture, they would be ready for planting. The period from
3 investment in seed orchard site development to harvest a seed
4 crop would also be shortened by delaying development work until
5 the trees are through their stage of slow growth. Finally,
6 accelerated culture may expedite the onset of commercial seed
7 production by several years, which would shorten the delay from
8 the expense of selection and propagation to the payoff of
9 improved seed.

10
11 Use of accelerated container culture for seed orchard
12 establishment depends upon a judgement that the technique is
13 capable of expediting establishment and production, and that the
14 benefits are sufficient to justify the extra costs. Because the
15 seed orchard's purpose requires seed production to be sustained
16 as well as early, care is needed to avoid pot-binding, which
17 could shorten the useful life of seed orchards.

18 19 Potted Indoor Breeding Garden

20 A potted indoor breeding garden consists of plants grown to
21 produce flowers for controlled breeding and seed from that
22 breeding, for the next generation of selection and breeding, or
23 seed orchards, or for research. The quality standard for this
24 kind of population is production of flowers of both sexes as
25 early as possible. Quantity and duration of production are less
26 important than earliness. The level of investment in each plant
27 is likely to be higher for this purpose than for other kinds of
28 tree improvement plantations, partly because the purpose is
29 entirely implemented indoors in containers.

30 31 32 Mass Selection Seed Orchard

33 A mass selection orchard is a plantation of genetically
34 variable trees planted at close spacing and thinned periodically
35 to leave only the trees with the best growth, health and

1 quality. A small proportion of the planted trees remain to
2 produce seed. Seed produced in a mass selection seed orchard
3 has value only to the extent that the thinning choices favour
4 the genetically superior trees. All of the planted trees should
5 be accurately assessed so it is important to minimize the
6 effects of variation of rearing environment on performance after
7 planting.

8
9 Capability for seed production is relatively unimportant in
10 this instance. Only a small proportion of planted trees will be
11 used for production of improved seed. By the time selection has
12 been completed, the remaining trees will be close to sexual
13 maturity regardless of the rearing regime.

1 OPTIMIZING LIGHT SOURCES AND PHOTOPERIODS TO GAIN
2 MAXIMUM USE OF YOUR GREENHOUSE SYSTEMS.

3
4 by

5
6 Dr. Ian J. Dymock
7 Tree Physiologist
8 Northern Forestry Centre
9 Forestry Canada
10 EDMONTON, Alberta
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 This text is edited from the recorded proceedings of a
30 technical report presented by the author to the
31 CANADA/MANITOBA Tree Improvement Workshop on "Rearing
32 Conifer Plants in Containers for Tree Improvement Programs",
33 that was held from January 26-28, 1988, in Winnipeg,
34 Manitoba.
35

Study NOR 36-01, File Report #1

With the increasing use of greenhouses to grow containerized stock for reforestation purposes across the prairies that began during the late 1960's and continues to this date, it became apparent that there would be problems unique to container production that would have to be addressed. One obvious factor for nursery production personnel, that was identified, was the need for adequate supplemental lighting systems for greenhouses. This was necessitated by several realities faced by nursery staff.

Firstly, the location of the greenhouses (i.e. latitude & longitude would determine the number of hours of available sunlight and its angle of incidence during the winter months at the beginning of the first growing season. This, in turn, would determine the amount of sunlight available to the seedlings for growth. Secondly, an early seeding date in January or early February, is essential if a nursery is to maintain a two or three crop schedule, as has been outlined by Carlson (1983). We know, for example, in Edmonton on the first day of February, which corresponds to the seeding date for Crop 1 of Carlson's three crop schedule for Hardiness Zone 3 (Carlson 1983), that the day-length (hours from sunrise to sunset) is only 8 hours 55 minutes long. This is far short of the critical day-length needed to grow most conifer species, as I have previously reported (Dymock and Wilson 1986).

I first became interested in greenhouse supplemental lighting systems when I began working at the Northern Forestry Centre in early 1981. Two matters drew my attention. Firstly, I was appalled at how some research staff, particularly the forest pathologists, grew their seedlings under far less than ideal, or controlled conditions. They then subjected them to various pathological diseases or agents, and, of course, the

1 trees would die. It seemed apparent to me, that the pathogens
2 were killing the trees because they were being applied to
3 extremely weak seedlings. These views were also shared by a
4 number of other people who were working on physiological aspects
5 of air pollution. They, however, had their own unique problem.

6

7 As part of their research program, they were rearing conifer
8 seedlings in the greenhouses, up to a predetermined age, at
9 which time they would subject the seedlings to environmental
10 pollutants, and study the physiological responses. This study
11 required seedlings at regular intervals, twelve months per year.
12 The system was working fine throughout the spring and summer
13 months of 1982, until they reached the middle of October, when
14 all of their research stock, regardless of age, set terminal
15 buds and entered dormancy. This was a serious impediment.
16 Growth chamber facilities at the Northern Forestry Centre were
17 not large enough to meet the space demands that were needed to
18 produce the required number of seedlings.

19

20 I should point out that the NoFC greenhouses were equipped
21 with banks of movable fluorescent light fixtures, that were used
22 to extend the daylength to 18 hours. It was also thought at the
23 time that these supplemental lights would also provide high
24 enough light energy to keep seedlings actively growing. This,
25 however, did not turn out to be the case, as I have mentioned.

26

27 We were thus confronted with a very basic problem. How
28 could we best utilize our greenhouse facilities to provide
29 optimum seedling growth for our research purposes. The
30 fluorescent lights that were already in place were inadequate
31 for our needs. Coincidentally, at this time, one of the
32 scientists involved was discussing the situation with the
33 greenhouse manager from the Botany Department of the University
34 of Alberta. He described how they had overcome low light levels
35 in their growth rooms by installing high intensity discharge

1 lamps (both high pressure sodium vapour lamps, and mercury
2 vapour or metal halide lamps). The combination of the two types
3 was necessary in growth rooms, where no natural daylight was
4 present, in order to provide the proper spectral balance that
5 would ensure optimal growth of plant specimens for both research
6 and teaching needs.

7

8 This seemed like an interesting proposition to us. Some
9 further investigation indicated that we would likely only need
10 to install high pressure sodium vapour lamps in our greenhouse
11 situation. A small number of high pressure sodium vapour lamps
12 were then purchased and installed in a small compartment. Their
13 effectiveness in providing an optimum environment for the growth
14 of conifers was then evaluated against the existing fluorescent
15 system, using 12 species of conifers. The results of this study
16 have been previously reported (Dymock and Wilson 1986). Our
17 conclusion was that we could provide near optimum growing
18 conditions through the use of high pressure sodium vapour lamps
19 as the only supplemental light source, for most of the conifer
20 species that we had tested.

21

22 In early 1983, funds became available to replace all of the
23 fluorescent lights in two of our three greenhouses with high
24 pressure sodium vapour lights. We now had greenhouse facilities
25 with a superior supplemental lighting system, that could satisfy
26 the requirements of most research personnel. Each house is
27 divided into five different compartments of differing size:
28 three small ones, one intermediate, and one large one, all
29 capable of providing close to an optimum growing environment for
30 conifer seedlings. Thus we could satisfy most requests for
31 quality research space.

32

33 With this brief history behind us now, I can return to the
34 matter of rearing conifers in containers for tree improvement
35 programs. As a plant physiologist with a background in growth

1 regulation, and having graduated from a Ph.D program at the
2 University of Calgary, I have been closely associated with Dr.
3 Richard P. Pharis, and have known of his work in the stimulation
4 of flowering in conifers through the use of growth regulators,
5 primarily gibberellins (Pharis and Kuo 1977; Ross, Pharis and
6 Binder 1983) for some time. I was also aware of the success of
7 Dr. Nicholas Wheeler, in which he was able to promote
8 accelerated growth (Wheeler 1979) and early flowering (Wheeler,k
9 Ying and Murphy 1982) in lodgepole pine seedlings and grafts,
10 through the use supplemental lights to provide a continuous 24
11 h photoperiod. This was done under greenhouse conditions,
12 during the first six months of growth. The supplemental lights
13 used were a combination of fluorescent and incandescent
14 fixtures.

15
16 Following subsequent examination of related literature, I
17 was surprised to find there was very little published on the
18 effects of using high intensity discharge lamps, regardless of
19 whether they were high pressure sodium vapour or metal halide
20 sources. Most people had grown conifers under controlled
21 environment conditions using either fluorescent or incandescent
22 light sources. Wheeler's report on flowering in lodgepole pine
23 (Wheeler et. al. 1982), made me wonder how this species would
24 respond under our high pressure sodium vapour lights,
25 particularly if the seedlings were grown in large containers for
26 up to six months.

27
28 We had initially looked at growth responses of twelve
29 species of conifers to continuous day-length under both
30 fluorescent vs. high pressure sodium lights as I have previously
31 mentioned (Dymock and Wilson 1986). So, it was decided to
32 incorporate the fluorescent plus incandescent light combination
33 into our study by retrofitting two of our remaining small
34 compartments, that were still equipped with fluorescent
35 fixtures, with incandescent lights. We now had six identical

1 five square meter benches, with three types of supplemental
2 light sources, under which we could examine two photoperiods
3 (18h day/6h night vs. continuous 24th daylight).

4

5 We then went into each compartment and measured the light
6 quantity on the benches, pot by pot, and produced a grid map of
7 each compartment, in order to see if we were getting any light
8 quantity gradients. We measured the photosynthetic photon flux
9 density (PPFD) using a LI-COR Model LI-185
10 Quantum/Radiometer/Photometer equipped with both a quantum
11 sensor and a photometric (lux) sensor. The PPFD is a measure of
12 the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) in the visible
13 light spectrum, from 400-700 nanometres. It is this light
14 energy that plants utilize for photosynthesis, with the trapped
15 light energy being converted to chemical energy, for use during
16 growth and development. It is expressed in units of energy
17 received/unit area/unit of time, and the correct units to use
18 are micromoles/square metre/second ($\mu\text{moles.m}^{-2} .\text{s}^{-1}$). It
19 corresponds in wavelength to light from the blue to the red ends
20 of the visible light spectrum. The red and blue regions of
21 visible light are the most important wavelengths used in
22 photosynthesis. To check the light quality, we monitored those
23 areas that gave PPFD readings closest to the calculated means,
24 from the grid networks, using a LI-COR Model LI-1800
25 Spectroradiometer, that scans a wide spectrum from 300-11000 nm.
26 The distances that the light sources were placed above the pot
27 surfaces were approximately 80 cm for fluorescent and
28 fluorescent plus incandescent units and 100 cm for high pressure
29 sodium vapour lamps. These distances provided optimum light
30 levels and area distribution to each bench, with the least
31 amount of shading of natural daylight from the overhead
32 fixtures.

33

34 The calculated mean values for the PPFD/bench for each type
35 of light source were as follows:

Fluorescent only	57.6 $\mu\text{moles.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$
Fluorescent plus Incandescent	71.5 $\mu\text{moles.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$
High Pressure Sodium Vapour	260.0 $\mu\text{moles.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$

The addition of incandescent fixtures to fluorescents only increased the mean PPFD by 14 $\mu\text{moles.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$, whereas the use of high pressure sodium vapour lights provided 4.5 times more usable photosynthetic light to each bench. If similar light measurements were taken using a light metre that provides output in lux, you would be fooled into believing that you had equivalent amounts of light available from each source. This is, however, not true! The reason is that a lux metre has its maximum sensitivity in the green region of visible light and also registers much of the infrared radiation (or heat energy). Neither of these regions are photosynthetically active. Therefore, greenhouse operators should not rely on lux metres to establish the amount of light being provided by supplemental light sources, or from daylight, unless it is done at the same time with a quantum sensor that measures PPFD.

We were also interested in effects of photoperiod and day-length extension, including the use of continuous daylength provided by supplemental lights, based upon the previous results obtained by Wheeler (1979) and Wheeler et.al. (1982). We wished to determine whether there was any advantage to be realized by gains in growth and development with the use of continuous photoperiods with each system. Thus, we used our standard 18h day/6h night vs. continuous daylight in a series of experiments with each of four species (lodgepole pine, white spruce, jack pine and black spruce) which began in the fall of 1983.

After thirty weeks under the six treatments for each species examined, it was apparent that high pressure sodium lights used with the 18 h day/6h night resulted in more vigorous seedling

1 growth, than either of the other two light types using either
2 photoperiod. Height growth was greatest in most species under
3 the 18 h sodium light treatments. Root development was
4 significantly greater under the 18 h high pressure sodium vapour
5 lights. Roots of seedlings grown under fluorescent lights
6 showed very little or almost no lateral root branching, and had
7 low root mass. Root development under fluorescent plus
8 incandescent lights showed better development, but not anywhere
9 near the root mass seen under 18 h sodium lights. In all cases
10 of the supplemental light treatments, the 18 h sodium treatments
11 produced a much greater stem diameter with a better balanced
12 shoot/root ratio for dry weight.

13
14 However, a word of caution must be made. There was no
15 further advantage reached by using 24 h sodium lights, as this
16 treatment had no significant effect on height growth, but
17 actually retarded both stem and root development. Similar
18 results were seen for 24 h photoperiods using the other two
19 sources.

20
21 The operating costs for each of the three lightning systems
22 and the two photoperiods used in the experiments, were then
23 estimated. Costs were extrapolated from the daily available
24 PPFD.bench⁻¹ and the power consumption used.bench⁻¹.day⁻¹. This
25 was converted into cost (\$).bench⁻¹.day⁻¹ for total consumed
26 electricity as shown in the following table:

1

2 Bench Area = 5 m²

3 ParameterLight Source

4 Photoperiod used FluorescentFluorescent + IncandescentSodium5 Daily PPFD

6 18 h 19.3 moles 27.5 moles69.3 moles

7 24 h 25.7 moles 36.7 moles92.6 moles

8 Power consumption

9 18 h 9.7 kWatts 45.7 kWatts36.0 kWatts

10 24 h 13.0 kWatts 61.0 kWatts48.0 kWatts

11 Electricity costs

12 18 h \$0.38 \$1.78 \$1.40

13 24 h \$0.51 \$2.38 \$1.87

14

15 The bottom line was that the fluorescent lights were the
 16 cheapest to operate but gave you the least amount of useable
 17 photosynthetically active light. The sodium lights were 3.6
 18 times more expensive to operate, but they did provide up to 4.5
 19 times more usable photosynthetically active radiation. Combined
 20 fluorescent plus incandescent lights were the most costly to
 21 operate, and only provided 1.4 times more usable light than
 22 fluorescents only, and only 27% of the usable light provided by
 23 less costly sodium lights.

24

25 One other unforeseen consideration was the amount of heat
 26 energy generated by the different source types. Both
 27 fluorescent plus incandescent and sodium lights generate
 28 significant amounts of heat. We used this to our advantage by
 29 installing fans to circulate this excess heat within each
 30 compartment. The end result was that the steam heating units
 31 were used far less during the time the lights were on in these
 32 two compartments, even during the coldest part of the winter.
 33 Steam heating was on far more frequently in the fluorescent only
 34 compartments, as these lights do not generate excessive amounts
 35 of heat from the bulbs, but do from the ballasts, which,

1 The roots in particular, seem to be weakened and become more
2 susceptible to otherwise normal saprophytic problems of both
3 insect and disease origins. With sodium lights, we have very
4 vigorous growth and far fewer pathological problems, especially
5 under the 18 h day. We have, however, encountered increased
6 insect and disease problems in trees grown under continuous (24
7 h) photoperiod, under sodium lights. Again, this is likely
8 related due to the lack of dark period, during which the tree
9 would normally translocate resources from the stem and roots.
10 The increased photosynthesis results in a larger shoot, in the
11 case of lodgepole pine, but under a 24 h photoperiod, the root
12 dry weight is only half of that seen in trees under the 18 h day
13 sodium lights.

14
15 The take home lesson here, then is that for tree improvement
16 programs, where you are rearing stock, particularly large stock
17 in large containers in greenhouses, for either breeding
18 purposes, or seed orchard establishments, then you can achieve
19 the greatest cost/benefits from the use of high pressure sodium
20 lights as your supplemental light source. You will end up with
21 more vigorous, well balanced, healthy stock, providing you use
22 moderation in the form of the 18h day/6h night photoperiod.

1 indoor potted orchards (cost justification for polystructure) vs
2 outdoor potted orchards revealed the following:

- 3 1) Double the females initiated indoors
- 4 2) Percent cone survival was greater indoors
- 5 3) Total seed per cone greater indoors
- 6 4) Percent filled seed greater indoors
- 7 5) Percent germination, no difference between outdoor and
8 indoor orchards.

9 The bottom line is: Outdoor orchard produced on average 1,500
10 filled seed per tree compared to 6,000 filled seed per tree
11 indoors which more than pays for simple plastic structure.

12

13 Question and Answer

14 J. Klein: I've heard orchard managers say that 80% to 90% of
15 the seed in an orchard comes from 10% or 20% of the
16 clones. Does this also occur with the indoor
17 potted orchards?

18

19 A. Eastham: No it doesn't. We get 80% of the clones come into
20 production as they age. The problem one encounters
21 then are physical orchard management problems due
22 to height of clones.

23

24 Will Fogal: In your white spruce seed production where do you
25 think your problem lies. Is this a pollination
26 problem?

27

28 A. Eastham: It's not a pollination problem. I think a lot of
29 my losses are occurring at fertilization; it either
30 does not occur or we suffer losses in the late
31 development phase. The megagametophyte tissue
32 starts to turn grey. There is no evidence of
33 insect or physical injury. I've read Sarvas (who
34 worked on Norway Spruce) who found that in the
35 spring when pollination is occurring there are cold

1 temperatures. While these cold temperatures do not
2 give you frost injury, the pollination process
3 ceases to function.

4

5 A. Nanka: At the present where you stand with interior spruce
6 seed production, what would be the cost
7 differential between soil based compared to potted
8 seed orchards?

9

10 A. Eastham: I can't give you a very reliable figure for two
11 reasons. We have not been able to do it
12 operationally and our soil based orchards are so
13 young we don't know what their production costs
14 will be. Initially the capital required with
15 respect to land costs results in the container seed
16 orchard being cheaper. Graft costs are the same
17 but potted orchards require slightly more trees to
18 achieve the same annual seed production.

1 REARING SEEDLINGS IN CONTAINERS FOR
2 TREE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS
3
4

5 by
6
7
8

9 Albert (Al) M. Nanka
10 Tree Improvement Specialist
11 Forestry Canada
12 Manitoba District Office

1 In this presentation I will focus on four key factors that
2 influence the production of quality seedlings for tree
3 improvement programs and give you a brief outline of the
4 cultural practice that I use. In a systems approach, cultural
5 practice is most important. After having reared numerous crops
6 and assessed various tree improvement plantations over the past
7 18 years, I view the four following factors most important.

8 (a) Procurement of an optimal growing facility
9 with good environment controls,

10 (b) Commensurate crop specifications to site
11 conditions

12 (c) appropriate container selection and

13 (d) choose a proven cultural practice.
14

15 Growing Facility

16 It is essential that the facility has a climate control
17 system capable of maintaining near optimum growing environment
18 (temp, light quality and intensity, humidity and air
19 circulation). Growing facilities in this latitude must have
20 high pressure sodium lights (approx $300 \text{ U moles m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) to grow
21 quality conifer crops between September and February.
22

23 Crop Specification

24 Container limitations and planting site conditions (climate,
25 soil type, site preparation and competition) must be known
26 before crop specifications can be set. I recommend selecting a
27 suitable planting site which will meet the test requirements.
28 Knowing the field conditions for the planting site, usually
29 generates some parameters for determining crop specifications.
30 When you know the field conditions that your crop is going to
31 encounter (growth rate of competition) crop height parameters
32 can be specified more accurately. Another reason for selecting
33 a planting site prior to determining crop specification is to
34 pre-empt site preparation needs which are equally important for
35 the success of a tree improvement program. I will limit this

1 discussion only to factors that have a direct effect on
2 production of quality seedlings.

3

4 Container Selection

5 A difficult task, because all containers have limiting
6 constraints. Furthermore, crop performance reports on container
7 suitability vary widely among growers because of variable
8 growing conditions and cultural practice. The advantage in
9 using containers for rearing seedlings for tree improvement
10 stock is that they facilitate the opportunity to grow a crop
11 under controlled conditions (greenhouse, uniform fertilizer
12 regime etc). The main disadvantage in using containers is the
13 cavity shape which restricts natural root expression and causes
14 root deformity, more so in pine than spruce. Once root
15 deformation has commenced it is difficult or even impossible to
16 correct. For example, root deformation or restriction in jack
17 pine seedlings can start as early as eight weeks in some
18 containers and continue to have an adverse affect on growth
19 performance for many years after planting. It is important to
20 know the required parameters for proper root development when
21 setting crop specifications for height. An approach which pre-
22 determines root development requirements for specific species in
23 relationship to crop height will help to eliminate undesirable
24 containers and assist in choosing the right type and size of
25 container. A suitable container must accommodate growth
26 characteristics without having any adverse effect on field
27 performance of seedling. For example, root pruning feature in
28 container design is essential, but must not impede root
29 distribution after planting. Meanwhile container should not
30 compromise in the production of the required seedling size.
31 Ideally, a near natural root and shoot growth pattern is
32 required to reflect accurately the test environment (site and
33 climate) x genotype interaction.

34

35

1 Logistic features like interchangeable cells (Leach-Tube)
2 are more practical, but not essential. Ultimately, container
3 selection should be based on achieving crop specification
4 without long term adversity in field performance rather than
5 choosing container by appearance, economy of scale or ease of
6 handling aspect.

8 Cultural Practice

9 I consider cultural practice the most vital task to rearing
10 quality seedlings for tree improvement. After planting, only a
11 top quality seedling can express an accurate environmental x
12 genotype interaction. For example, a progeny seedling must have
13 good root growth potential (have ample root tips ready to
14 promote root distribution) when planted in the test environment
15 to enable it to reflect accurately its growth rate potential
16 commensurable with the test population (its neighbours). If
17 this is not achieved, then the entire investment has been
18 sacrificed. Seedlings with spindly or succulent shoots are more
19 likely to perish than woody shoots. Yet, rearing and planting
20 investment is nearly the same as for quality stock.
21 Ramifications from poor stem quality or deformed roots are
22 enormous. Poor quality seedlings which linger just long enough
23 (5-7 years) to yield erroneous data are the worst prospect.
24 Therefore, it is imperative to choose a proven cultural practice
25 to optimize shoot and root growth development, beginning from
26 rearing through to plantation establishment and beyond.
27 Finally, prior to shipping date, test stock should have a near
28 natural root and shoot growth potential.

30 Current Cultural Practice I Use for Rearing Jack Pine Orchard 31 Stock

32 The following is a brief outline of the rearing methodology
33 I use to grow jack pine stock for mass selection seed orchards.
34 Some of these crop growing techniques have evolved over the past
35 15 years while I was rearing program test stock for the jack

1 pine breeding program at the Northern Forestry Centre. I used
2 various fertilizer regimes in earlier crops. A few reasonable
3 crops were reared using 20-20-20, N-P-K fertilizer for the
4 entire rearing period. Over a period of 8 years I have followed
5 the fertilizer regime outlined in Carlson's manual NOR-X-214E.
6 In more recent crops by changing fertilizer regime (to lower
7 nitrogen and higher phosphorus) at 11 weeks instead of 14 weeks,
8 I was able to develop seedlings with a better root system and
9 more shoot diameter (wood fibre). After planting, these
10 seedlings continued to exhibit better growth and drought
11 tolerance for several years in comparison to seedlings reared
12 with 20-20-20 N-P-K fertilizer regime. Now I will refer to
13 Table 1. Current Cultural Practice for Rearing Jack Pine
14 Orchard Stock. I want to emphasize some points in reference to
15 Table 1. For several reasons it is important to maintain
16 stringent effort when filling all cavities to a uniform level as
17 well as density. The most important reason is to achieve crop
18 balance. Cavities that are less than full media dry out sooner,
19 have less capacity to retain nutrition and less rooting volume.
20 Another important point. Apply cultural treatments on a regular
21 schedule. For example, irrigate on Mondays and fertilize on
22 Fridays, weekly. This practice reduces the risk of crop damage
23 due to drying out over the weekend. Also allows you to sample
24 growing media during mid-week, when nutrition level has
25 stabilized for more precise pH and EC determinations. Table 1
26 is self-explanatory as presented.

TABLE 1 - Current Cultural Practice for Rearing Jack Pine Orchard Stock

Time (weeks)	Task #	Cultural Practice
(0)	(1)	Set greenhouse temperature day 25°C/night 20°C
	(2)	Prepare growing media, check pH level in bagged "Sunshine" brand Peat.
	(3)	Adjust pH level to 5.5 by adding CaCO ₃ (powdered lime, horticultural grade) to bagged peat in mixing hopper prior to adding water.
	(4)	Bring moisture level in peat to desired level by misting with metered amount of water while breaking lumps in hopper with minimum of mechanical mixing.
	(5)	Fill container with peat to top of container. NB: maintain uniform level and density.
	(6)	Test peat filling density for optimal density. Medium should not settle more than 1cm after being saturated with water. NB: To prevent over compaction of medium, allow 1 hour for excess water to drip off before moving trays, or move gently.
	(7)	Saturate trays thoroughly prior to sowing. Sow 2 seeds per cavity, label trays. Commence sowing 2 hours after saturation or within a couple of days. Saturated trays should be covered overnight to prevent surface drying of medium.
	(8)	Cover peat surface totally with #2 granite grit immediately after sowing.
	(9)	Cover sown trays with clear or opaque polyethylene by pulling taunt and tucking tightly around corners and edges to retain moisture. Do not irrigate until germination is complete.
	(10)	Six to nine days after seeding (when most cotyledons touch plastic cover) remove polyethylene.
(1)	(11)	Mist with boom at 1100 hrs and 1300 hrs for 6 to 8 days after removing polyethylene.
	(12)	Set photoperiod 0200 hrs - 1600 hrs supplementary high pressure sodium light @ 300 μ moles/m ² s ⁻² .
(2)	(13)	First application of fertilizer during establishment phase: 115-15-77 ppm N-P-K @ 5L/100 cavities (750 cm ²)

Continued on Next Page

TABLE 1 - Continued

Time (weeks)	Task #	Cultural Practice
(2)	(14)	Weekly (Mon) irrigation 5L/100 cavities (750 cm ³).
	(15)	Monitor for Crop diseases.
(3-10)	(16)	Weekly (Fri) Fertilizer during rapid growth phase: 229-29-154 ppm N-P-K = 5 ppm Fe @ 5L/100 Cavities (750 cm ³). 125-60-159 ppm N-P-K = 5 ppm Fe @ 5L/100 Cavities (750 cm ³).
	(17)	Manicure and rotate crop: pluck off first needles from bottom up to about 7 cm. to prevent disease.
(11-16)	(18)	Weekly (Fri) Fertilizer during hardening phase: 45-99-165 ppm N-P-K + 5 ppm Fe @ 10L/100 Cavities (750 cm ³). Weekly (mon) irrigation @ 10L/100 cavities.
(17-25)	(19)	Weekly sample growing medium to determine pH and Ec level, pH target 5.5 to 6.5. Ec/ms/cm growing range .28 to .60. Leach crop when greater than .70.

WEEKS	NITROGEN	PHOSPHOROUS	POTASSIUM	IRON CHELATE
03-10	229	29	154	5 ppm
11-16	125	60	159	5 ppm
17-25	45	99	165	5 ppm

1 GROWING REGIME USED FOR TREE IMPROVEMENT CROPS AT PINELAND

2 Presented By Wendy Kozmak

3
4 At this time, two crops have been grown to the larger size
5 specifications required for the tree improvement program. (20-25
6 cm ht, 3-4 mm root collar, and a S:R ratio of between 4 and 5 to
7 1). From this, we have drawn up some guidelines to follow for
8 growing tree improvement crops.

9
10 Styroblock 20 containers are used. The plug volume is
11 approximately 330 c.c., and there are 45 cavities per tray. a
12 70-20-10 mix of peat, vermiculite and perlite is used. Trays
13 are filled on our seedling line ahead of time and moved to the
14 tree improvement facility prior to seeding.

15
16 Seeding is done by hand. 90 cavities are sown per family at
17 2 seeds/cavity, with a desired target of 69 plantable
18 seedlings/family. Once the trays are sown they are labelled
19 with the family member and gritted by hand. The two trays from
20 each family are placed in different spots in the greenhouse.
21 Once all the trays are in the greenhouse, they are watered till
22 the plug is wet half way down, and covered with poly.
23 Temperature is maintained at 27-30C, and high pressure sodium
24 lights are used to extend the photoperiod to an 18 hour day.
25 Poly is removed when the radicle is growing into soil, and
26 before the seed coat touches the poly. Once 10% germination is
27 achieved, the crop is fertilized once a week. H_3PO_4 (phosphoric
28 acid) is used to acidify the water to a pH of 4.5. Fertilizer
29 is injected into irrigation water with an injector pump. During
30 the juvenile growth stage 20-20-20 is injected at 50 p.p.m.
31 This is increased to 100 p.p.m. during the exponential growth
32 stage. Once 10% germination is reached, an application of
33 fungicide is used to protect the crop from damping off.
34 Temperatures are lowered to 25C(day) and 18C(night), and the 18
35 hour photoperiod is maintained through the juvenile and

1 exponential growth stages. The E.C. of the media is monitored
2 and the crop is leached if the E.C. exceeds 2000 umhos.

3
4 When the crop reaches 18-20 cm in height, the hardening off
5 process is begun. Fertilizing stops, the crop is leached and
6 then drought stressed, supplemental lighting is stopped, and
7 the crop is sprayed with fungicide to prevent botrytis. Once
8 the bud has been initiated fertilizing is resumed, using 8-20-30
9 at 50 p.p.m. Two weeks prior to stock moving out, the stock is
10 exposed to outside temperatures to acclimatize it. When the
11 stock is moved into the shade frame it is protected with 50%
12 shade for 7-10 days and irrigation and fertilizer applications
13 continue till the crop is shipped.

1 TREE IMPROVEMENT IN NOVA SCOTIA

2 Poster by Tim Mullin

3
4 Planting Program

5 Reforestation in Nova Scotia currently amount to 35 million
6 seedlings planted annually. About 85% of these are grown in
7 multipot style containers. Greenhouse facilities are operated
8 by the Department of Land and Forests (3 + 1 under construction)
9 and by each of the 3 major pulp and paper companies in the
10 Province. There are 2 bareroot nurseries operated by the
11 Department.

12
13 Tree Improvement Working Group

14 A cooperative breeding program has been in place since 1977.
15 Coordination of the program is the responsibility of the
16 Department of Lands and Forests, which also operates a
17 specialized propagation facility to service the program.
18 Technical assistance and supporting research is provided by the
19 Canadian Forestry Service - Maritimes. Seed orchards and field
20 test are managed by the Department and the 3 industrial
21 cooperators: Bowater-Mersey Paper Co. Ltd., Scott Worldwide
22 Inc., and Stora Forest Industries.

23
24 Species and Strategies

25 The primary focus of the breeding program is on the key
26 reforestation species: black, red and white spruce. Together,
27 these three species account for 85% of the annual nursery
28 production.

29
30 For black spruce, open-pollinated seed is collected from
31 field-selected "plus trees", and used to establish seedling seed
32 orchards. Family tests are established concurrently on typical
33 planting sites and used to identify the top 10% of the original
34 families. Selections made within these families are then
35 grafted into second-generation orchards and included in

1 polycross and single-pair mating schemes. A slightly different
2 strategy has been employed for the Cape Breton Highlands area,
3 taking advantage of clonal propagation techniques already in use
4 for planting stock production.

5

6 Red and white spruce are grafted directly to clonal seed
7 orchards from selected plus trees. Early flowering on grafts is
8 used to carry out polycross and single-pair matings. Progeny
9 tests of this material will identify good "general combiners",
10 and provide pedigreed material for second-generation selection.

11

12 Minor programs are carried out with white pine and Norway
13 spruce. Recent interest in exotic larches has led to seed
14 source trial concentrating on Japanese larch and European-
15 Japanese hybrids.

16

17

T.J. (Tim) Mullin
Tree Breeding Centre
Debert, Nova Scotia

18

19

1 ONTARIO TREE IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

2 Poster By: Jim Coles

3
4 OTIC began operations in January 1985 and since then has
5 concentrated on the classical approach to tree improvement in
6 five breeding zones across Northern Ontario. The strategy
7 employed for both black spruce and jack pine is the extensive
8 selection of individuals from wild stand followed by the
9 establishment of seedling seed orchards and open-pollinated
10 family tests. Seed orchards will be rogued of their poorest
11 families based on the results of the family tests.
12

13 NORTHWESTERN REGION

14 Boise-Cascade Ltd., Great Lakes Forest Products Ltd. and the
15 Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources are cooperating to improve
16 jack pine in two adjacent breeding zones centred around Fort
17 Frances and Dryden. Approximately 900 plus trees have been
18 selected and will be established in two seed orchards and six
19 family test by 1989.
20

21 NORTH CENTRAL REGION

22 Abitibi-Price Inc., Great Lakes Forest Products Ltd. and
23 OMNR are cooperating to improve black spruce and jack pine in a
24 large area to the west of Lake Nipigon. Roughly 450 jack pine
25 has been selected and were established in a 14 ha. seed orchard
26 and four family tests this past summer. Approximately 500 black
27 spruce has been selected and will be established in two 16 ha.
28 seed orchards and four family tests during 1988.
29

30 NORTHERN REGION

31 Abitibi-Price Inc., OMNR and Quebec & Ontario Paper Co. are
32 cooperating to improve black spruce in the Lake Abitibi-Cochrane
33 area. 450 plus trees have been selected and will be established
34 in a 36 ha. orchard and four family tests by 1989.
35

1 OMNR, Quebec & Ontario Paper Co., and Waferboard Corp. are
2 cooperating to improve both black spruce and jack pine in the
3 Timmins-Kirkland Lake area. Roughly 450 selections have been
4 found for each species and 12 ha. of Sb and 16 Ha. of Pj along
5 with the family tests will be outplanted this coming spring.

6
7 Because of the large quantities of seed required, OTIC has
8 opted to establish soil-based orchards within the pertinent
9 breeding zones for our first generation production. The
10 opportunity exists, however, to move quickly to potted indoor
11 orchards for seed production in the second generation or for
12 those species with smaller planting programs. Over the past 30
13 years, OMNR has intensively selected hundreds of white spruce
14 whose ramets are languishing in clone banks and unproductive
15 orchards. These excellent clones could be regrafted, placed in
16 potted indoor orchards and brought to production quickly to
17 supply the Sw seed requirements for virtually all the North
18 Central and Northern region. In addition, of interest is whose
19 in a species such as tamarack, a potted indoor orchard could be
20 quickly established with a few intensively selected individuals.
21 Likewise, as the best individuals from the best families are
22 identified in our current tests, OTIC may utilize the inherent
23 flexibility of potted indoor orchards to produce second
24 generation seed for such high value planting programs as on
25 prime sites.

1 WEYERHAEUSER CANADA LTD., SASKATCHEWAN DIVISION

2 PRINCE ALBERT PULPWOOD

3 (Prince Albert, Saskatchewan)

4 Poster By: Diane Roddy and Spencer McDougald

5
6 The Pulpwood Division of Weyerhaeuser Canada Ltd. is
7 responsible for supplying chips and roundwood to the Prince
8 Albert Pulpmill. The pulpmill used jack pine, black spruce and
9 white spruce to produce a softwood pulp for light-weight papers
10 and trembling aspen to produce a hardwood pulp for medium bulk
11 papers.

12
13 We began a tree improvement program for jack pine in 1978
14 and a program for white spruce in 1983. Clonal orchards have
15 been established in both programs. The jack pine orchard is now
16 producing small amounts of seed for operational planting and we
17 have started doing cross pollinations within the orchard.
18 Family tests for 200 select jack pine are established and the
19 oldest one have now had seven (7) growing seasons in the field.
20 In the white spruce program a seed production area has also been
21 established (to provide a seed supply until the orchard comes
22 into production) and we are currently working on a program to
23 establish family tests of 200 select spruce trees.

24
25 On our seed orchard site there is one small experimental
26 greenhouse in which we raise seed form selected pine and spruce
27 parents to outplant in the family tests. This greenhouse is
28 also used for grafting -- to get material to supplement our
29 regular field grafting program for the orchards with.

30
31 Seed collected from the jack pine orchard and any batched
32 "leftover" seeds from select trees (not needed for the family
33 tests) are raised in the provincial government greenhouses. The
34 provincial government also provides us with larger seedlings to
35 use as grafting rootstock.

1 Cone and seed processing for all tree improvement material
2 is done right at the seed orchard, using a cone drying trailer
3 and equipment for processing small seedlots.

4

1 B.C. MINISTRY OF FORESTS AND LANDS

2 Research Laboratory

3 Poster By: Andrea Eastham

4 Current Activities in Tree Improvement Research

5
6 The seed supply research group at the laboratory consists of
7 four professionals and five technicians. The professionals and
8 their area of expertise are:

9 Steve Ross - project leader, flowering physiology
10 Joe Webber - pollen management
11 Carole Leadem - seed physiology
12 Andrea Eastham - horticulture, container seed orchard
13 (CSO) development
14

15 Dr. Ross is currently studying crown management, plant
16 growth regulators and cone induction treatment timing for soil-
17 based orchards and CSO's in Douglas-fir, western hemlock and
18 interior spruce; also, developing the CSO system to operational
19 status. Dr. Webber has been actively studying pollen viability,
20 storage, handling and pollination in Douglas-fir, western
21 hemlock and more recently in yellow cedar and interior spruce.
22 Dr. Leadem has been working with the nurseries and seed centre
23 on such problems as seed storage, handling, testing, pelleting
24 and stratification in a number of species. Ms. Eastham's
25 research has been directed towards the CSO system development
26 and the culture of large potted conifers - growing media,
27 fertilization, irrigation, pest control, crown pruning and
28 accelerated growth in several species; also, conducts research
29 in cone and seed development, seed pre-conditioning and seedling
30 growth.

31
32 Andrea Eastham, P.Ag.
33 Horticulturist
34 BCMOFL
35 Research Laboratory
36 Victoria, B.C.
37 (604) 387-3117

1 SHELTERBELT TREE IMPROVEMENT - PFRA TREE NURSERY

2 Poster By: W.R. (Bill) Schroeder

3
4 In recent years several new tree improvement programs have
5 been initiated at the PFRA Tree Nursery. Current emphasis is on
6 genetic improvement of shelterbelt species through plus tree
7 selection, provenance testing, establishment of seed orchards
8 and progeny tests. Work has concentrated on the following
9 species:

10
11 1. Poplar

12 Poplar improvement has involved hybridization and selection
13 of superior trees. In the past five years over 200 selected
14 clones have been propagated. In 1986 one of the selections,
15 'Assiniboine', was released for planting in prairie
16 shelterbelts.

17
18 2. Green ash

19 In 1985 and 1986 seed was collected from the northern range
20 of green ash in North America. This included 36 sources
21 from Nebraska, N. Dakota, S. Dakota, Manitoba and
22 Saskatchewan. In total 100 families are represented.
23 Provenance planting will commence in 1989.

24
25 3. Scots pine

26 Interprovenance breeding of Scots pine continues. To date
27 over 300 crosses have been completed. Progeny tests are
28 established at several locations in 1987 and plus trees were
29 grafted for establishment of a clonal seed orchard in 1988.
30 A superior Siberian strain of Scots pine has been developed
31 with first production stock being available in 1991.

32
33 4. Siberian larch

34 The Siberian larch program was initiated in 1985 with the
35 collection of seed from the native range of larch in

1 Siberia. Once a base population exists interprovenance
2 breeding will be initiated. Plans are for provenance tests
3 to be established in the fall of 1987. Extensive research
4 on propagation (seed and cuttings), nursery management and
5 establishment techniques for this species is underway.

6
7 5. Ponderosa pine

8 The GP13 ponderosa pine progeny test was established on a
9 farmstead near Indian Head in 1986. The planting is three
10 acres in size, includes 65 families arranged in a randomized
11 complete block non-continuous design for a total of 1365
12 trees. The planting is surrounded by a one row buffer
13 planting of Scots pine. Final spacing of the planting was
14 2.5 x 3.6 m (8' x 12'). Immediately after mechanical
15 planting the seedlings were hand watered and shaded with
16 cedar shingles. The planting was kept weed free during 1986
17 using mechanical cultivation. A fall application of linuron
18 in 1986 will provide weed control during the 1987 growing
19 season. In late fall the seedlings were sprayed with rodent
20 repellant and antidessicant.

21
22 The 1986/87 winter was very mild by Canadian standards and
23 snow cover was nonexistent. In spite of these conditions
24 overwinter survival was excellent (ranged from 95 to 100
25 percent). The major problem to date has been soil erosion
26 within the planting. Plans to erect rows of snow fencing
27 perpendicular to the prevailing winds.

28
29 6. USSR seed collection

30 In July of 1987 a delegation including John Kort and Bill
31 Schroeder will be touring the Soviet Union. One of the
32 objectives of the tour is to obtain germplasm of tree and
33 shrub species that could be incorporated into our
34 shelterbelt program. Some of the species we will be
35 attempting to obtain are:

- | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| 1 | - <i>Ulmus pumila</i> var. <i>arborea</i> | - <i>Fraxinus viridis</i> |
| 2 | - <i>Ulmus laevis</i> | - <i>Larix sibirica</i> |
| 3 | - <i>Siberian crataegus</i> | - <i>Pinus sibirica</i> |
| 4 | - <i>Picea obovata</i> | - <i>Cornus sibirica</i> |
| 5 | - <i>Pyrus baccata</i> | - <i>Ribes nigrum</i> |

6

7 The preceding species are commonly used in Siberian
8 shelterbelt planting programs. In return we will be
9 providing the Soviets with seed of native Canadian species
10 used in our shelterbelt programs.

FAST GROWING FORESTS
Tree Improvement Program
Poster By: B.A. Barkley

The Fast Growing Forests Group (FGF), Brockville, Ontario, is currently engaged in two major parallel programs in tree improvement. Poplar tree improvement has been an ongoing program since the early 1970's and is currently at an advance stage of clonal testing and deployment. A conifer tree improvement program for the Eastern Region was initiated in 1985. The major species for improvement, are in order of priority, white pine (Pinus strobus L.), Norway spruce (Picea abies (L.) Karst) and three species of larch (Larix laricina (Du Roi) K. Koch, L. leptolepis (Sieb. & Zucc.) Gord, and L. decidua Mill.)

Conifer tree improvement efforts over the past two years have focused primarily on the establishment and maintenance of controlled seed collection areas (SCAs and SPAs), plus tree selection and grafting, white pine breeding, and the development of indoor breeding facilities and treatment regimes.

Conifer plus tree selection throughout the region has resulted with over 400 conifer selections made to-date. Selections are made from native populations. Scions of selected trees are grafted onto potted rootstock. Grafts are allocated to archives, production seed orchards, and the breeding hall.

Breeding work for all species is carried out in a breeding hall located at Kemptville Nursery. This gutter-connected greenhouse measures 22m x 33m, for a total floor area of approximately 722 sq.m., and has 3.05 m straight sidewalls. To-date, breeding work in the hall has focused primarily on white pine. A total of 60 controlled crosses have been made to-date. The ensuing progeny trials will provide the basis for rouging two 8 ha. white pine production seed orchards that are to be

1 established over the next several years. These progeny trials
2 will also provide the source of second generation selections.

3
4 Development work is ongoing in the breeding hall, aimed at
5 accelerating the breeding cycle by reducing the time required
6 for grafts to flower. Studies have been initiated to define
7 treatment regimes that 1) enhance vegetative growth and crown
8 development, and 2) promote precocious enhance flowering.
9 Treatments now under study include the application of
10 gibberellin, root pruning, moisture stress, and forcing early
11 bud break.

12
13 Poplar tree improvement work has been ongoing since the
14 early 1970's. To-date, over 2000 plus tree selections have been
15 made of native poplar species (Populus deltoides, P.
16 tremuloides, P. grandidentata, and P. balsamifera). Emphasis on
17 breeding of P. deltoides has been increasing, with aims of
18 exploring and enhancing the native gene pool. Additionally,
19 interspecific crosses are being made to produce first generation
20 hybrid populations from which to select individuals for
21 systematic testing in clonal screening trials.

22
23 B.A. Barkley, Co-ordinator
24 Fast Growing Forests Group
25 Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources
26 P.O. Box 605, Oxford Avenue
27 Brockville, Ontario (613) 342-8524
28
29
30
31
32