

Supporting large-area, sample-based forest inventories with very high spatial resolution satellite imagery

Michael J. Falkowski^{1,2}, Michael A. Wulder^{1*}, Joanne C. White¹, and Mark D. Gillis¹

Affiliation:

¹Canadian Forest Service (Pacific Forestry Centre), Natural Resources Canada, 506 West Burnside Road, Victoria, BC V8Z 1M5, Canada

²School of Forest Resources and Environmental Science, Michigan Technological University, 1400 Townsend Drive, Houghton, MI 49931, USA

*Corresponding author:

Michael A. Wulder

Email: mike.wulder@nrcan.gc.ca; Phone: 250-363-6090; Fax: 250-363-0775

Key words: monitoring, forest, inventory, update, image processing, sampling, WorldView, QuickBird, Landsat

Pre-print of published version.

Reference:

Falkowski, M.J., M.A. Wulder, J.C. White, and M.D. Gillis (2009). Supporting large-area, sample-based forest inventories with very high spatial resolution satellite imagery. *Progress in Physical Geography*. Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 403–423.

DOI.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0309133309342643>

Disclaimer:

The PDF document is a copy of the final version of this manuscript that was subsequently accepted by the journal for publication. The paper has been through peer review, but it has not been subject to any additional copy-editing or journal specific formatting (so will look different from the final version of record, which may be accessed following the DOI above depending on your access situation).

Note that Falkowski has changed affiliation to: School of Forest Resources and Environmental Science, Michigan Technological University, 1400 Townsend Drive, Houghton, MI 49931, USA

1 **Abstract**

2 Information needs associated with forest management and reporting requires data with a
3 steadily increasing level of detail and temporal frequency. Remote sensing satellites
4 commonly used for forest monitoring (e.g., Landsat, SPOT) typically collect imagery
5 with sufficient temporal frequency, but lack the requisite spatial and categorical detail for
6 some forest inventory information needs. Aerial photography remains a principal data
7 source for forest inventory; however, information extraction is primarily accomplished
8 through manual processes. The spatial, categorical, and temporal information
9 requirements of large-area forest inventories can be met through sample-based data
10 collection. Opportunities exist for very high spatial resolution (VHSR) (i.e., < 1 m)
11 remotely sensed imagery to augment traditional data sources for large-area, sample-based
12 forest inventories, especially for inventory update.

13 In this communication we synthesize the state-of-the-art in the use of high spatial
14 resolution remotely sensed imagery for forest inventory and monitoring. Based upon this
15 review, we develop a framework for updating a sample-based, large-area forest inventory
16 that incorporates VHSR imagery. Using the information needs of the Canadian National
17 Forest Inventory (NFI) for context, we demonstrate the potential capabilities of VHSR
18 imagery in four phases of the forest inventory update process: stand delineation,
19 automated attribution, manual interpretation, and indirect attribute modeling. Although
20 designed to support the information needs of the Canadian NFI, the framework presented
21 herein could be adapted to support other sample-based, large-area forest monitoring
22 initiatives.

1 **I Introduction**

2 Forest ecosystems influence human well-being through the provision of natural resources
3 as well as other economic and ecological benefits. Inventory and subsequent monitoring
4 of forested ecosystems across large areas is paramount to the development and evaluation
5 of effective sustainable forest management practices and policies (Gillis, 2001). Baseline
6 data and knowledge of forest dynamics are required to monitor and better understand
7 interactions between forests, human activities, and the atmosphere (IPCC, 2000). Early
8 forest inventories were commodity driven and therefore primarily relied upon *in situ*
9 field measurements to estimate timber quantity and quality across small tracts of
10 forestland (Köhl *et al.*, 2006). Increasing concerns over various environmental issues—
11 coupled with sustainable forest management needs—have expanded the spatial, temporal,
12 and categorical details demanded of forest inventories (Corona *et al.*, 2003). Today,
13 forest inventories are aimed at informing a variety of long term objectives including
14 biodiversity monitoring, carbon accounting, habitat protection, and sustainable timber
15 production (Wulder *et al.*, 2004a; McRoberts and Tomppo, 2007). Supporting these
16 objectives often requires timely forest inventory data across large spatial extents.

17 The synoptic spatial coverage provided by remote sensing can facilitate the timely
18 characterization of forest ecosystems across large areas (Wulder *et al.*, 2004b; McRoberts
19 and Tomppo, 2007). However, the efficacy of supporting large-area forest inventories
20 with remotely sensed data depends upon the relationship between the scale of the object
21 of interest (e.g., individual trees, disturbance events) and sensor-specific characteristics
22 such as resolution (including spatial, spectral, and temporal aspects) and spatial extent
23 (Coops *et al.*, 2007). For instance, remote sensing systems that acquire images with large
24 spatial extents will have a lower spatial resolution, and will ultimately measure less
25 spatial detail compared to images acquired by higher spatial resolution sensors that
26 provide detailed depictions of forest characteristics across small spatial extents (Table 1;
27 Figure 1). In terms of operational forest inventory and assessment, objects of interest
28 (e.g., trees) are much smaller than the pixel size of medium spatial resolution remotely
29 sensed data (i.e., with spatial resolutions ranging from 10–30 m), thereby prohibiting the
30 direct measurement of object properties (e.g., tree locations, tree crown dimensions) with
31 such data. Conversely, when considering very high spatial resolution (VHSR) sensors
32 (i.e., with a spatial resolution ≤ 1 m), objects of interest (e.g., trees) are larger than the
33 image pixel size, making it possible to directly measure certain object properties
34 (Strahler *et al.*, 1986).

35 Large-area forest inventories could benefit from the increased spatial detail
36 provided by VHSR remote sensing. We propose a scenario whereby VHSR imagery is
37 integrated with an existing large-area, sample-based forest inventory framework for
38 inventory update where *a priori* data exist. In this communication, we review the role of
39 remotely sensed data in a sample-based, large-area forest inventory, with a specific focus
40 upon synthesizing the state-of-the-art in VHSR remote sensing for forest inventory and
41 assessment. Based upon this review we develop a large area forest inventory framework
42 that incorporates VHSR remotely sensed data. Although we focus on developing capacity
43 to service the information needs of the Canadian National Forest Inventory, the
44 framework presented herein is portable to other regional, national, international, or global
45 forest inventory and monitoring initiatives.

1 II Remotely sensed data in support of national forest inventories

2 Current National Forest Inventory (NFI) systems aim to provide comprehensive
3 characterizations of forest ecosystem conditions across large areas. Data collected by
4 NFIs are commonly employed to assess the status and sustainability of forest resources,
5 evaluate forest management practices, and to support national and international reporting
6 requirements such as the State of the Forests, the United Nations Framework on Climate
7 Change, the Kyoto Protocol, or the Montréal Process (Gillis, 2001; McRoberts and
8 Tomppo, 2007). In order to provide inventory data in an efficient, timely manner, NFIs
9 typically employ statistical sampling protocols to generate nationally consistent estimates
10 of forest characteristics. NFIs also rely upon multiple sources of information, often
11 including both *in situ* field measurements and remotely sensed data (Kohl *et al.*, 2006),
12 the latter of which can increase sampling efficiency and improve the characterization of
13 forest resources across large spatial extents.

14 Remotely sensed data have long been used to augment traditional field-based
15 forest inventories. Manually deriving forest inventory data from analog aerial
16 photography has historically been the primary application of remotely sensed data in
17 support of forest inventories (Franklin, 2001; Hall, 2003). Since no other optical remote
18 sensing system can compete with the spatial resolution and affordability of analog aerial
19 photography, it remains an attractive data source for forest inventory and assessment
20 (Hall, 2003). However, the continual development and improvement of remote sensing
21 technology and related analysis techniques result in an increased utilization of such data
22 in forest inventory applications. Today there are a myriad of options available for
23 supporting NFI efforts with remotely sensed data. For example, NFIs often leverage
24 remotely sensed data to (i) improve the accuracy, precision, and efficiency of forest
25 inventories, (ii) develop wall-to-wall maps of forest inventory attributes, or (iii) generate
26 basic forest inventory information in lieu of *in situ* field measurements (McRoberts and
27 Tomppo, 2007).

28 Satellite remote sensing has been recognized as an attractive data source for forest
29 inventory and assessment as no other data acquisition system or protocol can match the
30 spatial coverage, timeliness, and data consistency provided via satellite platforms (Cohen
31 *et al.*, 1996; Franklin, 2001). A number of satellite remotely sensed data sources have
32 been used in support of forest inventory. For example, medium spatial resolution remote
33 sensing platforms are particularly useful for estimating and mapping broad-scale forest
34 characteristics (e.g., forest area, land cover (Wulder *et al.*, 2008a; 2008b)) and for
35 monitoring forest change across large spatial extents (e.g., Kennedy *et al.*, 2007).

36 Data collected via medium spatial resolution satellite sensors have been used in
37 conjunction with *in situ* field data to generate large area estimates of forest characteristics
38 such as vegetation cover type (Wulder *et al.*, 2008a; 2008b), timber volume (Meng *et al.*,
39 2007), biomass (Zheng *et al.*, 2004), crown closure (Xu *et al.*, 2003), and leaf area index
40 (Pocewicz *et al.*, 2004), among others. The spatial resolution, extent, and comprehensive
41 coverage provided via medium spatial resolution sensors are also ideal for characterizing
42 and monitoring phenomena such as deforestation and reforestation across large spatial
43 extents (Steininger *et al.*, 2001; Schroeder *et al.*, 2007). Since medium spatial resolution
44 sensors do not resolve individual trees, detailed forest inventory attributes are not easily
45 discernable, leading some to question the efficacy of medium spatial resolution imagery
46 for forest inventory because these data do not provide information at scales relevant to

1 meet particular operational forest management and planning needs (e.g., Meyer and
2 Werth, 1990; Holmgren and Thuresson, 1998). Furthermore, the mixed-pixel nature of
3 medium spatial resolution data (Cracknell, 1998) can lead to errors (e.g., under-detection
4 of subtle changes in forest condition) when employing such data to monitor changes
5 through time (Foody, 2001).

6 Remotely sensed images acquired via VHSR sensors (spatial resolution ≤ 1 m) are
7 able to resolve individual trees, and thereby enable more accurate estimates of detailed
8 forest inventory attributes (e.g., Chubey *et al.*, 2006; Kayitakire *et al.*, 2006; Ozdemir,
9 2008). In addition to improving the precision of satellite based estimates of forest
10 inventory attributes, the increased spatial detail of VHSR data could facilitate the
11 characterization of subtle changes in forest structure through time.

13 **III Forest inventory via VHSR satellite remote sensing**

14 VHSR satellite sensors have emerged as promising data sources for forest inventory and
15 assessment. The sub-meter spatial resolution of new satellite sensors (e.g., QuickBird and
16 WorldView-1; 0.61, and 0.5 m panchromatic spatial resolution, respectively) affords the
17 detection of individual tree characteristics such as tree crown diameter and shadow length
18 (Ozdemir, 2008), leading to improved estimates of many forest inventory attributes
19 including crown closure, tree density, and stand volume (Wulder, 1998). The continual
20 development of VHSR sensors and associated data processing algorithms, coupled with
21 an increase in data availability, will likely improve our ability to conduct spatially
22 detailed forest inventories across large spatial extents (Culvenor, 2003).

23 There are numerous satellite platforms in orbit acquiring imagery with spatial
24 resolutions ≤ 1 m over panchromatic wavelengths (Table 2). The ability of these
25 acquisition systems to provide consistent, timely data with a high degree of geometric
26 fidelity (Aguilar *et al.*, 2008) makes them attractive data sources for forest inventory and
27 assessment. Supporting large area forest inventories with VHSR imagery presents a
28 number of unique challenges. For instance, small image extents will lead to high data
29 acquisition costs as many scenes are required to characterize large areas (Table 1)
30 (Wulder *et al.* 2008c). If costs are not a limitation, the off-nadir view angles and differing
31 solar and atmospheric conditions associated with multiple scenes would require
32 substantial image processing to reduce edge effects and to facilitate image mosaicking;
33 otherwise, time and computationally intensive single scene processing and attribution
34 would be required. Using VHSR images in a sampling mode and restricting image
35 acquisition to sample plot areas (i.e., following the sampling protocol and photo plots
36 established by the NFI program) reduces these aforementioned problems, and enables the
37 generation of desired information in a manner analogous to national ongoing large-area
38 forest inventory frameworks.

39 Other challenges associated with using VHSR imagery include the use of
40 relatively new processing techniques specifically developed for analyzing VHSR data. In
41 some cases, these techniques may not yet be as robust as more established methods, or
42 may not be widely available in commercial image processing software. Furthermore, the
43 overall accuracy of many VHSR image processing techniques is dependent upon
44 structural characteristics of the forest (e.g., forest type, canopy structure, tree size) and

1 upon sun-sensor-surface geometry, especially in northern environments characterized by
2 low sun angles and open canopy, low stature forests.

3 Incorporating VHSR satellite imagery into existing large-area, sample-based
4 forest inventory frameworks may provide a means to increase overall inventory
5 efficiency and precision. Image processing techniques for the automatic extraction of
6 forest inventory attributes from VHSR images are increasingly mature, with the option of
7 either modeling or manually interpreting attributes that are difficult to extract via
8 automated techniques. As a caution, extracting forest inventory information from VHSR
9 images often requires relatively new processing techniques specifically developed for
10 analyzing VHSR data. Furthermore, the application of such techniques may be regionally
11 specific or only applicable in certain forest structural types. Some of the most promising
12 approaches for estimating forest inventory attributes for VHSR imagery include image
13 segmentation, texture analysis, and shadow analysis, among others (Table 3). In the
14 following sections, we discuss each of these methods in the context of forest inventory
15 and assessment.

16 *1 Image segmentation*

17 Image segmentation refers to the use of automated algorithms to partition remotely
18 sensed images into homogenous, mutually distinct spatial units. In terms of forest
19 inventory applications, image segmentation can further be characterized as either forest
20 stand segmentation or individual tree crown segmentation. The goal of forest stand
21 segmentation is to partition an image into spatial units that are homogenous in terms of
22 forest composition and structure (i.e., forest stands). The basic assumption associated
23 with forest stand segmentation is that spectral and spatial image features serve as
24 reasonable proxies for forest attributes used to define stands; spatial aggregations of these
25 image features should therefore represent forest units that are homogenous in terms of
26 structure and composition (e.g., Pekkarinen, 2002; Chubey *et al.*, 2006; Wulder *et al.*,
27 2008d). Automated stand segmentation has shown to have utility as a surrogate method
28 for manual stand delineation from aerial photography. For example, Radoux and
29 Defourny (2007) implemented a region-merging segmentation algorithm to partition an
30 IKONOS image into unique forest stands, and found that the image segments conformed
31 to 1:20,000 scale mapping standards. In a separate study, Wulder *et al.* (2008d) evaluated
32 the efficacy of stand segmentation as compared to manual stand delineation techniques.
33 They conclude that automated image segmentation of VHSR satellite imagery was a
34 viable alternative to manual stand delineation in areas where aerial photography is
35 difficult to acquire or where there is limited forest inventory information available
36 (Wulder *et al.*, 2008d).

37 Once an image has been segmented into unique spatial units representing forest
38 stands, many different techniques can be used to generate forest inventory attributes
39 within each of these unique segments. These include manual interpretation, tree crown
40 isolation, and texture analysis, among others. For example, Chubey *et al.* (2006)
41 classified crown closure, stand height, and stand age based upon texture metrics
42 calculated within stand segments derived from IKONOS imagery.

43 Although image segmentation has shown promise for automatically delineating
44 forest stands, some limitations have been identified in the literature. For example, when
45 compared to manually delineated stand boundaries, automatically derived stand

1 boundaries can be more convoluted where there are complex or indistinct edges between
2 objects. Edge smoothing algorithms are common (e.g., McMaster, 1987) enabling
3 mitigation of this concern. More notable and less easily addressed is the grouping of
4 objects that are categorically different yet appear similar on imagery. For instance, roads
5 may be coupled with newly harvested areas, or wetland areas may be grouped with
6 mature conifer forests. When additional algorithm developments cannot decouple
7 features in these types of instances, some manual editing may be required (Wulder *et al.*
8 2008d). Furthermore, segmenting VHSR forest scenes can be particularly challenging as
9 there are often multiple features occurring at different scales within the same image (e.g.,
10 shadows, tree crowns, stands, lakes, rivers) (Tian and Chen, 2007), indicating a need for a
11 multi-scale segmentation approach or for users to be mindful of the computation scale
12 and expected features.

13 *2 Crown segmentation*

14 Crown segmentation or isolation is focused upon delineating individual tree crowns from
15 VHSR remotely sensed data. A variety of techniques have been developed to
16 automatically isolate individual trees. Some of the most widely applied methods include
17 (i) local maximum filtering (Wulder *et al.*, 2004c), (ii) multi-scale, object-based methods
18 (Wang *et al.*, 2004; Strand *et al.*, 2006; Palenichke and Zeramba 2007; Chubey *et al.*,
19 2006; Falkowski *et al.*, 2008), (iii) valley following algorithms (Gougeon and Leckie,
20 2006; Leckie *et al.*, 2003), and (iv) feature matching techniques (Greenberg *et al.*, 2006).
21 Following delineation, individual tree crown dimensions can be used to directly estimate
22 many forest inventory attributes including stand density and canopy closure (Wulder,
23 1998; Leckie *et al.*, 2006). Following tree crown segmentation, other attributes such as
24 timber volume, biomass, or diameter distributions can be modeled via allometry (Palace
25 *et al.*, 2008; Popescu, 2007).

26 A shortcoming of many automatic tree crown segmentation techniques is that the
27 resultant accuracy of the segmentation is often dependent upon the structural complexity
28 and density of the forest stands being segmented: greater delineation accuracy is attained
29 in open single-story stands, while comparatively lower accuracy is attained in closed
30 multi-story stands (Maltamo *et al.*, 2004). The overlap of individual tree crowns also
31 influences the accuracy of automated tree detection algorithms (Wang *et al.*, 2004;
32 Palenichke and Zeramba, 2007; Falkowski *et al.* 2008). Furthermore, the efficacy of tree
33 crown detection techniques is generally lower in areas exhibiting large variation in crown
34 shapes and sizes, or where the canopy is relatively planophile (e.g., deciduous forests
35 (Warner *et al.*, 1999; Culvenor, 2003)).

36 *3 Texture analysis*

37 Texture analysis refers to using local image patterns to characterize the spatial structure
38 of remotely sensed imagery. Texture quantifies the spatial variation in image digital
39 numbers and may be determined using a variety of methods (Wulder *et al.*, 1996). One
40 simple measure of texture summarizes the image digital numbers within a fixed window
41 (i.e., kernel). The local spatial structure of the digital numbers is a function of the forest
42 structure present and the image spatial resolution, and this link between forest structure
43 and image spatial structure provides opportunities to glean supplementary information
44 about forests from the imagery. For example, a mature forest and a young forest will have

1 different tree sizes, tree distributions, and within-stand shadowing. Computing the
2 standard deviation of digital numbers for a small kernel of pixels will result in a larger
3 standard deviation for a mature forest compared to a younger forest (See Cohen et al.
4 1995; Wulder et al. 2004b). Passing such a kernel systematically over an entire image
5 results in output that can provide complementary interpretation and computation
6 information (i.e., spatial context information for inclusion in image segmentation).

7 Image texture has been identified as one of the most important visual cues for
8 manual photo interpretation (Lillesand and Kiefer, 1994). The digital calculation of
9 texture is analogous to how human photo interpreters exploit image texture when
10 manually interpreting aerial photography (Franklin *et al.*, 2001). Simple first order
11 texture measures, such as the standard deviation example described above, can be
12 generated directly from the digital numbers present in an image. More complex measures
13 of texture may be produced when digital numbers are placed in a virtual matrix, such as
14 the grey level co-occurrence matrix (see Haralick *et al.* 1973).

15 Texture features can be used to empirically estimate forest inventory information
16 from remotely sensed imagery. For example, Franklin *et al.* (2001) used variance and
17 homogeneity texture features to distinguish forest age classes from IKONOS imagery,
18 while Rao *et al.* (2002) and Mallinis *et al.* (2008) demonstrated that texture features could
19 be used to classify land cover in forested environments. In a separate study, Kayitakire *et al.*
20 (2006) used several image texture features to characterize numerous forest structural
21 parameters including age, height, basal area, and stand density from IKONOS imagery.

22 One major limitation of using texture analysis for forest inventory purposes is that
23 the relationship between texture features and forest structure often changes between
24 images (Franklin, 2001). Changes in sensor type, viewing angle, and time of image
25 acquisition (i.e., sun angle and phenologic changes) will also alter this relationship. As a
26 result, *in situ* data are often required for calibration. Texture analysis is also scale
27 dependent; texture features derived from a medium spatial resolution image will be quite
28 different than texture features derived from a coincident high spatial resolution image
29 (Wulder *et al.* 2004a).

30 *4 Shadow analysis*

31 In remotely sensed imagery, shadows cast by tree canopies can often be related to forest
32 inventory parameters as well as biophysical characteristics. Spectral mixture analysis and
33 geometric-optical models, which model the fraction of shadow in a forest scene, have
34 been applied to medium resolution remotely sensed data to infer structural properties of
35 forested canopies. For example, the Li-Strahler model, which models pixel reflectance
36 based upon tree crown reflectance, shadows, and background reflectance components,
37 can be inverted to predict forest cover and tree crown size from Landsat imagery
38 (Woodcock *et al.*, 1994; 1997). Spectral mixture analysis models pixel reflectance as
39 linear or non-linear combinations of pure sub-pixel components (e.g., trees, soil,
40 shadow), and has been used to estimate forest canopy cover, biomass, and leaf area index
41 for medium resolution remotely sensed data (e.g., Hall *et al.*, 1995; Pu *et al.*, 2003; Chen
42 *et al.*, 2004). More recently, other techniques have been used to relate shadow fraction to
43 forest structure from VHSR remotely sensed data. For example, Leboeuf *et al.* (2007)
44 used image thresholding and segmentation to create a shadow fraction map, which was
45 then employed to empirically estimate biomass across a black spruce forest in northeast

1 Canada, while Greenberg *et al.* (2005) used a shadow allometry approach to estimate
2 crown area, tree bole diameter, stem density, and biomass from IKONOS imagery. In a
3 recent study, Ozdemir (2008) employed shadow fraction analysis of QuickBird imagery
4 to empirically estimate stem volume in an open canopy juniper forest.

5 Kane *et al.* (2008) concluded that shadow analysis was an effective way to
6 measure canopy complexity in a structurally diverse forest of the Pacific Northwest,
7 USA; however, due to variations and interactions between topography, tree spacing, and
8 tree size, the correlation between image shadows and forest inventory attributes such as
9 tree height and stem density was low. In addition to variations in topography and forest
10 structure, results attained via shadow analysis will also be impacted by sun-sensor-
11 surface geometry (Wulder *et al.*, 2008e). This is of particular importance when
12 considering the use of shadow analysis to measure forest inventory attributes via VHSR
13 sensors capable of providing multiple look angles. For example, Wulder *et al.* (2008e)
14 found statistically significant differences in stems counts derived from coincident
15 QuickBird images acquired via different look angles. Therefore, to ensure consistency in
16 the application of shadow analysis, satellite tasking specifications that reduce acceptable
17 acquisitions to nadir or near-nadir images are necessary.

18

19 **IV Application**

20 *1 The Canadian NFI*

21 Canadian forests comprise 10% of total global forest cover, and forested ecosystems
22 encompass approximately 60% of Canada's land mass (Wulder *et al.*, 2008a; 2008b).
23 Canadian forests are an important component of many global processes including
24 biogeochemical cycles and climate dynamics as well as local environmental systems
25 (e.g., air and hydrological cycles). The forests of Canada are also of great economic
26 importance, contributing 3% of Canada's GDP and providing 373,000 direct jobs and
27 900,000 indirect jobs (Natural Resources Canada, 2001). Currently, Canada implements a
28 multi-phase, plot-based NFI to assess and monitor forest condition. In the first phase of
29 the NFI, 1% of Canada's landmass is surveyed via a systematic network of approximately
30 19,000 plot locations. The plots are 2 x 2 km photo plots centered upon a 20 x 20 km
31 national grid (Figure 1). In the second phase of the NFI, ground plots are installed at 10%
32 random subset of photo plot locations, with a minimum of fifty ground plots surveyed
33 within each Canadian ecozone. Attributes measured at each photo and ground plot
34 location are listed in Table 4 (Gillis, 2001).

35 In the more actively managed south of Canada photo plots are primarily
36 inventoried based upon manual interpretation of 1:20,000 scale aerial photography. In the
37 first step of the interpretation process, photo interpreters manually delineate forest stand
38 boundaries within the photo plot. Manual photo interpretation techniques and allometric
39 models are then employed to populate each delineated stand with forest inventory
40 attributes (Table 4). Although manual interpretation techniques are effective, financial
41 and logistical constraints often limit the acquisition of aerial photography in Canada's
42 north. As a result, a Landsat-based land cover classification developed by Canada's Earth
43 Observation for Sustainable Development of Forests (EOSD) initiative (Wulder *et al.*,
44 2008a) was used to estimate a limited number of forest inventory attributes (e.g., cover

1 type, density, volume, and biomass) in areas where recent 1:20,000 scale aerial
2 photographs could not be acquired (Gillis, *et al.*, 2005).

3 *2 Developing a framework for supporting Canada's NFI with VHSR remote sensing*

4 Canada's NFI program is currently endeavoring to supplement its sample-based forest
5 inventory with data acquired via VHSR satellite sensors, primarily in northern Canada.
6 The development and implementation of a forest inventory framework incorporating
7 VHSR satellite data is intended to circumvent the aforementioned limitations associated
8 with air photo acquisition in Canada's north, and ultimately provide a higher degree of
9 data consistency across both the north and south of Canada. The automated processing of
10 VHSR satellite imagery in combination with attribute modeling and manual interpretation
11 techniques are desired to enable such improvements. Based upon the preceding review,
12 there is potential for supporting Canada's NFI with samples of VHSR remotely sensed
13 data. In order to develop a framework for updating Canada's NFI with VHSR data, we
14 employed the following logic:

- 15 • In order to provide forest inventory estimates that are comparable to the current
16 Canadian NFI, the VHSR sampling protocol should mimic the sampling protocol
17 used by the current NFI (e.g., 2 x 2 km photo plots centered upon a 20 x 20 km
18 national grid (Figure 1).
- 19 • In order to achieve a high degree of data consistency, the VHSR inventory update
20 framework should incorporate automated image processing techniques whenever
21 possible; however, since not all forest inventory attributes can be automatically
22 derived in a consistent manner, modeling and manual interpretation techniques
23 should also be considered.
- 24 • Given logistical constraints to collecting *in situ* data at the national level, the
25 framework should employ automated processing techniques that directly derive
26 forest inventory information from the VHSR data; methods requiring empirical
27 data for parameterization or calibration should be avoided.
- 28 • Since this is an update of the previous NFI, existing inventory data will guide the
29 update process via the VHSR remotely sensed data.
- 30 • Given the dichotomy in previous NFI data content (between north and south), the
31 framework for update developed in Canada's north will be slightly different than
32 the update framework developed in Canada's south.
- 33 • A framework permitting the use of VHSR data from a variety of sensors (e.g.,
34 Table 2) will facilitate timely data acquisition across Canada's vast landmass.
35 Allowing for the use of additional data sources may improve estimates of
36 inventory attributes not related to forest structure (e.g., lower spatial resolution
37 remotely sensed data could be employed to estimate species composition as well
38 as disturbance or growth related inventory attributes).

39 *3 The four VHSR forest inventory phases*

40 Based upon the logic outlined above, the VHSR inventory update will be comprised of
41 the following four phases (Figure 2): (i) an automated stand boundary delineation phase,
42 (ii) an automated attribution phase where image processing techniques are employed to
43 extract basic forest inventory data directly from the VHSR data, (iii) a manual

1 interpretation phase where forest inventory attributes that cannot be directly extracted
2 from the VHSR imagery will be generated via manual image interpretation techniques,
3 and (iv) a modeling phase where additional indirect inventory attributes (e.g., volume and
4 biomass) are modeled from the automatically extracted and manually interpreted forest
5 inventory data. The following section details the general VHSR inventory framework in
6 terms of the four phases outlined above. Expected results are discussed and differences
7 between the VHSR inventory update strategy in Canada's north and south are
8 highlighted.

9
10 *a Automated stand delineation:* We propose to employ automated image segmentation to
11 generate stand boundaries delineating homogenous forest conditions from the VHSR
12 images. In Canada's south, where stand boundaries were manually delineated from aerial
13 photography during the previous NFI, the VHSR image segments will only be used to
14 update the pre-existing segments where disturbances, or harvest-related depletions, have
15 markedly altered stand boundaries; in all other cases, the manually generated stand
16 boundaries from the previous NFI will be used in all other cases. In Canada's north,
17 where stand boundaries were not generated during the previous NFI, the VHSR image
18 segments will be used as forest inventory units.

19 A variety of image processing techniques will be explored to address the
20 previously discussed challenges associated with segmenting VHSR images (e.g., the
21 presence of multi-scale features and boundary convolution). For example, median filters
22 across a variety of window sizes could be applied to minimize the influence that small
23 scale features (e.g., shadows and trees in open canopy forests) have upon the accuracy of
24 the automated stand delineation procedure. Segmenting median filtered images, rather
25 than the VHSR image, will likely produce more homogenous image segments and may
26 reduce the amount of convolution in the final segmented stand boundaries. Furthermore,
27 image acquisition parameters will restrict acceptable images to those acquired within \pm
28 15° of nadir.

29
30 *b Automated attribution:* Following automated stand delineation, forest inventory
31 attributes will be generated within each stand boundary. Numerous image processing
32 techniques have been successfully employed to extract forest inventory attributes from
33 VHSR imagery in an automated manner (Table 3), however many of these techniques
34 generate estimates of forest inventory attributes based upon empirical relationships
35 between VHSR images and *in situ* data. Given logistical constraints to collecting *in situ*
36 data across the diversity of forest ecosystems and structural types found across the
37 Canada's extensive landmass, automated image processing algorithms employing
38 empirical relationships are not ideal for national-level implementation. Nevertheless,
39 techniques such as crown segmentation that do not require *in situ* data could be used to
40 generate estimates of basic forest inventory attributes in a nationally consistent manner.
41 Therefore, the proposed VHSR inventory framework will also employ image
42 segmentation to automatically isolate individual tree crowns within each delineated stand
43 boundary. Basic forest inventory attributes will then be directly estimated based upon the
44 segmented tree crowns within each stand. In open stands for example, tree density can
45 simply be the number of segmented crowns within each stand (e.g., Greenberg *et al.*,
46 2005; Hirata, 2008), while canopy cover can be determined by calculating the ratio of

1 total segmented crown area to total stand area. Other inventory attributes such as mean
2 stand crown diameter can also be directly estimated from the segmented tree crowns,
3 while the distribution of crown sizes within a stand could be indicative of stand age class
4 (Nelson *et al.*, 2004). In addition to crown segmentation, shadow analysis may prove
5 useful for extracting basic forest inventory data from the VHSR images, especially in
6 northern environments where the interaction between low sun angles and open forest
7 canopies result in VHSR images dominated by shadows.

8
9 *c Manual interpretation:* Given the high degree of geometric fidelity of VHSR images,
10 manual photo interpretation techniques could easily be applied to generate estimates of
11 forest inventory attributes that are difficult to extract via automated image processing.
12 For example, forest inventory attributes related to land cover, species composition, and
13 disturbance type would be difficult to extract via the automated processing of VHSR
14 images, especially when considering only the panchromatic image bands. However, as
15 demonstrated by Wulder *et al.* (2008d), experienced photo interpreters could efficiently
16 estimate these attributes directly from the VHSR images.

17 The proposed VHSR inventory framework will also employ additional datasets to
18 help guide the manual interpretation of the panchromatic VHSR images. In Canada's
19 south, for example, data regarding land cover and species composition generated during
20 the previous NFI will aid in the interpretation of these attributes from the VHSR images,
21 while data from the Landsat-based land cover classification developed by Canada's Earth
22 Observation for Sustainable Development of Forests (EOSD; Wulder *et al.*, 2008a;
23 2008b) project will guide species composition calls in Canada's north. Furthermore, the
24 low species diversity generally found in Canada's northern environments (Wulder *et al.*,
25 2007) will further enable the efficient and accurate interpretation of forest inventory
26 attributes related to land cover and species composition.

27 Information available from lower spatial resolution optical remote sensing
28 instruments is envisioned to aid in capture of disturbance information within the national
29 inventory cycle. Lower spatial resolution imagery, such as MODIS, can be utilized to
30 produce annual regionally-based estimates of disturbance, especially fire. The high
31 temporal frequency of this low spatial resolution change information can be compared to
32 long-term expectations of change rates, thereby supplementing our understanding of the
33 spatial variability of disturbance. Further, the low spatial resolution change information
34 can also be used to flag individual photo plots as having a likelihood of being disturbed.
35 While the information may be too coarse to enable an update of the photo plot, the
36 presence of disturbance can be noted and considered in the update planning.

37
38 *d Indirect attribute modeling:* The last phase of the proposed VHSR forest inventory
39 update framework will be to combine data generated from the previous three phases to
40 indirectly estimate forest inventory attributes such as stem volume and biomass. In the
41 southern portion of Canada, the current NFI protocol produces model-based estimates of
42 tree volume and biomass through the application of provincial- or territorial-specific
43 allometric equations parameterized via manually interpreted photo plot attributes (Gillis
44 *et al.*, 2005), while these attributes are modeled from EOSD data in Canada's north.
45 Following completion of the first three VHSR inventory phases, the same allometric

1 equations could be applied to generate nationally consistent estimates of volume and
2 biomass for both northern and southern photo plots.

3 4 **V Implementation**

5 Prior to the successful implementation of the previously described VHSR forest
6 inventory update framework, a number of unique challenges must be addressed.
7 Generating estimates of forest inventory attributes that are consistent across the wide
8 variety of forest types and structures contained within the vast landmass of Canada will
9 be particularly challenging, requiring a combination of stable automated image
10 processing algorithms, consistent manual image interpretation techniques, and robust
11 allometric models.

12 An understanding of how VHSR forest scene properties change as a function of
13 sun-sensor-surface geometry will also be required for remeasurement (Wulder *et al.*,
14 2008), particularly for northern environments where low sun angles and open canopy
15 forest result in VHSR imagery that is dominated by shadow. Although pointable sensors
16 potentially increase the amount of image data available over NFI plots, images acquired
17 from obliquely pointed sensors will introduce an additional source of variation in the
18 VHSR image forest inventory framework that will be particularly problematic for
19 segmentation routines. Image acquisition constraints will be used to ensure that only
20 images with collected within $\pm 15^\circ$ are acceptable and will be acquired for the VHSR
21 framework.

22 The VHSR forest inventory framework described herein will incorporate elements
23 of automated image processing, manual interpretation, and attribute modeling to update
24 photo plot attributes inventoried during the previous NFI (Table 4). Based upon the
25 literature review (Table 3) we expect that image segmentation can be employed to
26 directly estimate basic forest inventory attributes from the VHSR images. Specifically,
27 crown size, canopy closure, and stem density will be estimated from individual tree
28 crown segments within each delineated forest stand. These basic attributes can be used in
29 conjunction with other image analysis techniques (e.g., shadow analysis and texture
30 analysis) to model additional forest inventory attributes such as stand age and height. For
31 example, the distribution of crown sizes in an inventory polygon, image texture metrics,
32 or shadow analysis, could be employed to estimate stand age (e.g., Nelson *et al.*, 2004;
33 Franklin *et al.*, 2001) and stand height (e.g., Kayitakire *et al.*, 2006; Chubey *et al.*, 2006);
34 although, doing so would require well-calibrated empirical models. Therefore, manual
35 image interpretation techniques will likely be employed to estimate age and height related
36 forest inventory attributes from the VHSR images. Furthermore, since the NFI's update
37 strategy will only employ panchromatic VHSR data, determining species composition
38 and disturbance related attributes will be difficult; however, a photo-interpreter could
39 estimate these attributes directly from VHSR images or supported by other ancillary data
40 (e.g., previous NFI attributes). Finally, existing models (Boudewyn *et al.*, 2008) will be
41 employed to estimate volume and biomass from NFI attributes (e.g., crown closure and
42 height) updated via the VHSR inventory framework.

43 44 **VI Conclusions**

45 Successful inventory and subsequent monitoring of forest ecosystems across large spatial
46 extents provides information necessary for sustainable forest management practices and

1 policies. Remote sensing has increased our ability to characterize and monitor forest
2 ecosystems across large areas in a timely manner, especially broad-scale forest
3 characteristics, as captured using medium (or larger) spatial resolution remotely sensed
4 data. Until recently, it has been difficult to quantify detailed forest characteristics via
5 satellite VHSR remotely sensed data, largely due to data availability, cost, and processing
6 requirements. However, as these limitations diminish, the utility of VHSR data for forest
7 inventory and assessment has been realized and data acquired via VHSR have been used
8 to measure many forest inventory attributes including crown closure, crown size, and
9 stem density, among others (Table 3). The limited spatial extent of VHSR images
10 typically constrains large-area forest inventory applications; however, VHSR is well-
11 suited to a sample-based large-area forest inventories such as Canada's NFI. Furthermore,
12 the use of VHSR digital satellite data will provide new opportunities for automated
13 attribute estimation, improving precision and consistency, while also enabling the
14 collection of detailed data over remote areas of Canada's forests, where the acquisition of
15 traditional data sources such as aerial photography is often precluded by logistics and
16 cost.

17 Based upon our review of the state-of-the-art in VHSR remote sensing for forest
18 inventory and assessment, we developed a framework that incorporates VHSR satellite
19 images into a sample-based, large-area forest inventory and monitoring process. The
20 framework integrates elements of automated image processing and segmentation, manual
21 interpretation, and statistical modeling to generate forest inventory attributes from the
22 VHSR satellite images. We have identified several methodological challenges that will
23 need to be addressed as we further develop and proceed with implementation of this
24 framework in the context of Canada's NFI. The framework presented herein could easily
25 be adapted to support other national, international, or global forest inventory and
26 monitoring initiatives by enabling the reporting of detailed forest ecosystem attributes
27 and trends, and by providing forest managers and policy makers with new information for
28 planning and decision making purposes.
29

30 **Acknowledgements**

31 This research was undertaken as part of the “EcoMonitor: Northern ecosystem climate
32 change monitoring” project jointly funded by the Canadian Space Agency (CSA)
33 Government Related Initiatives Program (GRIP) and the Canadian Forest Service (CFS)
34 of Natural Resources Canada. Dr. Ronald J. Hall is thanked for insightful comments that
35 improved on an earlier version of this manuscript.
36

1 **References**

- 2 Aguilar, M.A., Aguera, F., Aguilar, F.J., and Carvajal, F. 2008: Geometric accuracy
3 assessment of the orthorectification process from very high resolution satellite
4 imagery for common agricultural policy purposes. *International Journal of*
5 *Remote Sensing* 29, 7181–7197.
- 6 Chen, X., Vierling, L., Rowell, E., and DeFelice, T. 2004: Using lidar and effective LAI
7 data to evaluate IKONOS and Landsat 7 ETM+ vegetation cover estimates in a
8 ponderosa pine forest. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 91, 14–26.
- 9 Chubey, M.S., Franklin, S.E. and Wulder, M.A. 2006: Object-based analysis of
10 IKONOS-2 imagery for extraction of forest inventory parameters.
11 *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 72, 383–394.
- 12 Cohen, W.B., Kushla, J. D., Ripple, W. J., and Garman, S. L. 1996: An introduction to
13 digital methods in remote sensing of forested ecosystems: Focus on the Pacific
14 Northwest, USA. *Environmental Management* 20, 421–435.
- 15 Cohen, W.B., Spies, T.A. and Fiorella, M. 1995: Estimating the age and structure of
16 forests in a multi-ownership landscape of western Oregon, USA, *International*
17 *Journal of Remote Sensing* 16, 721–746.
- 18 Coops, N.C., Wulder, M.A., and White, J.C. 2007: Identifying and describing forest
19 disturbance and spatial pattern: Data selection issues and methodological
20 implications. In Wulder, M.A., Franklin, S.A., editors, *Understanding forest*
21 *disturbance and spatial pattern: Remote sensing and GIS approaches*. Boca
22 Raton, FL: CRC Press, 31–61.
- 23 Corona, P., Kohl, M., and Marchetti, M. 2003: *Advances in forest inventory for*
24 *sustainable forest management and biodiversity monitoring*. Dordrecht,
25 Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- 26 Couteron, P., Pelissier, R., Nicolini, E.A., and Paget, D. 2005: Predicting tropical forest
27 stand structure parameters from Fourier transform of very high-resolution
28 remotely sensed canopy images. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 42, 1121–1128.
- 29 Culvenor, D. 2003: Survey of techniques for extracting individual tree information from
30 high spatial resolution imagery. In Wulder, M.A., Franklin, S.A., editors, *Remote*
31 *sensing of forest environments: Concepts and case studies*. Dordrecht,
32 Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 255–278.
- 33 Cracknell, A.P. 1998: Synergy in remote sensing—what's in a pixel? *International Journal*
34 *of Remote Sensing* 19, 2025–2047.
- 35 Donoghue, D.N.M., and Watt, P.J. 2006: Using LiDAR to compare forest height
36 estimates from IKONOS and Landsat ETM+ data in Sitka spruce plantation
37 forests. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 27, 2161–2175.
- 38 Falkowski, M.J., Smith, A.M.S., Gessler, P.G., Hudak, A.T., Vierling, L.A., and Evans,
39 J.S. 2008: The influence of conifer forest canopy cover on the accuracy of two
40 individual tree measurement algorithms using LiDAR data. *Canadian Journal of*
41 *Remote Sensing* 34(S2), S338–S350.
- 42 Foody, G.M. 2001: Monitoring the magnitude of land-cover change around the southern
43 limits of the Sahara. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 67, 841–
44 847.

- 1 Franklin, S.E. 2001: *Remote sensing for sustainable forest management*. Boca Raton, FL:
2 CRC Press.
- 3 Franklin, S.E., Wulder, M.A., and Gerylo, G.R. 2001: Texture analysis of IKONOS
4 panchromatic data for Douglas fir forest age class separability in British
5 Columbia. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 22, 2627–2632.
- 6 Gillis, M.D. 2001: Canada's National Forest Inventory (responding to current information
7 needs). *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 67, 121–129.
- 8 Gillis, M.D., Omule, A.Y., and Brierley, T. 2005: Monitoring Canada's forests: The
9 national forest inventory. *The Forestry Chronicle* 81, 214–221.
- 10 Goetz, S.J., Wright, R.K., Smith, A.J., Zinecker, E., and Schaub, E. 2003: IKONOS
11 imagery for resource management: tree cover, impervious surfaces, and riparian
12 buffer analyses in the Mid-Atlantic region. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 88,
13 195–208.
- 14 Gougeon, F.A., and Leckie, D.G. 2006: The individual tree crown approach
15 applied to IKONOS images of a coniferous plantation area. *Photogrammetric
16 Engineering and Remote Sensing* 72, 1287–1297.
- 17 Greenberg, J.A., Dobrowski, S.Z., and Ustin, S.L. 2005: Shadow allometry: Estimating
18 tree structural parameters using hyperspatial image analysis. *Remote Sensing of
19 Environment* 97, 15–25.
- 20 Greenberg, J.A., Dobrowski, S.Z., Ramirez, C.M., Tull, J.L. and Ustin, S.L. 2006: A
21 bottom-up approach to vegetation mapping of the Lake Tahoe Basin using
22 hyperspatial image analysis. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*
23 72(5), 581–589.
- 24 Hall, F.G., Shimabukuro, Y. E., and Huemmerich, K. F. 1995: Remote sensing of forest
25 biophysical structure using mixture decomposition and geometric reflectance
26 models. *Ecological Applications* 5, 993–1013.
- 27 Hall, R.J. 2003: The roles of aerial photographs in forestry remote sensing image
28 analysis. In Wulder, M.A., Franklin, S.A., editors, *Remote sensing of forest
29 environments: Concepts and case studies*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kulwer
30 Academic Publishers, 47–76.
- 31 Haralick, R.M. 1973: Texture feature for image classification, *IEEE Transactions on
32 Systems, Man and Cybernetics* 3(1), 610–621
- 33 Hirata, Y. 2008: Estimation of stand attributes in *Cryptomeria japonica* and
34 *Chamaecyparis obtusa* stands using QuickBird panchromatic data. *Journal of
35 Forest Research* 13, 147–154.
- 36 Holmgren, P., and Thuresson, T. 1998: Satellite remote sensing for forestry planning - A
37 review. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 13, 90–110.
- 38 Hyde, P., Dubayah, R., Walker, W., Blair, J.B., Hofton, M., and Hunsaker, C. 2006:
39 Mapping forest structure for wildlife habitat analysis using multi-sensor (LiDAR,
40 SAR/InSAR, ETM+, QuickBird) synergy. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 102,
41 63–73.
- 42 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2001b: Climate Change: Working
43 Group II: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability [online]. Available at
44 www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/sres/land_use/index.htm

- 1 Kane, V.R., Gillespie, A.R., McGaughey, R., Lutz, J.A., Ceder, K., and Franklin, J.R.
2 2008: Interpretation and topographic compensation of conifer canopy self-
3 shadowing. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 112, 3820–3832.
- 4 Kayitakire, F., Hamel, C. and Defourny, P. 2006: Retrieving forest structure variables
5 based on image texture analysis and IKONOS-2 imagery. *Remote Sensing of*
6 *Environment* 102, 390–401.
- 7 Kennedy, R.E., W.B. Cohen, and Schroeder, T.A. 2007: Trajectory-based change
8 detection for automated characterization of forest disturbance dynamics, *Remote*
9 *Sensing of Environment* 110, 370–386.
- 10 Köhl, M., Magnussen, S. S., and Marchetti, M. 2006: Sampling methods, remote sensing,
11 and GIS multiresource forest inventory. Springer, Berlin. 374 pp.
- 12 LeBoeuf, A., A. Beaudoin, R.A. Fournier, L. Guindon, J.E. Luther and Lambert, M.C.
13 2007: A shadow fraction method for mapping biomass of northern boreal black
14 spruce forests using QuickBird imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 110,
15 488–500.
- 16 Leckie, D.G., Gougeon, F.A., Walsworth, N., and Paradine, D. 2003: Stand delineation
17 and composition estimation using semi-automated individual tree crown analysis.
18 *Remote Sensing of Environment* 85, 355–369.
- 19 Leckie, D.G., Gougeon, F.A., Sally, T., Nelson, T., Burnett, C.N., and Paradina, D. 2006:
20 Automated tree recognition in old growth conifer stands with high resolution
21 digital imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 94, 311–326.
- 22 Levesque, J., and King. D.J. 2003: Spatial analysis of radiometric fractions from high-
23 resolution multispectral imagery for modelling forest structure and health. *Remote*
24 *Sensing of Environment* 84, 589–602.
- 25 Lillesand and Kiefer, 1994: Remote sensing and image interpretation. Wiley, New York.
- 26 Mallinis, G., Koutsias, N., Tsakiri-Strati, M., and Karteris, M. 2008: Object-based
27 classification using QuickBird imagery for delineating forest vegetation polygons
28 in a Mediterranean test site. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote*
29 *Sensing* 63(2), 237–250.
- 30 Maltamo, M., Mustonen, K., Hyyppä, J., and Yu, X. 2004: The accuracy of estimating
31 individual tree variables with airborne laser scanning in a boreal nature reserve.
32 *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 34, 1791– 1801.
- 33 McMaster, R. B. 1987: Automated line generalization. *Cartographica* 24, 74–111.
- 34 McRoberts, R.E., and Tomppo, E.O. 2007: Remote sensing support for national forests
35 inventories. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 110, 412–419.
- 36 Meng, Q., Cieszewski, C.J., Madden, M., and Borders, B. 2007: A linear mixed-effects
37 model of biomass and volume of trees using Landsat ETM+ images. *Forest*
38 *Ecology and Management*. 244, 93–101.
- 39 Meyer, M., and Werth, L. 1990: Satellite data: Management panacea or potential
40 problem. *Journal of Forestry* 80, 10–13.
- 41 Natural Resources Canada. 2001: *The state of canada's forests 2000–2001, sustainable*
42 *forestry: A reality in Canada*. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest
43 Service, Ottawa.
- 44 Nelson, T. Boots, B. Wulder, M.A., and Feick, R. 2004: Predicting forest age classes
45 from high spatial resolution remotely sensed imagery using Voronoi polygon
46 aggregation. *GeoInformatica* 8, 143–155.

- 1 Ozdemir, I. 2008: Estimating stem volume by tree crown area and tree shadow area
2 extracted from pan-sharpened QuickBird imagery in open Crimean juniper
3 forests. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 29, 5643–5655.
- 4 Palace, M.K., Keller, M., Asner, G.P., Hagen, S.P., and Braswell, B. 2008: Amazon forest
5 structure from IKONOS satellite data and the automated characterization of forest
6 canopy properties. *Biotropica* 42, 141–150.
- 7 Palenichke, R.M. and Zeramba, M.B. 2007: Multiscale isotropic matched filtering for
8 individual tree detection in LIDAR images, *IEEE Transactions in Geosciences
9 and Remote Sensing* 45, 944–3956.
- 10 Pekkarinen, A. 2002: A method for the segmentation of very high spatial resolution
11 images of forested landscapes. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 23, 2817–
12 2836.
- 13 Peuhkurinen, J., Maltamo, M., Vesa, L., and Packalen, P. 2008: Estimation of forest stand
14 characteristics using spectral histograms derived from an IKONOS satellite
15 image. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 74, 1335–1341.
- 16 Pocewicz, A., Gessler, P.E., and Robinson, A.P. 2004: The relationship between leaf area
17 index and Landsat spectral response across elevation, solar insolation, and spatial
18 scales, in a northern Idaho forest. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 34, 65–
19 480.
- 20 Popescu, S.C. 2007: Estimating biomass of individual pine trees using airborne LiDAR.
21 *Biomass and Bioenergy* 31, 646–655.
- 22 Proisy, C., Coutron, P., and Fromard, F. 2007: Predicting and mapping mangrove
23 biomass from canopy grain analysis using Fourier-based textural ordination of
24 IKONOS images. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 109, 379–392.
- 25 Pu, R., B. Xu, and Gong, P. 2003: Oakwood crown closure estimation by unmixing
26 Landsat TM data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 22, 4422–4445.
- 27 Radoux, J. and Defourny, P. 2007: A quantitative assessment of boundaries in automated
28 forest stand delineation using very high resolution imagery. *Remote Sensing of
29 Environment* 110, 468–475.
- 30 Rao, P.V.N., Sai, M., V. R. S., Sreenivas, K., Rao, M. V. K., Rao, B. R. M., Dwivedi, R.
31 S., and Venkataratnam, L. 2002: Textural analysis of IRS-1D panchromatic data
32 for land cover classification. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 23, 3327–
33 3345.
- 34 Schroeder, T.A., Cohen, W.B., and Yang, Z. 2007: Patterns of forest regrowth following
35 clearcutting in western Oregon as determined from Landsat time-series. *Forest
36 Ecology and Management* 243, 259–273.
- 37 Steininger, M. K., Tucker, C. J., Townshend, J. R. G., Killeen, T. J., Desch, A., Bell, V.
38 and Ersts, P. 2001: Tropical deforestation in the Bolivian Amazon. *Environmental
39 Conservation* 28, 127–134.
- 40 Strand, E.K., Smith, A.M.S., Bunting, S.C., Vierling, L.A., Hann, D.B., and Gessler, P.E.
41 2006: Wavelet estimation of plant spatial patterns in multitemporal aerial
42 photography. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 27, 2049–2054.
- 43 Strand, E.K., Vierling, L.A., Smith, A.M.S., and Bunting, S.C. 2008: Net changes in
44 aboveground woody carbon stock in western juniper woodlands, 1946-1984.
45 *Journal of Geophysical Research* 113, G01013.

- 1 Strahler, A., Woodcock, C., and Smith, J. 1986: On the nature of models in remote
2 sensing. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 20, 121–139.
- 3 Tian J., and Chen, D. M. 2007: Optimization in multi-scale segmentation of high-
4 resolution satellite images for artificial feature recognition. *International Journal*
5 *of Remote Sensing* 28, 4625–4644.
- 6 Wang, L., Gong, P., and Biging, G.S. 2004: Individual tree-crown delineation and treetop
7 Detection in high-spatial-resolution aerial imagery. *Photogrammetric Engineering*
8 *and Remote Sensing* 70, 351–357.
- 9 Warner, T. A., Yeol Lee, J., and McGraw, J.B. 1999: Delineation and identification of
10 individual trees in the eastern deciduous forest. In: *Proceedings: Automated*
11 *interpretation of high spatial resolution digital imagery for forestry*. Victoria,
12 British Columbia, 81–92.
- 13 Woodcock, C.E., J.B. Collins, S. Gopal, V.D. Jakabhazy, X. Li, S.A. Macomber, S.
14 Ryherd, V.J. Harward, J. Levitan, Y. Wu, and Warbington, R.: 1994. Mapping
15 forest vegetation using Landsat TM imagery and a canopy reflectance model.
16 *Remote Sensing of Environment* 50, 240–254.
- 17 Woodcock, C.E., Collins, J.B., Jakabhazy, V.D., Xiaowen Li, Macomber, S.A., and Wu,
18 Y. 1997: Inversion of the Li-Strahler canopy reflectance model for mapping forest
19 structure. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 35, 405–414.
- 20 Wulder, M.A., Franklin, S.E., Lavigne, M.B. 1996: High spatial resolution optical image
21 texture for improved estimation of forest stand leaf area index. *Canadian Journal*
22 *of Remote Sensing*, 22, 441–449.
- 23 Wulder, M.A. 1998: Optical remote sensing techniques for the assessment of forest
24 inventory and biophysical parameters. *Progress in Physical Geography* 22, 449–
25 476.
- 26 Wulder, M.A., Kurz, W.A., and Gillis, M. 2004a: National level forest monitoring and
27 modeling in Canada. *Progress in Planning* 61, 365–381.
- 28 Wulder, M.A., Hall, R.J., Coops, N.C., and Franklin, S.E. 2004b: High spatial resolution
29 remotely sensed data for ecosystem characterization. *Bioscience* 54(6), 511–521.
- 30 Wulder, M.A., White, J., Niemann, K.O., and Nelson, T. 2004c: Comparison of airborne
31 and satellite high spatial resolution data for the identification of individual trees
32 with local maxima filtering. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 25, 2225–
33 2232.
- 34 Wulder, M.A., Campbell, C., White, J.C., Flannigan, M., Campbell, I.D. 2007: National
35 circumstances in the international circumboreal community. *The Forestry*
36 *Chronicle* 83, 539–556.
- 37 Wulder, M.A., White, J.C., Cranny, M., Hall, R.J., Luther, J.E., Beaudoin, A.,
38 Goodenough, D.G., and Dechka, J.A. 2008a: Monitoring Canada's forests. Part 1:
39 Completion of the EOSD land cover project. *Canadian Journal of Remote*
40 *Sensing* 34, 549–562.
- 41 Wulder, M.A., J.C. White, T. Han, N.C. Coops, J.A. Cardille, T. Holland, and Grills D.
42 2008b: Monitoring Canada's forests. Part 2: National forest fragmentation and
43 pattern. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing* 34, 563–584.
- 44 Wulder, M.A., White, J.C., Goward, S.N., Makes, J.G., Irons, J.R., Herold, M., Cohen,
45 W.B., Loveland, T.R., and Woodcock, C.E. 2008c: Landsat continuity: Issues and

1 opportunities for land cover monitoring. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 112,
2 955–969.

3 Wulder, M.A., White, J.C., Hay, G.J., and Castilla, G. 2008d: Towards automated
4 segmentation of forest inventory polygons on high spatial resolution satellite
5 imagery, *The Forestry Chronicle* 84, 221–230.

6 Wulder, M.A., Ortlepp, S., White, J.C., and Coops, N.C. 2008e: Impact of sun-sensor-
7 surface geometry upon multi-temporal high spatial resolution satellite imagery.
8 *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing* 34, 455–461.

9 Xu, B., Gong, P., and Pu R. 2003: Crown closure estimation of oak savannah in a dry
10 season with Landsat TM imagery: comparison of various indices through
11 correlation analysis. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 24, 1811–1822.

12 Zheng, D., Rademacher, J., Chen, J., Crow, T., Bresee, M., Le Moine, J., and Ryu, S.
13 2004: Estimating aboveground biomass using Landsat 7 ETM+ data across a
14 managed landscape in northern Wisconsin, USA. *Remote Sensing of Environment*
15 93, 402–411.
16

List of Tables

Table 1. Resolutions, extents, and costs for example sensors.

Table 2. Data characteristics of select very high spatial resolution (VHSR) sensors.

Table 3. Studies employing VHSR data for forest inventory and assessment. SS = stand segmentation, CS = crown segmentation, TA = texture analysis, SA = shadow analysis, SpecA = spectral analysis, and SMA = spectral mixture analysis.

Table 4. Canadian NFI photo and ground plot attributes. Adapted from Gillis (2001).

List of Figures

Figure 1. Depiction of context for the monitoring and update protocols, with forested land cover of Canada denoted in gray. The image insets illustrate (from left) the footprint of a single Landsat scene, zooming at centre to show the Landsat image detail (channel 4; NIR) and the layout of the 2 x 2 km National Forest Inventory photo plots on the 20 x 20 km national grid. The rightmost inset shows a subset of the 0.5 m spatial resolution panchromatic channel of a WorldView-1 image.

Figure 2. Depiction of the VHSR NFI update framework.

Table 1. Resolutions, extents, and costs for example sensors.

Sensor	Spatial Resolution	Spectral Resolution	Temporal Resolution	Swath Width	Cost
MODIS	250 - 1000 m	36 bands (multispectral, thermal)	1 - 2 Days*	2330 km	Free
Landsat 7	15 m (panchromatic) 30 m (multispectral) 60 m (thermal)	8 bands (panchromatic, multispectral, and thermal)	16 Days	185 km	Free [†]
IKONOS-2	1 m (panchromatic) 4 m (multispectral)	1 Panchromatic 4 multispectral	141 Days (nadir) 3 days (60° off-nadir) [‡]	11 km	29.00 USD km ⁻²

* MODIS is aboard two separate platforms (Terra and Aqua)

[†] Prior to January 2009 data cost was 0.02 USD km⁻²

[‡] Off-nadir images have a lower spatial resolution and an increased swath width

Table 2. Data characteristics of select very high spatial resolution (VHSR) sensors.

Sensor	Spectral Resolution	Spatial Resolution (GSD)	Temporal Resolution	Radiometric Resolution	Swath Width	Max Viewing Angle
QuickBird	Pan, B, G, R, NIR	Pan: 0.61 m (nadir) to 0.72 m (25° off-nadir) MS: 2.44 m (nadir) to 2.88 m (25° off-nadir)	Daily (off-nadir) to 3.5 days (nadir)	11-bit	16.5 km (nadir)	±45° off-nadir
WorldView-1	Pan	0.50 m (nadir) to 0.59 m (25° off-nadir)	1.7 days (1 m GSD) 4.6 days ≤ 25° off-nadir (0.59 m GSD)	11-bit	17.6 km (nadir) to 20.8 km (25° off-nadir)	±45° off-nadir
RapidEye	B, G, R, R. Edge, NIR	5 m (nadir)	Daily (off-nadir) to 5.5 days (nadir)	12-bit	77 km	±25° off-nadir
IKONOS	Pan, B, G, R, NIR	Pan: 0.82 m (nadir) to 1m (60° off-nadir) MS: 4 m (nadir)	3 days (60° off-nadir) to 141 days (nadir)	11-bit	11.3 km (nadir)	±60° off-nadir
GeoEye-1	Pan, B, G, R, NIR	Pan: 0.41 m (nadir) to 0.50 m (60° off-nadir)	4 days (60° off-nadir)	11-bit	15.2 km (nadir)	±60° off-nadir
EROS-B	Pan	0.7 m (nadir)	3 days (off-nadir)	10-bit	7 km (nadir)	±45° off-nadir
KOMPSAT-2	Pan, B, G, R, NIR	Pan: 1 m (nadir) MS: R,G,B 1 m (nadir), NIR 4 m (nadir)	3 days (off-nadir)	10-bit	15 km (nadir)	±30° off-nadir

Table 3. Studies employing VHSR data for forest inventory and assessment. SS = stand segmentation, CS = crown segmentation, TA = texture analysis, SA = shadow analysis, SpecA = spectral analysis, and SMA = spectral mixture analysis.

e	Sensor	Spatial Resolution	Spectral Resolution	RS Method	Estimation Method	Source	Accuracy/Goodness of Fit	Error
/	Aerial Photography	0.25, 0.5, and 1 m	G, R, NIR	SMA, TA	Empirical - Stepwise multiple regression	Levesque and King, 2003	$R^2 = 0.96$	Not Reported
	IKONOS	1 and 4 m	B, G, R, NIR, Pan	SS, Spec. A, TA	Empirical - CART	Chubey et al., 2006	Accuracy = 85%	Error = 15%
	IKONOS	1 and 4 m	B, G, R, NIR, Pan	SA	Empirical - CART	Goetz et al., 2003	Accuracy = 97.3%	Error = 12.7%
	Aerial Photography	0.25, 0.5, and 1 m	G, R, NIR	SMA, TA	Empirical - Stepwise multiple regression	Levesque and King, 2003	$R^2 = 0.99$	Not Reported
	IKONOS	0.82 m	Pan	TA	Empirical - linear regression	Kayitakire et al., 2006	$R^2 = 0.82$	RMSE = 0.305 Trees Ha ⁻¹
	IKONOS	1 m	B, G, R, NIR (Pansharpened)	CS	Direct - Number of segmented crowns	Greenberg et al., 2005	$R = 0.87$	Not Reported
	QuickBird	0.61 m	Pan	CS	Direct - Number of segmented crowns	Hirata, 2008	$R = 0.82$	RMSE = 108.9 Trees Ha ⁻¹
	IKONOS	0.87	Pan	CS	Direct - Number of segmented crowns	Gougeon and Leckie, 2006	Accuracy = 83%	Error = 17%
	Aerial Photography	1 m	Pan	TA	Empirical - Linear regression	Couteron et al., 2005	$R^2 = 0.80$	Not Reported
	Digital Camera	0.25, 0.5, and 1 m	G, R, NIR	SMA, TA	Empirical - Stepwise multiple regression	Levesque and King, 2003	Adj. $R^2 = 1.00$	Not Reported
	QuickBird	0.61 m	B, G, R, NIR (Pansharpened)	CS	Direct - Area of segmented crowns	Ozdemir, 2008	$R^2 = 0.67$	RMSE = 12.5%
	IKONOS	1 m	B, G, R, NIR (Pansharpened)	SA, CS	Empirical - Multiple regression	Greenberg et al., 2005	$R = 0.71$ and 0.83	RMSE = 0.641 and 0.627 m ²

Table 4. Canadian NFI photo and ground plot attributes. Adapted from Gillis (2001).

Photo plot attributes	Ground plot attributes
<i>Polygon:</i>	<i>Site:</i>
Landcover class	Land cover
Stand structure	Plot origin
<i>Stand:</i>	Plot treatment
Species composition	Plot Disturbance
Age	<i>Large tree list:</i>
Height	Species
Crown closure	Volume
Volume	Growth
<i>Origin:</i>	Biomass
Treatment	<i>Small tree list:</i>
Disturbance	Species
Land use	Biomass
Ownership	<i>Shrub and Herb:</i>
Protection status	Species
Conversion of landuse	Percent Cover
<i>Exotics:</i>	Biomass
Origin of exotics	<i>Woody debris:</i>
	Volume and biomass
	<i>Soil:</i>
	Soil features
	Soil horizon

160°0'0"W

150°0'0"W

140°0'0"W

120°0'0"W

100°0'0"W

80°0'0"W

60°0'0"W

50°0'0"W

40°0'0"W

30°0'0"W

20°0'0"W

60°0'0"N

50°0'0"N

40°0'0"N

60°0'0"N

50°0'0"N

40°0'0"N

120°0'0"W

110°0'0"W

100°0'0"W

90°0'0"W

80°0'0"W

70°0'0"W

Canada

0 250 500 1,000 Kilometers



