

**Landscape-level analysis of mountain pine beetle in
British Columbia: spatiotemporal development and
spatial synchrony within the present outbreak**

Brian H. Aukema, Allan L. Carroll, Jun Zhu,
Kenneth F. Raffa, Theodore A. Sickley and Stephen W. Taylor

Mountain Pine Beetle Working Paper 2008-29

MBPI Project #7.05

A version of this report has been previously published in *Ecography* 29:427–441.

Natural Resources Canada
Canadian Forest Service
Pacific Forestry Centre
506 West Burnside Road
Victoria, BC V8Z 1M5
Canada

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada 2009

Printed in Canada

2009

Library and Archives Canada Cataloguing in Publication

Landscape-level analysis of mountain pine beetle in British Columbia [electronic resource] : spatiotemporal development and spatial synchrony within the present outbreak / Brian H. Aukema ... [et al.].

(Mountain pine beetle working paper ; 2008-29)

"MPBI Project #7.05".

Type of computer file: Electronic monograph in PDF format.

Issued also in printed form.

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 978-1-100-14616-4

Cat. no.: Fo144-8/2010E-PDF

1. Mountain pine beetle--Colonization--British Columbia. 2. Conifers--Diseases and pests--Monitoring--British Columbia. 3. Conifers--Diseases and pests--Risk assessment--British Columbia. 4. Mountain pine beetle—British Columbia--Geographical distribution. 5. Conifers--Mortality--British Columbia. 6. Aerial surveys in forestry--British Columbia. I. Aukema, Brian H II. Pacific Forestry Centre III. Series: Mountain Pine Beetle Initiative working paper (Online) 2008-29

SB945 M78 L35 2010

634.9'7516768

C2010-980027-3

Abstract

We present a landscape-level analysis of the epidemiology and spatiotemporal pattern of spread of the current outbreak of mountain pine beetle in British Columbia to the year 2003. Aerial survey assessments of tree mortality, projected onto discrete 12×12 km cells, were used as a proxy for insect population density. We examined whether the outbreak potentially originated from an epicentre and spread, or whether multiple localized populations erupted simultaneously at spatially disjunct locations. An aspatial cluster analysis of time series from 1990–2003 revealed four distinct time series patterns. Each time series demonstrated a general progression of increasing mountain pine beetle populations. Plotting the geographical locations of each temporal pattern revealed that the outbreak occurred first in west-central British Columbia and spread east. The plot further revealed many localized infestations erupted in geographically disjunct areas, especially in the southern portion of the province. Autologistic regression analyses indicated a significant, positive association between areas where the outbreak first occurred and conservation lands. For example, the delineated area of west-central British Columbia comprises three conservation parks and adjacent working forest. We further examined how population synchrony declines with distance at different population levels. Examination of the spatial dependence of temporal synchrony in population fluctuations during early, incipient years (i.e., 1990–1996) suggested that outbreaking mountain pine beetle populations are largely independent at scales > 200 km during non-epidemic periods. However, during epidemic years (i.e., 1999–2003), populations were clearly synchronous across the entire province, even at distances of up to 900 km. The epicentral pattern of population development can be used to identify and prioritize adjacent landscape units for both reactive and proactive management strategies intended to minimize mountain pine beetle impacts.

Keywords: mountain pine beetle, MPB, epidemic, landscape-level analysis, dispersion, spatiotemporal pattern, epicentre

Résumé

Nous présentons une analyse à l'échelle du paysage du profil épidémiologique et spatio-temporel de la propagation de l'infestation actuelle du dendroctone du pin ponderosa en Colombie-Britannique jusqu'en 2003. Nous avons projeté les résultats des relevés aériens sur la mortalité des arbres sur des cellules distinctes de 12×12 km et les avons utilisés comme données substitutives sur la densité de la population d'insectes. Nous avons cherché à déterminer si l'infestation s'était propagée à partir d'un épicode ou si de multiples populations locales isolées avaient pullulé simultanément. Une analyse typologique spatiale de séries chronologiques de 1990–2003 a révélé l'existence de quatre profils distincts. Chaque série chronologique mettait en évidence une progression générale des populations du dendroctone du pin ponderosa. La représentation graphique des emplacements géographiques de chaque profil temporel a révélé que l'infestation avait débuté dans le centre-ouest de la Colombie-Britannique et s'était propagée vers l'est. Elle a également révélé que de nombreuses infestations locales étaient apparues dans des emplacements géographiques distincts, surtout dans la partie sud de la province. D'après les résultats d'analyse de régression autologistique, il existe une corrélation positive significative entre les zones où l'infestation s'était d'abord manifestée et les terres protégées. Ainsi, la zone délimitée du centre-ouest de la Colombie-Britannique comprend trois parcs de conservation et une forêt aménagée adjacente. Nous avons examiné plus à fond la réduction du synchronisme des populations avec l'augmentation de la distance selon différents niveaux de population. L'analyse de la dépendance spatiale du synchronisme temporel des fluctuations de population au cours des premières années (1990–1996) indique que l'explosion des populations de dendroctone du pin ponderosa est en grande partie indépendante à des échelles supérieures à 200 km en dehors des périodes de pullulation. Cependant, durant les années d'explosion démographique (1999 à 2003), les populations étaient manifestement synchrones à l'échelle de la province, même à des distances allant jusqu'à 900 km. Le profil épicode du développement des populations peut servir à repérer des unités de paysage adjacentes et à établir leur ordre de priorité en vue de l'établissement de stratégies de lutte réactive et proactive visant à atténuer les répercussions des infestations de dendroctone du pin ponderosa.

Mot clés : dendroctone du pin ponderosa, DPP, épidémie, analyse à l'échelle du paysage, dispersion, profil spatio-temporel, épicode

Contents

Introduction.....	1
2 Materials and Methods.....	2
2.1 Data collection and processing	2
2.2 Statistical analysis.....	3
3 Results.....	4
3.1 What is the spatial pattern of outbreak development?.....	8
3.2 Does the presence of conservation land increase the probability that infestations will be characterized by the time series of cluster type I?.....	10
3.3 Observed distribution of conservation areas and host availability among the regions.....	11
3.4 Within the epicentre, did insect populations first intensify within specific areas?.....	11
3.5 Are mountain pine beetle populations synchronous?	14
4 Discussion.....	15
5 Summary and Conclusions	17
6 Acknowledgements.....	18
7 Contact	18
8 Literature Cited.....	19

List of Tables

Table 1. Comparison of the association of conservation lands within the epicentre to regions characterized by other time series patterns (see Fig. 5).	10
Table 2. Distribution of stands susceptible to mountain pine beetle ($\geq 60\%$ pine composition and ≥ 60 years old) in 1990 across the different regions identified by cluster analysis (see Figure 5).	11
Table 3. Distribution of land among conservation areas and working forest susceptible to mountain pine beetle in 1990, within the epicentre (cluster type I in Figure 5) of the outbreak.	14
Table 4. Spatial synchrony of tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle on 12×12 km grid cells in British Columbia, estimated by isotropic nonparametric spatial covariance functions.	15

List of Figures

Figure 1. Map of British Columbia, Canada. The study area, which encompasses most historical mountain pine beetle populations, is delineated by a dashed line in each figure	5
Figure 2. Tree mortality due to mountain pine beetle, 1990–1996 and 1999–2003, from the annual aerial Forest Insect and Disease Surveys.	6
Figure 3. Stress plot for multidimensional scaling analysis of time series data of tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle, 1990–2003, on 12×12 km grid cells in British Columbia.	8
Figure 4. Dendrogram from hierarchical cluster analysis of time-series data of tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle, 1990–2003, on 12×12 km grid cells in British Columbia.	8
Figure 5. Time series patterns (means \pm SE) of tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle, 1990–2003, on 12×12 km grid cells in British Columbia, based on hierarchical cluster analysis for $\kappa = 4$ clusters.	9
Figure 6. Dendrogram from hierarchical cluster analysis restricted to the 31 grid cells comprising the epicentre of time series date of tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle, 1990–2003.	12
Figure 7. Epicentre of mountain pine beetle outbreak, 1990–2003.	13
Figure 8. Nonparametric spatial covariance functions of tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle during incipient years and epidemic years.	15

1 Introduction

In forest systems, certain insects undergo regional population eruptions that affect landscape-level processes, such as nutrient cycling and ecological succession (McCullough et al. 1998), and likewise exert immense economic and sociopolitical impact (Holmes 1991). Population eruptions exhibit both temporal and spatial patterns over landscapes. Long-term temporal patterns can reveal whether the insect's eruptions are predominantly periodic (Myers 1998) or intermittent (Werner and Holsten 1983; Bakke 1989; Eisenhart and Veblen 2000; Alfaro et al. 2004). The spatial configurations of insect populations can provide insight into how outbreaks develop.

Insect populations may erupt from an epicentre and spread, or from multiple simultaneous eruptions and coalesce (Johnson et al. 2004). Knowledge of temporal and spatial patterns may offer insights into processes driving insect outbreaks and are valuable in prioritizing areas for appropriate management tactics, especially where land-use objectives may conflict, such as in parks/protected areas vs working forests. During the previous century, the mountain pine beetle *Dendroctonus ponderosae* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), has reached epidemic levels 4–5 times in north-western North America (Taylor and Carroll 2004). The last outbreak in the 1980s caused mortality over 1.84 million ha of mature pine forests in western Canada. In recent years, mountain pine beetle populations have again increased to outbreak levels and killed pine over a 7.1 million ha region between 1999–2005 in British Columbia alone. This insect can breed within virtually all *Pinus* species in western North America (Wood 1963; Furniss and Schenk 1969; Amman and Cole 1983) but based on the frequency, size, and severity of infestations, lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* var. *latifolia*), is considered the insect's primary host. Trees aged 60–160 years are the most susceptible, as phloem thickness is generally the limiting factor in successful reproduction once host defenses are overcome (Amman 1972). Beetles colonize trees via a pheromone-mediated mass attack that effectively exhausts host defenses (Safranyik et al. 1975; Raffa and Berryman 1983). Additionally, fungi vectored by beetles rapidly invade tree tissues, and these might compromise expression of tree defenses (Safranyik et al. 1975). Females select hosts, and are joined by males as they bore through the bark into the phloem tissue. Mated females oviposit in niches chewed along vertical galleries. Following eclosion, larvae mine circumferentially around the bole, developing through four instars. The beetles typically overwinter as late-instar larvae, before completing development to adults during the following spring (Reid 1962). Development is temperature-dependent, which helps synchronize emergence and dispersal, and thus mass attack, in mid-summer for most populations (Evenden et al. 1943; Reid 1962; Bentz et al. 1991; Powell et al. 2000).

Extensive studies have investigated factors that facilitate increases in mountain pine beetle populations at the scales of trees and stands. Generally, these factors either act directly on the beetle, such as moderate winter temperatures that minimize overwintering mortality, or indirectly through reductions in tree defenses brought about by abiotic or biotic injury or stress, such as drought (e.g. Safranyik et al. 1975; Raffa and Berryman 1983). However, other than theoretical models (Logan et al. 1998), few studies have evaluated mountain pine beetle activity at the scale of landscapes (Perkins and Roberts 2003; McIntire 2004).

In this paper, we examine two aspects of the current mountain pine beetle outbreak in British Columbia. First, we investigate the spatial pattern of outbreak development. We investigate whether one specific origin can be detected, or whether the data suggest that the outbreak has originated from multiple, coalescing point sources. Further, we investigate whether outbreak locations are associated with parks and protected lands, i.e., areas of little to no historical management for eruptive insects due to access and/or value-based decisions (although important exceptions exist). Second, we examine the scales at which temporal synchrony is spatially dependent among the buildup and subsequent outbreak years. Specifically, we consider: does

synchrony decline with distance? At what distance are population fluctuations independent? Does spatial dependence vary anisotropically, i.e., directionally? Such insights may be valuable in understanding what processes, such as dispersal, may lead to population spread at different scales over a landscape.

In this paper, we use the term *incipient years* for the period 1990–1996, in which mountain pine beetle populations were increasing across the province. *Epidemic years* include 1999–2003. We recognize that studies confined to individual forest districts, for example, may reveal localized outbreaks during incipient years, but our focus is at the provincial level. We use the term *epicentre* as an area in which the outbreak first occurred, as manifested by spatially concentrated visible mortality. This term does not imply that such areas are necessarily more favourable places for survival during endemic periods. We use the term *conservation areas* synonymously with *parks and protected natural areas* to avoid confusion regarding the term *protected*—that is, lands excluded from commercial activities of extraction of natural resources, and not *protected* in the sense of potential safeguarding forests from insects by aerial application of pesticides.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Data collection and processing

We delineated a study area in British Columbia that encompasses most mountain pine beetle infestations in the current outbreak. The area extended from the southern provincial border, 49°N, to 57°N, north of Fort St. John, east to the provincial boundary in the Rocky Mountains, and west to a line over the Coastal Mountains from roughly 122°W–130°W. This excludes habitats deemed largely unsuitable for mountain pine beetle outbreaks, such as Pacific maritime and boreal forests (although previous outbreaks have encompassed small areas within these excluded regions, e.g., Collis and Alexander 1966). This 53 392 412 ha study area was divided into 3 630 grid cells. Grid cells were demarcated by dividing one degree latitude by one degree longitude into five (E–W) × 10 (N–S) cells across the study area. Cell size averaged $1.47 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^2$, or roughly $12 \times 12 \text{ km}$.

We estimated mortality due to mountain pine beetle from annual aerial survey maps of the Forest Insect and Disease Survey performed by the Canadian Forest Service (1990–1996) and the British Columbia Ministry of Forests (1999–2003). (Province-wide mapping was not performed during 1997–1998.) Each year, an aerial survey of the entire province recorded areas of tree mortality, judged from red and/or grey crowns, on 1:250 000 NTS topographic maps (see Taylor and Carroll 2004). Because foliage of beetle-killed trees fades to red within one year (Safranyik et al. 1974), such monitoring, in concert with ground-truthing, provides a useful record of beetle activity at the landscape level.

Maps were digitized using ArcGIS (ESRI 2004) (Albers projection, NAD83). Surveyors assign each outbreak area a severity code: low (1%–10% of stands killed), medium (11%–29%), and severe ($\geq 30\%$). We implemented corrections proposed by colleagues developing the British Columbia Mountain Pine Beetle model for outbreak projections (Eng et al. 2004), and multiplied the infestation areas by the midpoints of the severity classes for low and medium and by 30% for severe. This reduces potential observation errors of infestation extent, such as inclusion of unsuitable habitat, or overestimation of mortality due to the higher visual perceptibility of red foliage (Eng et al. 2004). These corrections ensured that mortality estimates in heavily infested areas do not exceed the total area of the grid cell over a three-year course of infestation. We excluded areas of grey trees (i.e., $\geq 3 \text{ yr}$ post-infestation) from the analyses. Infestation polygons were overlaid on grid cells so each cell contained a value of percent area of beetle infestation for each year. These values formed the bases of all analyses. Data was processed using AML macros in ArcInfo (ESRI 2004) and programming in R (Ihaka and Gentleman 1996; R Project 2004).

Information on stands susceptible to mountain pine beetle was obtained from the 1990 provincial Forest, Recreation, and Range Resource Analysis (BCMOF 1995). We define susceptible stands as those ≥ 60 years old (Safranyik et al. 1975) and $\geq 60\%$ pine. These inventory data were used to quantify the amount of susceptible hosts within parks/protected areas vs working forests in 1990; i.e., the beginning of the period studied. We exclude annual estimates of host availability from our spatiotemporal analyses of mountain pine beetle populations (described in Statistical analysis, below) for two reasons. First, annual inventories do not exist. Second, estimation error prevented us from making sufficiently reliable projections of pine on the landscape post-1990 at the same spatial resolution as mountain pine beetle activity. Estimation error on pine abundance is propagated through the concomitant effects of fire, stand aging, mortality due to insects and disease, and management and salvage practices.

2.2 Statistical analysis

To estimate whether the current outbreak originated from a point source or erupted in multiple locations, we used cluster analysis techniques for time series (Swanson and Johnson 1999, Williams and Liebhold 2000, Okland and Bjørnstad 2003). In brief, the time series of percent mortality due to mountain pine beetle for each grid cell were compared to one another a spatially and split into k clusters based on similarity of each of k time-series patterns. Cluster types were then mapped back onto the study area. Examination of the resulting spatial pattern(s) may then provide insights into the process(es) driving the pattern(s), although it is recognized that different unique and/or simultaneous processes may yield similar patterns. For example, if dispersal is a significant process, one might expect that time series similar in temporal pattern might be spatially proximate. Hence, a point source outbreak might appear as a bullseye pattern in cluster types with outer rings exhibiting time lags in mortality, whereas multiple simultaneous origins might form a checkerboard pattern.

All data analyses were performed using R. We used and compared both hierarchical (mva package) and non-hierarchical (MASS package) clustering techniques. In agglomerative hierarchical techniques, a sorting algorithm or linkage method first groups similar time series then successively merges similar resulting groups, producing a dendrogram. There are a variety of linkage methods (Venables and Ripley 2002). The spatial patterns when remapping k clusters were similar for all methods; hence, we only report those obtained using Ward's minimum variance method. Choosing the most appropriate number of k clusters to map is partially subjective, and often a moderate number is selected (Johnson and Wichern 1982). To inform our decision, we used two non-hierarchical cluster methods: K -means (MacQueen 1967) and non-metric multidimensional scaling (Kruskal 1964a,b). Mapping clusters obtained by the K -means methods were similar to that of hierarchical clustering, so are not reported. In nonmetric multidimensional scaling, the best number of clusters is chosen based on the "elbow" of a stress plot. A stress plot examines stress, which is a quantitative measure of the goodness of fit, vs the number of dimensions, which are representations that try to match the observed distances between every pair of N items in q dimensional space (Kruskal 1964a; Cox and Cox 2001; Venables and Ripley 2002). Grid cells with no beetle activity were excluded from these analyses.

We identified the region where the outbreak first occurred (i.e., the epicentre; see Results), and tested whether this region had a greater association with conservation areas than each of the other regions characterized by the distinct time series patterns. When hypothesis testing in regression frameworks, it is critical to address violations of model assumptions, such as independence when using spatially correlated data, which may subsequently bias test results (e.g., Legendre et al. 2002). Because the mapped regions differed in size and spatial arrangements (i.e., the area where the outbreak first occurred was the smallest and quite contiguous; see Results), we adjusted for differences in sample size and potential spatial dependencies as follows. For cells of a given non-epicentre time series pattern, the same number of cells as contained in the epicentre was sampled

from an area 100×60 km (comparable to the size of the epicentre; see Results). Each sample with too few cells of the required pattern type in the area was discarded and a new area and sample was chosen and pooled with the cells from the epicentre. An autologistic regression was performed in which the response was a Bernoulli trial of cell association with a time series characteristic of the epicentre (i.e., one if so, and zero otherwise. All zero cells were of another specific type of time series pattern, depending on the comparison of interest). The predictors were a binary indicator variable of conservation area (i.e., one if present anywhere in cell, and zero otherwise) and a first-order spatial neighbourhood term to contend with spatial autocorrelation. A Bayesian model with a flat prior was used for inference of the model parameters. Monte Carlo samples from the posterior distribution of the model parameters were generated using a Metropolis-Hastings algorithm. Based on the posterior distributions, we constructed 95% credible intervals for the model parameters. A positive coefficient for the conservation area term that does not overlap zero indicates that conservation lands are more significantly associated with the time series characteristic of the epicentre than with the other time series. This test was performed 100 times with new resamples, possibly with replacement, for each comparison to a different time series type.

To estimate the scale at which mountain pine beetle populations operate synchronously, we examined smoothed nonparametric covariance functions in R (snf function in package ncf, available from <http://onb.ent.psu.edu>; Bjørnstad et al. 1999; Bjørnstad and Falck 2001). The covariance function used the midpoint of each grid cell as the spatial reference. We examined the spatial dependence of synchrony for two time periods characterizing the building or incipient years (1990–1996) when elevated beetle populations were first detected in British Columbia, and outbreak or epidemic years (1999–2003) when populations expanded dramatically. The maximum distance was set at 900 km, since estimates near the maximum distance in the study area (1370 km) may be poor (Isaaks and Srivastava 1989). Confidence intervals around the covariance function were constructed using a bootstrap resampling technique with 1000 replications (Efron and Tibshirani 1993; Bjørnstad and Falck 2001). Due to elevational variation such as mountains, we might expect the spatial covariance to vary with direction. Hence, we also examined anisotropic nonparametric spatial covariance functions, using the reprojections of the data onto eight intervals from 08 (N–S) to 1808 (i.e., 0° – 22.5° , etc.). Distance for these analyses was truncated to 600 km, again to avoid problems of tail bias (Isaaks and Srivastava 1989).

3 Results

By 1990, British Columbia had extensive areas of mature pine available to potentially sustain mountain pine beetle outbreak populations (Taylor and Carroll 2004). Within our study area, 11.1% of the land had stands considered susceptible to mountain pine beetle (Figure 1a). Approximately 10.5% of the study area, 5.6 million ha, fell within designated conservation areas, with historically little management activity directed against the beetle (Figure 1b). Of these conservation areas, 8.5%, or 473 566 ha, contained susceptible pine.




Figure 1. Map of British Columbia, Canada. The study area, which encompasses most historical mountain pine beetle populations, is delineated by a dashed line in each figure. a) Stands $\geq 60\%$ pine and ≥ 60 years old considered susceptible to mountain pine beetle at the start of the study, 1990. b) Conservation areas (parks and protected areas).

Annual aerial survey maps of beetle outbreak in British Columbia are shown in Figure 2, for 1990–1996 (incipient years) and 1999–2003 (epidemic years). During the incipient years, 64 974 infestations averaging 4.3 ha were mapped, with the largest encompassing 2 022 ha. In 1999–2003, surveyors mapped 54 805 infestations averaging 124.7 ha, with the largest encompassing 162 814 ha. Currently, the cumulative area affected has passed 7 million ha.




Figure 2. Tree mortality due to mountain pine beetle, 1990–1996 and 1999–2003, from the annual aerial Forest Insect and Disease Surveys. Figure reflects original polygon maps prior to being overlaid on a grid.




Figure 2. continued

After overlaying the survey polygons on a grid and implementing corrections (see Materials and Methods), the time series of mountain pine beetle mortality within individual grid cells were divided into four distinct patterns based on cluster analyses. The stress at four dimensions using multidimensional scaling (Figure 3) is $< 2.5\%$, which indicates that four groupings are an “excellent” fit (Kruskal 1964a). The dendrogram prepared using agglomerative hierarchical clustering also suggested the presence of four distinct clusters, as indicated by the four vertical lines intersecting the dotted cut-off line in Figure 4. Analyses used to sort the time series into

distinct patterns were performed without any knowledge of spatial location. Subsequently plotting these time series patterns on a map allows us to examine patterns of outbreak development.




Figure 3. Stress plot for multidimensional scaling analysis of time series data of tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle, 1990–2003, on 12×12 km grid cells in British Columbia.

Note: The optimal number of clusters, i.e., types of time series patterns, corresponds to the elbow of the stress plot.




Figure 4. Dendrogram from hierarchical cluster analysis of time-series data of tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle, 1990–2003, on 12×12 km grid cells in British Columbia.

Note: Dendrogram uses Ward’s minimum distance linkage method with Euclidean distances. The dotted line cuts the dendrogram into four clusters (i.e., vertical lines dropping from the cut line). Four clusters are suggested by other clustering techniques as well (see Figure 3). Grid cell identifiers are not labelled on x-axis.

3.1 What is the spatial pattern of outbreak development?

Our mapped results indicate that the current mountain pine beetle epidemic began to intensify first in an area of west-central British Columbia (Figure 5). Two lines of evidence support this finding. First, the time series in this region, i.e., cluster type I, exhibit the earliest increase in area

of tree mortality from 1999 to 2003. On average, the amount of tree mortality in each grid cell rapidly increased from 2% in 1999 to 23% of land area in 2002. In the other cluster of time series characterizing other locations (II–IV), especially cluster types II and III, the percentage of land area with beetle-killed trees did not begin to increase quickly until 2000 or 2001—a full year after the areas characterized by cluster type I. Second, it appears that the infestation had begun to decline in that region: the trend in cluster type I demonstrates a decrease in area of tree mortality, from 23% in 2002 to 15% in 2003. All other infestations (cluster types II, III, and IV), show an increasing trend in beetle populations in 2003. The magnitude and locations of these responses suggest radial spread from an epicentre very offset from the geographical centre of cluster type I. Cluster type II’s increase pattern, where up to 10% of pine forests killed by the beetle in 2003 lay directly east of areas characterized by the time series pattern I, suggests the beetle spread eastward (into the local Vanderhoof and Quesnel forest districts).




Figure 5. Time series patterns (means \pm SE) of tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle, 1990–2003, on 12 \times 12 km grid cells in British Columbia, based on hierarchical cluster analysis for $\kappa = 4$ clusters. Note different scales for y-axes.

Note: The reader is cautioned that the labels of the patterns, i.e., types I to IV, are strictly categorical. Outbreak progression between types, i.e., populations in I causing II and III and then IV, is not necessarily inferred.

In addition to the evidence for a primary origin for the outbreak in an area of west-central British Columbia, the southern and eastern portions of the province demonstrated isolated localized increases in beetle activity (Figure 5). For example, there are a number of infestations of cluster type III in which 1% of the pine-dominated forests, or roughly 1.5 km² in each grid cell, contained beetle-killed trees by 2003. Many of these infestations are in a checkerboard pattern, not in a solid block such as cluster type I. Dividing the time series into higher numbers of aspatial clusters (e.g., lowering the dashed line in Figure 4) did not change the spatial patterns observed (results not shown). Increasing the number of time series patterns always yielded a region of high mortality in the vicinity of cluster type I in west-central British Columbia. Similarly, increasing the number of clusters only increased the number of geographically isolated outbreaks of mountain pine beetle in the southern portions of the province.

3.2 Does the presence of conservation land increase the probability that infestations will be characterized by the time series of cluster type I?

Hereafter, we term the region characterized by cluster type I in Figure 5 as the epicentre of the outbreak. We tested the hypothesis that the epicentre contained more conservation area than each of the other cluster types using autoregressive tests on re-sampled data that accommodated differences in sample size and spatial arrangement (Table 1). The epicentre contained more conservation lands than any of the cells in cluster type II, as evidenced by 100/100 positive tests of this hypothesis. The epicentre also contained more conservation lands than cells in either cluster types III or IV, although each of these tests demonstrated positive associations < 95% of the time. None of the tests for any region indicated that they contained more conservation lands than the epicentre.

Table 1. Comparison of the association of conservation lands within the epicentre to regions characterized by other time series patterns (see Fig. 5).

Comparison: epicentre vs	Positive	Negative	No relationship
Cluster type II	100	0	0
Cluster type III	93	0	7
Cluster type IV	84	0	16

Note: Positive association means more conservation land in the epicentre than the other region; negative association means less. Table displays summary of 100 autologistic regressions based on resamples to account for differences in sample size and spatial arrangement (see Materials and Methods).

3.3 Observed distribution of conservation areas and host availability among the regions

The distribution of conservation areas vs the working forest, and the distribution of susceptible pine within these lands, are listed in Table 2. The epicentre is the only region that has more conservation area than working forest (62.2% vs 37.8%). All other regions, i.e., cluster types II–IV (Figure 5), have less than 20% conservation lands by area. The composition of susceptible pine also differs among these regions. Susceptible pine in the epicentre is distributed relatively evenly between conservation and working forest lands, whereas in regions characterized by cluster types II–IV, > 90% of the susceptible pine is in working forests. The relative coverages of pine within the entire regions characterized by the epicentre and cluster II are close to 50%, and considerably less in other regions (21.3% and 8.8% for areas associated with cluster types III and IV, respectively). In the epicentre, however, the relative coverage of susceptible pine in conservation lands is higher than the relative coverage in conservation areas associated with cluster type II (42.2% vs 20.7%).

Table 2. Distribution of stands susceptible to mountain pine beetle ($\geq 60\%$ pine composition and ≥ 60 years old) in 1990, within conservation areas and working forests, across the different regions identified by cluster analysis (see Figure 5).

Total ha	Conservation areas		Working forest		
	ha	(%)*	ha	(%)*	
A. Cluster type I (“epicentre”)					
Susceptible pine	224 783	120 956	(53.8)	103 826	(46.2)
Cluster area	460 259	286 504	(62.2)	173 755	(37.8)
Relative area of susceptible pine [†]	48.8%	42.2%		59.8%	
B. Cluster type II					
Susceptible pine	1 156 815	89 891	(7.8)	1 066 924	(92.2)
Cluster area	2 378 801	434 287	(18.3)	1 944 515	(81.7)
Relative area of susceptible pine [†]	48.6%	20.7%		54.9%	
C. Cluster type III					
Susceptible pine	2 214 431	129 879	(5.9)	2 084 553	(94.1)
Cluster area	10 400 581	809 095	(7.8)	9 591 485	(92.2)
Relative area of susceptible pine [†]	21.3%	16.1%		21.7%	
D. Cluster type IV					
Susceptible pine	1 924 642	109 200	(5.7)	1 815 442	(94.3)
Cluster area	21 794 770	1 884 478	(8.6)	19 910 292	(91.4)
Relative area of susceptible pine [†]	8.8%	5.8%		9.1%	

*Percent of total, across the columns.

[†]Percent of area, down the rows.

3.4 Within the epicentre, did insect populations first intensify within specific areas?

The provincial-level cluster analysis indicated that the 31 cells in the epicentre were characterized by time series that uniformly exhibited increasing populations of mountain pine beetle in 1999, and decreasing populations by 2002. To examine whether there were potential differences in time series behaviour within this epicentre, we applied hierarchical cluster analysis to the encompassed cells. Three distinct groups of time series could be distinguished (Figure 6), which we term A, B, and C to avoid confusion with the cluster types from the provincial-level examination shown in Figure 5. Again, this cluster analysis was performed without any knowledge of spatial location before the results were plotted on a map.





Figure 6. Dendrogram from hierarchical cluster analysis restricted to the 31 grid cells comprising the epicentre of time series date of tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle, 1990–2003.

Note: Dendrogram uses Ward’s minimum distance linkage method with Euclidean distances. Three cluster types are below the dotted line. Grid cell identifiers are not labeled on x-axis.


A map of the epicentre and the associated time series is displayed in Figure 7. Although all cells had increasing populations of the beetle in 1999, cells characterized by Type A in the northwest area of the epicentre had the most area affected, 8% (Figure 7a). These populations peaked at 18% cell mortality by 2000, while populations in regions characterized by Types B and C peaked at 25% in 2002 (Figure 7a).

The distribution of land tenures and susceptible pine within the epicentre are shown in Figure 7b. The epicentre comprises portions of the working forests (local Nadina, Vanderhoof, and Quesnel forest districts), as well as areas of three conservation lands: North Tweedsmuir Provincial Park, South Tweedsmuir Provincial Park, and the Entiako Park and Protected Area. The three parks are relatively evenly divided by area across the entire epicentre (Table 3). The susceptible pine, however, is more concentrated in eastern portions of the epicentre. Within the three parks, North and South Tweedsmuir Parks contain < 20% of the susceptible pine (Table 3), but susceptible pine covered more than half of Entiako Park and Protected Area (79.1%) and the working forests (59.8%) (Table 2) bounded within the epicentre.

A. Time series cluster types and associated map



B. Park and pine coverage



C. Land tenure within each cluster type




Figure 7. Epicentre of mountain pine beetle outbreak, 1990–2003. A) Time series patterns means \pm SE of tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle, 1990–2003, on 12×12 km grid cells, mapped according to cluster type. B) Conservation areas and associated susceptible pine coverage within epicentre. C) Relative distribution of land tenure within each area characterized by each cluster type.

Table 3. Distribution of land among conservation areas and working forest susceptible to mountain pine beetle ($\geq 60\%$ pine composition and ≥ 60 years of age) in 1990, within the epicentre (cluster type I in Figure 5) of the mountain pine beetle outbreak. See Fig. 7A&B for a map of the epicenter.

	N. Tweedsmuir		S. Tweedsmuir		Entiako	
	ha	(%)*	ha	(%)*	ha	(%)*
Susceptible pine	23 035	(19.0)	12 347	(10.2)	85 574	(70.7)
Epicentre area	96 433	(33.7)	81 828	(28.6)	108 243	(37.8)
Relative area of susceptible pine [†]	23.9%		15.1%		79.1%	

*Sums to 1, across the columns.

[†]Percent of area, down the rows.

The distribution of land tenure within the regions characterized by each cluster type is shown in Figure 7c. Region A, which had the greatest initial tree mortality, is comprised of 97% conservation area, mostly North Tweedsmuir Provincial Park (Figure 7b). Eighty-five percent of the area covered by time series associated with cluster B is conservation land, containing lands from all three provincial parks. Regions associated with time series C had one-third conservation area, and two-thirds working forest (Figure 7c).

3.5 Are mountain pine beetle populations synchronous?

The time series patterns in Figure 5 differ in magnitude, but not direction. Overall, each time series demonstrates an increasing pattern of mountain pine beetle activity across the study area. Spatial covariance functions, which estimate spatial synchrony as a function of distance, are shown for both the early, incipient years of population growth (1990–1996) (Figure 8a) and the following epidemic years (1999–2003) (Figure 8b). As expected, the covariance functions for both time periods demonstrate that spatial synchrony declines with increasing distance. The average regional synchrony, however, differs greatly between incipient and epidemic years. In incipient years, the average province-wide synchrony is nearly zero (Table 4)—that is, beetle populations across the province were largely asynchronous and temporally independent.




Figure 8. Nonparametric spatial covariance functions. Solid lines are covariance functions with 95% confidence intervals based on 1000 bootstrap replications. A) Tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle during incipient years, 1990–1996. Dashed lines indicate distance (vertical lines) at which average regional synchrony (horizontal lines) is reached (see Table 4). B) Tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle during epidemic years, 1999–2003.

Table 4. Spatial synchrony of tree mortality caused by mountain pine beetle on 12 × 12 km grid cells in British Columbia, estimated by isotropic nonparametric spatial covariance functions.

	Incipient years (1990–1996)		Epidemic years (1999–2003)	
	Value	95% CI	Value	95% CI
Average correlation				
local synchrony*	0.53	{0.47, 0.58}	0.71	{0.67, 0.76}
regional synchrony†	0.009	{0.004, 0.013}	0.38	{0.35, 0.41}
Distance of decay (km)				
to regional average	196	{135, 220}	289	{250, 320}
to zero	211	{181, 315}	NA	NA

*Correlation where distance is very near zero (synchrony at distance 0 would be 1).

†Correlation across entire province-wide study area.

Populations were only positively correlated in time at scales of < 200 km, as the average local synchrony (i.e., estimate near distance = 0) of 0.53 decayed to zero around this distance. In contrast, the average synchrony across the province during epidemic years was 0.38. Local correlations were higher, ca 0.71, and decayed to the province-wide average over distances up to 300 km. In the temporal window of epidemic years, on average, all populations of mountain pine beetle seem to be increasing. Even at distances of 900 km, the spatial synchrony did not decay to zero. Directionality did not change the nature of the synchrony for either time period. The covariance functions did not exhibit significant waves. Correlations were close to zero in all directions in incipient years, but positive in all directions in epidemic years.

4 Discussion

The current mountain pine beetle outbreak in British Columbia initiated in an area encompassing portions of several large, contiguous conservation areas and the adjacent working forest in the west-central region of the province. This area has no evidence of a previous outbreak (Taylor et al. 2005), so host availability was high (Table 2). As populations simultaneously increased before declining within this epicentre, they continued to increase in adjacent areas, suggesting eastward

expansion into neighboring forest districts (Figure 5). Determining the processes driving these spatiotemporal patterns is challenging, however. Processes frequently act at different spatial scales to affect landscape-level patterns (Wiens 1989; Wu and Loucks 1995; He et al. 2002; Aukema 2004). Moreover, different processes may show similar landscape-level signatures.

An example of this problem is the difficulty of separating the potentially interacting effects of insect dispersal from anthropogenic activities, such as clearcut harvesting, when examining the spatiotemporal pattern of outbreak development within the epicentre. Initial mortality was the highest in Region A of the epicentre (Figure 7a), an area with 20% susceptible pine located predominantly within a section of North Tweedsmuir Provincial Park. It is possible that beetles migrated eastward from this region into areas with more pine and exacerbated an apparent epicentre effect of population development. The slower rates of increase in Regions B and C (Figure 7a) in conjunction with their locations to the east of Region A (Figure 7b) are consistent with a dispersal effect. An alternative, but not mutually exclusive, explanation is that the slower rates of increase in Regions B and C may have been due to greater management interventions against the insects, as those regions had greater areas of working forest considered amenable to aggressive control tactics (Figure 7c). Clearcut harvesting is not permitted in National and Class A Provincial Parks and protected areas in British Columbia, including Tweedsmuir (BCMEP 1988). Within the epicentre, access challenges and/or concerns of endangered species habitat conservation preclude management interventions in many areas of working forest, and intervention activities such as prescribed burns were directed against the beetle within Tweedsmuir Park in the years following detection of an initial 5 000 ha outbreak in 1994. At a province-wide level, the aggressive tactics applied at the stand level within jurisdictions such as the Vanderhoof and Quesnel forest districts to the east of the epicentre may have delayed outbreaks for a year or two in those regions (regions associated with cluster type II in Figure 5).

The localized buildup of such populations without significant immigration cannot be discounted, as localized eruptions in spatially disjunct populations were prevalent throughout the southern portion of British Columbia (Figure 5). It is highly unlikely that these southern populations simply arose from random dispersal from areas such as provincial parks within the northern epicentre. Although beetles may be transported hundreds of kilometers by advective wind currents during peak flight periods, this atmospheric transport is generally unidirectional. For example, atmospheric models have suggested that outbreak populations from the central interior of the province are sources of new infestations east of the Rocky Mountains (Jackson et al. 2005). The absence of waves in the anisotropic covariance functions supports the hypothesis that the Rocky Mountains do not necessarily serve as a complete east-west dispersal barrier at the current, unprecedented population pressure. During non-outbreak conditions, however, few mountain pine beetles leave the stand (Safranyik et al. 1989, 1992), and previous comparative studies with other eruptive herbivores have characterized mountain pine beetles as poor dispersers (Peltonen et al. 2002). Hence, the building outbreak in the southern portion of the province was likely predominantly due to localized increases.

Spatial synchrony may occur without dispersal of subpopulations (Ranta et al. 1995; Grenfell et al. 1998; Blasius and Stone 2000). For example, mobile predators or parasitoids may induce synchrony in herbivore populations (Ydenberg 1987; Bjørnstad and Bascompte 2001). Insect predation and parasitism, however, are generally not considered significant factors in mountain pine beetle dynamics (Amman and Cole 1983; Moeck and Safranyik 1984). Another frequent cause of spatial synchrony is correlated density-independent, environmental factors acting at a landscape-level scale such as temperature or precipitation, which may synchronize spatially disjunct populations with the same density-dependent population structure (Moran 1953; Ranta et al. 1997; Koenig 2002). This is termed the Moran effect (Royama 1992). In the mountain pine beetle system, however, it is difficult to determine the density-dependent population structure

driving populations for three reasons. First, our analyses encompass a short window of the ongoing outbreak, which makes determining first- or second-order processes intractable. Examining population processes using longer time series is an area of current focus. Second, population estimates of mountain pine beetle are indirect, via corrected estimates of tree mortality within each cell. Observation or measurement error can affect estimates of growth rate (Haydon et al. 1999). Third, estimates of mountain pine beetle populations would have to be rescaled by the total amount of susceptible pine available each year, instead of using the absolute land area in each cell. This is challenging, since detailed forest inventories are not performed annually. However, lodgepole pine is abundant in our study area, and small-scale variability in relative forest composition is likely negligible at the provincial extent studied. Further, rescaling responses to susceptible pine likely would not significantly affect the current results, since the increasing landscape-level trend in mountain pine beetle populations would still be apparent (Bjørnstad et al. 1999).

The high level of spatial synchrony noted for epidemic years is likely due, in part, to a Moran effect. The most probable environmental factor is temperature, which is more spatially synchronous than precipitation (Koenig 2002; Peltonen et al. 2002). Temperature synchronizes the developmental stages of mountain pine beetle at shorter, i.e., intra-annual, resolutions (Bentz et al. 1991), which is essential for coordinating flight and the subsequent mass attack (Reid 1962; Safranyik et al. 1975). Indeed, there has been both an amelioration of extreme winter minimum temperatures and an increase in summer heat accumulation relevant to mountain pine beetle development throughout the southern half of British Columbia during the last several decades (Carroll et al. 2004), although temperature or other climatic factors may have different effects during different population phases of bark beetles (Okland and Berryman 2004). Currently, we are working on elucidating factors that affect the transition between incipient and outbreak dynamics, and examining how climatic factors may influence population synchrony and spread.

In addition to ecological factors such as dispersal and Moran effects, the larger distances exhibiting positive spatial synchrony in epidemic than in incipient populations of mountain pine beetle may be due to the greater spatial extent of the outbreak. That is, beetle populations may occur across larger distances during outbreak than during endemic or incipient years. In the present study, however, the spatial extent of mountain pine beetle infestations was similar for all years (Figure 2). Our estimates of synchrony are derived from measures of high-density populations (i.e., mass attacked trees). Spatial synchrony of latent, endemic populations that are unable to kill healthy trees has not been characterized at landscape-level scales, and is a focus of our current efforts.

5 Summary and Conclusions

The apparent epicentral nature of outbreak initiation and spread, combined with estimates of expansion rates, can be used to identify and prioritize adjacent landscape units for either reactive, direct control efforts, or proactive tactics aimed at reducing forest susceptibility to future mountain pine beetle epidemics. Because issues surrounding land tenure add a value-laden and hence sensitive dimension to such discussions, we note the following three points.

First, because landscape-level signatures may be driven by multiple, and often interacting, processes, management practices against eruptive herbivores must safeguard against biases that target only one process. For example, we do not necessarily advocate management interventions against mountain pine beetle in conservation areas to prevent dispersal into adjoining areas, since localized increases may be equally responsible for infestations in neighbouring areas.

Second, dispersal of insect populations is not by itself a threat to forests. Mortality of dispersing beetles is exceptionally high (Schmid 1969) as survivors must land in areas with suitable

numbers, climate, and habitat to initiate new infestations. Effective dispersal remains one of the least understood features of bark beetle biology.

Third, insect outbreaks depend on many factors, such as the spatial arrangement of incipient populations, extent of synchrony, climatic suitability, topography, host availability and susceptibility, and disturbance histories including previous outbreaks and fire. Anthropogenic impacts, such as fire suppression or application of different management strategies, any of which may depend on access or land tenure, may also affect insect populations. Knowledge of such factors, how they interact, and the spatiotemporal patterns of outbreak development is critical to natural resource management, and decisions to implement strategies intended to minimize herbivore impacts. Such knowledge must be incorporated into discussions among stakeholders with potentially diverse interests in forest ecology and management.

6 Acknowledgements

The authors thank the pilots and survey crews involved in Forest Insect and Disease Survey. Digital forest insect survey data was provided by the Canadian Forest Service and the B.C. Ministry of Forests with partial funding from Forest Renewal B.C. and B.C. Forest Innovation and Investment. G. Thandi, Canadian Forest Service, Pacific Forestry Centre, provided GIS assistance. O. Bjørnstad, Dept of Entomology, Pennsylvania State Univ., provided package `ncf v1.0-5` for R. The authors appreciate the insightful comments of M. Eng (BC Ministry of Forests), R. Alfaro, T. Nelson, and L. Safranyik (Pacific Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service), A. Liebhold, and M. Ayres.

This project was funded by the Government of Canada through the Federal Mountain Pine Beetle Program, administered by Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service. Publication does not necessarily signify that the contents of this report reflect the views or policies of Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service.

This paper was adapted by copy editor Krista Zala for publication as a Mountain Pine Beetle working paper from Aukema, B.H., Carroll, A.L., Zhu, J., Raffa, K.F., Sickley, T.A., and S.W. Taylor (2006) Landscape level analysis of mountain pine beetle in British Columbia, Canada: Spatiotemporal development and spatial synchrony within the present outbreak. *Ecography* 29: 427–441.

7 Contact

Brian Aukema, Bark Beetle Ecologist
Pacific Forestry Centre
University of Northern British Columbia
3333 University Way
Prince George, BC V2N 4Z9
Tel: 250-960-5924
Email: Brian.Aukema@NRCan-RNCan.gc.ca

8 Literature Cited

- Alfaro, R.I.; Campbell, R.; Vera, P.; Hawkes, B.; Shore, T.L. 2004. Dendroecological reconstruction of mountain pine beetle outbreaks in the Chilcotin Plateau of British Columbia. Pages 245–256 *in* T.L. Shore, J.E. Brooks, and J.E. Stone, eds. Challenges and solutions. Proceedings of the Mountain Pine Beetle Symposium, 30-31 October 2003 Kelowna, BC. Information Report BC-X-399, Pacific Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada. Victoria, BC.
- Amman, G.D. 1972. Mountain pine beetle brood production in relation to thickness of lodgepole pine phloem. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 65:138–140.
- Amman, G.D.; Cole, W.E. 1983. Mountain pine beetle population dynamics in lodgepole pine forests. Part II: Population dynamics. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain forest and range experiment station general technical report INT-145. Ogden, UT. 59 p.
- Aukema, J.E. 2004. Distribution and dispersal of desert mistletoe is scale-dependent, hierarchically nested. *Ecography* 27:137–144.
- Bakke, A. 1989. The recent *Ips typographus* outbreak in Norway: Experiences from a control program. *Holarctic Ecology* 12:515-519.
- Bentz, B.J.; Logan, J.A.; Amman, G.D. 1991. Temperature-dependent development of the mountain pine beetle (Coleoptera, Scolytidae) and simulation of its phenology. *Canadian Entomologist* 123:1083–1094.
- Bjørnstad, O.N.; Bascompte, J. 2001. Synchrony and second-order spatial correlation in host-parasitoid systems. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 70:924–933.
- Bjørnstad, O.N.; Falck, W. 2001. Nonparametric spatial covariance functions: Estimation and testing. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics* 8:53–70.
- Bjørnstad, O.N.; Ims, R.A.; Lambin, X. 1999. Spatial population dynamics: Analyzing patterns and processes of population synchrony. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 14:427–432.
- Blasius, B. and Stone, L. 2000. Nonlinearity and the Moran effect. *Nature* 406:846–847.
- (BCMEP) British Columbia Ministry of Environment and Parks. 1988. Tweedsmuir master plan. Victoria, BC. 70 p.
- (BCMOF) British Columbia Ministry of Forests. 1995. 1994 Forest, recreation, and range resource analysis. BC Ministry of Forests, Public Affairs Branch. Victoria, BC. 308 p.
- Carroll, A.L.; Taylor, S.W.; Régnière, J.; Safranyik, L. 2004. Effects of climate change on range expansion by the mountain pine beetle in British Columbia. Pages 223–232 *in* T.L. Shore, J.E. Brooks, and J.E. Stone, eds. Challenges and solutions. Proceedings of the Mountain Pine Beetle Symposium, 30-31 October 2003, Kelowna, BC. Information Report BC-X-399, Pacific Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada. Victoria, BC.
- Collis, D.G.; Alexander, N.E. 1966. Mountain pine beetle damage to the western white pine on Vancouver Island. Information Report BC-X-9. Canadian Dept of Forestry and Rural Development. Victoria, BC. 11 p.
- Cox, T.F.; Cox, M.A.A. 2001. Multidimensional scaling. 2nd ed. Chapman and Hall, Boca Raton, FL. 308 p.
- Efron, B.; Tibshirani, R.J. 1993. An introduction to the bootstrap. Chapman and Hall, Boca Raton, FL. 456 p.
- Eisenhart, K.S.; Veblen, T.T. 2000. Dendroecological detection of spruce bark beetle outbreaks in northwestern Colorado. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 30:1788–1798.

- Eng, M.; Fall, A.; Hughes, J.; Shore, T.; Riel, W.; Hall, P. 2004. Provincial-level projection of the current mountain pine beetle outbreak: An overview of the model (BCMPB) and draft results of Year 1 of the project. URL: <http://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hre/bcmpb/Year1.htm>.
- (ESRI) Environmental Systems Research Inst. 2004. Arc GIS 9. Redlands, CA
- Evenden, J.C.; Bedard, W.D.; Struble, G.R. 1943. The mountain pine beetle: An important enemy of western pines. United States Dept of Agriculture Circular p. 664.
- Furniss, M.M.; Schenk, J.A. 1969. Sustained natural infestations by the mountain pine beetle in seven new Pinus and Picea hosts. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 62:518–519.
- Grenfell, B.T.; Wilson, K.; Finkenstädt, B.F.; Coulson, T.N.; Murray, S.; Albon, S.D.; Pemberton, J.M.; Clutton-Brock, T.H.; Crawley, M.J. 1998. Noise and determinism in synchronized sheep dynamics. *Nature* 394: 674–677.
- Haydon, D.T. Gillis, E.A.; Stefan, C.I.; Krebs, C.J. 1999. Biases in the estimation of the demographic parameters of a snowshoe hare population. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 68:501–512.
- He, F.L.; Lafrankie, J.V.; Song, B. 2002. Scale dependence of tree abundance and richness in a tropical rain forest, Malaysia. *Landscape Ecology* 17:559–568.
- Holmes, T.P. 1991. Price and welfare effects of catastrophic forest damage from southern pine beetle epidemics. *Forest Science* 37:500–516.
- Ihaka, I.; Gentleman, R. 1996. R: a language for data analysis and graphics. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 5:299–314.
- Isaaks, E.H.; Srivastava, R.M. 1989. Applied geostatistics. Oxford University Press. New York, NY. 561 p.
- Jackson, P.L.; Murphy, B.; Burkholder, B.J. 2005. Modeling beetle movement by wind. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 86:28–29.
- Johnson, D.M.; Bjørnstad, O.N.; Liebhold, A.M. 2004. Landscape geometry and travelling waves in the larch budmoth. *Ecology Letters* 7:967–974.
- Johnson, R.A.; Wichern, D.W. 1982. Applied multivariate statistical analysis. Prentice Hall. Englewood Cliffs, NJ. 594 p.
- Koenig, W.D. 2002. Global patterns of environmental synchrony and the Moran effect. *Ecography* 25:283–288.
- Kruskal, J.B. 1964a. Multidimensional scaling by optimizing goodness of fit to a non-metric hypothesis. *Psychometrika* 29:1–27.
- Kruskal, J.B. 1964b. Non-metric multidimensional scaling: A numerical method. *Psychometrika* 29:115–129.
- Legendre, P.; Dale, M.R.T.; Fortin, M.-J.; Gurevitch, J.; Hohn, M.; Myers, D. 2002. The consequences of spatial structure for the design and analysis of ecological field surveys. *Ecography* 25:601–615.
- Logan, J.A.; White, P.; Bentz, B.J.; Powell, J.A. 1998. Model analysis of spatial patterns in mountain pine beetle outbreaks. *Theoretical Population Biology* 53:236–255.
- MacQueen, J.B. 1967. Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate observations. *Proc. of 5th Berkeley Symp. on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, University of California Press, p. 281–297.
- McCullough, D.G.; Werner, R.A.; Neumann, D. 1998. Fire and insects in northern and boreal forest ecosystems of North America. *Annual Review of Entomology* 43:107–127.
- McIntire, E.J.B. 2004. Understanding natural disturbance boundary formation using spatial data and path analysis. *Ecology* 85:1933–1943.

- Moeck, H.A.; Safranyik, L. 1984. Assessment of predator and parasitoid control of bark beetles. Canadian Forest Service, Pacific Forestry Centre. Victoria, BC. Information Report BC-X-248. 26 p.
- Moran, P.A.P. 1953. The statistical analysis of the Canadian lynx cycle. II. Synchronization and meteorology. *Aust. J. Zool.* 1:291–298.
- Myers, J.H. 1998. Synchrony in outbreaks of forest Lepidoptera: A possible example of the Moran effect. *Ecology* 79:1111–1117.
- Okland, B.; Bjørnstad, O.N. 2003. Synchrony and geographical variation of the spruce bark beetle (*Ips typographus*) during a non-epidemic period. *Population Ecology* 45:213–219.
- Okland, B.; Berryman, A. 2004. Resource dynamic plays a key role in regional fluctuations of the spruce bark beetles *Ips typographus*. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* 6:141–146.
- Peltonen, M.; Liebhold, A.M.; Bjørnstad, O.N.; Williams, D.W. 2002. Spatial synchrony in forest insect outbreaks: Roles of regional stochasticity and dispersal. *Ecology* 83:3120–3129.
- Perkins, D. L.; Roberts, D.W. 2003. Predictive models of whitebark pine mortality from mountain pine beetle. *Forest Ecology and Management* 174:495–510.
- Powell, J.A.; Jenkins, J.L.; Logan, J.A.; Bentz, B.J. 2000. Seasonal temperature alone can synchronize life cycles. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology* 62:977–998.
- (R Project) R Development Core Team. 2004. R: A language and environment for statistical computing., R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: <http://www.R-project.org/>.
- Raffa, K.F.; Berryman, A.A. 1983. The role of host plant resistance in the colonization behavior and ecology of bark beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Ecological Monographs* 53:27–49.
- Ranta, E.; Kaitala, V.; Lindstrom, J.; Linden, H. 1995. Synchrony in population dynamics. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 262:113–118.
- Ranta, E. Kaitala, V.; Lindstrom, J.; Helle, E. 1997. The Moran effect and synchrony in population dynamics. *Oikos* 78:136–142.
- Reid, R.W. 1962. Biology of the mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus monticolae* Hopkins, in the East Kootenay region of British Columbia. I. Life cycle, brood development, and flight periods. *Canadian Entomologist* 94:531–538.
- Royama, T. 1992. Analytical population dynamics. Chapman and Hall, London, UK. 372 p.
- Safranyik, L.; Shrimpton, D.M.; Whitney, H.S. 1974. Management of lodgepole pine to reduce losses from the mountain pine beetle. Pacific Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada. Victoria, BC. Forestry Technical Report 1.
- Safranyik, L.; Shrimpton, D.M.; Whitney, H.S. 1975. An interpretation of the interaction between lodgepole pine, the mountain pine beetle and its associated blue stain fungi in western Canada. Pages 406–428 in D.M. Baumgartner, ed. Management of lodgepole pine ecosystems symposium proceedings, Washington State University Cooperative Extension Service.
- Safranyik, L.; Silversides, R.; McMullen, L.H.; Linton, D.A. 1989. An empirical approach to modeling the local dispersal of the mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopk) (Col, Scolytidae) in relation to sources of attraction, wind direction and speed. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 108:498–511.
- Safranyik, L.; Linton, D.A.; McMullen, L.H. 1992. Dispersal of released mountain pine beetles under the canopy of a mature lodgepole pine stand. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 113:441–450.

- Schmid, J.M. 1969. *Laphria gilva* (Diptera: Asilidae), a predator of *Dendroctonus ponderosae* in the Black Hills of South Dakota. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 62:1237–1241.
- Swanson, B.J.; Johnson, D.R. 1999. Distinguishing causes of intraspecific synchrony in population dynamics. *Oikos* 86:265–274.
- Taylor, S.W.; Carroll, A.L. 2004. Disturbance, forest age dynamics, and mountain pine beetle outbreaks in BC: A historical perspective. Pages 41–51 in T.L. Shore, J.E. Brooks, and J.E. Stone, eds. *Challenges and solutions: Proceedings of the Mountain Pine Beetle Symposium, 30-31 October 2003, Kelowna, BC*. Canada Information Report BC-X-399, Pacific Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada. Victoria, BC.
- Taylor, S.W.; Carroll, A.L.; Alfaro, R.I.; Safranyik, L. Pages 67–94 (Chapter 2) in L. Safranyik and W.R. Wilson, eds. *The mountain pine beetle: A synthesis of biology, management, and impacts on lodgepole pine*. Pacific Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, Victoria, British Columbia. 304 p.
- Venables, W.N.; Ripley, B.D. 2002. *Modern applied statistics with S*. Springer, New York, NY 495 p.
- Werner, R.A.; Holsten, E.H. 1983. Mortality of white spruce during a spruce beetle outbreak on the Kenai Peninsula in Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 13:96–101.
- Wiens, J.A. 1989. Spatial scaling in ecology. *Functional Ecology* 3:385–397.
- Williams, D.W.; Liebhold, A.M. 2000. Spatial synchrony of spruce budworm outbreaks in eastern North America. *Ecology* 81:2753–2766.
- Wood, S.L. 1963. A revision of bark beetle genus *Dendroctonus* Erichson (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Great Basin Naturalist* 23:1–117.
- Wu, J.G.; Loucks, O.L. 1995. From balance of nature to hierarchical patch dynamics: A paradigm shift in ecology. *The Quarterly Review of Biology* 70:439–466.
- Ydenberg, R.C. 1987. Nomadic predators and geographical synchrony in microtine population cycles. *Oikos* 50:270–272.