

INTENSIVE FOREST HARVEST :
A REVIEW OF NUTRIENT BUDGET CONSIDERATIONS

by

B. Freedman
Department of Biology and Institute for
Resource and Environmental Studies
Dalhousie University
Halifax, N.S., B3H 4J1

Information Report M-X-121

Maritimes Forest Research Centre
Canadian Forestry Service
Department of the Environment
P.O. Box 4000, Fredericton, N.B. E3B 5P7

1981

ABSTRACT

It is clear that the use of intensive harvest techniques, such as whole-tree or complete-tree clear-cutting, will produce substantially higher yields of biomass than would conventional bole-only clear-cutting of the same stand. However, these increases in biomass yield are accompanied by much larger increases in the removal of nutrients, due to the removal of nutrient-rich tissues in the intensive harvests. Thus, the short-term biomass gains are purchased at the longer-term expense of accelerated nutrient removals. These nutrient removals can be further increased by severe disturbance of the site during logging or subsequent site preparation.

Simple calculations indicate that, for short-rotation Populus plantations, the nutrient removals with whole-tree-harvested biomass would result in a relatively rapid nutrient impoverishment of the site. On the other hand, medium or longer-term rotations result in more moderate calculated removals of nutrients with harvested biomass, which appear to be of less ecological significance. However, due to variations and other uncertainties in many of the data upon which these calculations were based, these conclusions are tentative. Longer-term studies are required, including further refining and field-testing of forest growth models.

RESUME

Il est évident que l'emploi de techniques de récolte intensive, telles que la coupe rase par arbres entiers ou au complet, produira des rendements en biomasse substantiellement plus élevés que la méthode classique de coupe rase des fûts seulement du même peuplement. Toutefois, ces accroissements de rendement en biomasse s'accompagnent d'accroissements de prélèvement d'éléments nutritifs de beaucoup plus élevés, du fait que des tissus riches en ces mêmes éléments sont prélevés par les récoltes intensives. Ainsi donc, les gains à court terme en biomasse se soldent à long terme par des prélèvements accélérés d'éléments nutritifs, qui peuvent être encore accentués par la grave perturbation résultant des opérations d'exploitation ou de préparation subséquente de la station.

Des calculs simples montrent que, pour les plantations de Populus à courte rotation, le prélèvement d'éléments nutritifs qui s'opère avec la récolte de biomasse par arbres entiers aboutirait à un appauvrissement relativement rapide de la station. Par contre, des rotations à moyen ou à long terme se traduiraient par des prélèvements calculés plus modérés avec la biomasse récoltée, ce qui semble avoir un impact moindre sur l'écologie. Toutefois, en raison des variations et autres incertitudes afférentes à beaucoup des données sur lesquelles ces calculs ont été étayés, les conclusions ci-dessus sont hypothétiques. Des études de plus longue haleine s'imposent, y compris le perfectionnement et l'expérimentation in situ de modèles de croissance forestière.

FOREWORD

ENFOR is the bilingual acronym for the Canadian Forestry Service's ENergy from FORest (ENergie de La FORêt) program of research and development aimed at securing the knowledge and technical competence to facilitate in the medium to long term a greatly increased contribution from forest biomass to our nation's primary energy production. This program is part of a much larger federal government initiative to promote the development and use of renewable energy as a means of reducing our dependence on petroleum and other non-renewable energy sources.

ENFOR projects are selected from among proposals submitted by private and public research organizations

according to scientific and technical merit, in the light of program objectives and priorities. Regardless of proposal source, projects are carried out primarily by contract. For further information on the ENFOR program, contact.....

ENFOR Secretariat
Canadian Forestry Service
Department of the Environment
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 1G5

or

the Director of the establishment issuing the report.

This report is based on ENFOR Project P-40.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. BIOMASS AND NUTRIENT STANDING CROPS OF FORESTS	2
3. NUTRIENT POOLS IN FOREST SOILS	5
4. NUTRIENT INPUTS TO FORESTS	5
a) Precipitation Inputs	5
b) Dry Deposition	6
c) Weathering	7
d) Nitrogen Fixation	7
5. NET FLUX OF NUTRIENTS FROM FORESTS	8
6. FORESTS DISTURBANCE AND NET FLUX	9
a) Effects of Forest Fires on Nutrient Losses	9
b) Effects of Forest Harvest on Nutrient Losses	10
c) Other Disturbances	11
7. EVALUATION OF NUTRIENT REMOVALS BY FOREST HARVEST	13
a) Short-Rotation Plantations	13
b) Medium-Length Rotations	15
c) Longer Rotations	15
8. CONCLUSIONS	16
9. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	17
10. LITERATURE CITED	17
FIGURES	36
TABLES	38

1. INTRODUCTION

Forest-related industries collectively comprise one of Canada's largest industrial sectors. Total outputs in 1978 were some \$18 billion, and the sector, directly accounted for some 300,000 jobs (Anon. 1978). At present, the forest industries mainly utilize trees as sources of structural materials or fibre. However, with the rising costs and dwindling reserves of energy derived from non-renewable hydrocarbon resources, attention is also focusing on the potential for the use of forest biomass as a renewable source of energy. In a country such as Canada, having ca. 37% of its land area forested [$3.4 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$, ca. 10% of the productive forest lands in the world (Bowen 1978)], tree biomass has the potential to displace significant quantities of fossil fuel energy (e.g., Evans 1974; Anon. 1976 a,b; Love and Overend 1978; Jones *et al.* 1979; Henry 1980).

To maximize the short-term economic returns from forest management and harvest for materials or energy purposes, it is likely that intensive removals of biomass will occur over increasingly larger tracts of forest. For example, whole-tree (above-ground), and possibly even complete-tree (above and below-ground) harvesting may be used extensively. Although these harvest methods greatly increase the biomass yields per unit of forest land, they generally increase nutrient removals by substantially larger factors. At present, the ecological consequences of these accelerated rates of nutrient removal from forest stands are not understood, largely due to a paucity of site, species, and element-specific data relevant to: i) nutrient removals during forestry operations, ii) the sizes of the nutrient pools in total and plant

exploitable forms in various residual soil compartments, iii) the net accretions or depletions of the various nutrients in both cut and uncut stands, and iv) the degree of nutrient limitation of forest productivity. In addition, even where these data are available, we have an incomplete understanding of how these and other factors interact ecologically to determine site productivity.

Because of the greatly-accelerated rates of nutrient removals in whole-tree or complete-tree harvested forests (frequently exceeding nutrient removals by conventional clear-cutting by factors of 2-3), it seems reasonable to envisage future deterioration of many sites. Further evidence for this is found in the observation that the productivities of most forest stands in Canada are to some degree nutrient-limited, especially with respect to the rate of supply of nitrogen in an assimilable form (e.g., Hegyi 1974; Armson *et al.* 1975; Weetman *et al.* 1974, 1976; Czapowskyj 1977; Ballard 1979; Tamm 1979). We might further envisage that site deterioration via nutrient impoverishment would be especially severe on the more intensively-cropped sites (i.e., sites where whole-tree or complete-tree clear-cuts are used over short rotations), or perhaps on sites that are initially sensitive to nutrient impoverishment following cutting (perhaps because of initially low quantities in the soil nutrient pools, or susceptibility to soil erosion following cutting), unless steps are taken to subsequently ameliorate decreased site fertility, for instance by forest fertilization.

It is notable that several authors and two recent symposia have expressed a real concern over the possible deterioration of forested sites due to accelerated nutrient depletions via intensive biomass removals (e.g., Rennie 1955; Keeves 1966; Tamm 1969;

Boyle and Ek 1972; Weetman and Webber 1972; Kimmins 1974, 1977, 1980; White 1974; Calef 1976; Norton and Young 1976; Anon. 1977, 1979; Glesinger 1977; Hornbeck 1977; Aber *et al.* 1978, 1979; Wells and Jorgensen 1979; White and Harvey, 1979; Carlisle 1980; Kimmins *et al.* 1980). Significantly, complete agreement does not exist among these various authors as to the ecological significance of the removal of large quantities of particular nutrients with harvested biomass. This overall lack of consensus is due to a number of factors, especially: i) species and stand-age differences in nutrient removals from harvested sites, and ii) site specificity as to the particular chemical factors limiting tree growth. For example, Weetman and Webber (1972), working with two mature spruce-dominated stands in Quebec, identified calcium as a potential problem with respect to site improvishment, and they considered nitrogen removals to be insignificant. Boyle and Ek (1972), working on a 45 year old trembling aspen stand in Wisconsin, also considered calcium and magnesium removal to be a potential problem. However, they calculated that the amounts of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in the plant-exploitable soil compartments were sufficient for at least five whole-tree rotations on their site. On the other hand, Norton and Young (1976), in a calculated budget for a mature softwood stand in Maine, concluded that whole-tree harvest removals of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and sulfur were of sufficient significance to require subsequent fertilization treatments to avoid site deterioration. Similarly, White (1974), in a study of short-rotation cottonwood stands on alluvial sites in Alabama, concluded that biomass removals of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium might be of importance, whereas

calcium and magnesium removals were not. Obviously, there is a high degree of species, age, site, and even regional differences as to the ecological effects of the removal of quantities of particular nutrients with harvested biomass.

The purpose of this report is to examine the potential effects of nutrient removals via intensive forest harvests on future site productivity. This will be done by presenting literature reviews (relevant to north temperate or boreal forests) of i) nutrient removals via forest harvest, ii) nutrient quantities in the total and plant-exploitable pools, iii) the major nutrient inputs via precipitation, dry deposition, weathering, and N₂ fixation, and iv) the net fluxes of nutrients (i.e., total inputs minus total outputs) from undisturbed stands, and also from stands affected by cutting, fire, or other disturbances. These data will then serve as the basis for simple calculations which evaluate the nutrient removals via intensive harvests of certain short, medium, or long-rotation hardwood or softwood stands, relative to: i) calculated total inputs to the site over a 100 year period, ii) calculated net fluxes over the same time period, and iii) the quantities of nutrients in the total and plant-exploitable soil pools. To limit the scope of this latter section, the sample calculations will be made for northeastern North American forest types, and the soils data will be representative of sites found in central Nova Scotia.

2. BIOMASS AND NUTRIENT STANDING CROPS OF FORESTS

Table 1 summarizes data describing the above-ground standing crops of biomass and nutrients of forest communities, both natural and artificial (plantations), and encompassing a

broad range of ages. These are thus equivalent to the maximum biomass yields and associated nutrient removals which could be realized from whole-tree harvests of these stands (actually, the efficiencies of biomass removal are generally less than 100%, even in a whole-tree clear-cut. For example, Hornbeck (1977) assumed a removal efficiency of 80% in his calculations of nutrient and biomass removals in the whole-tree clear-cutting of a northern hardwoods stand).

In following the scope of this review, most examples are pertinent to north temperate or boreal forest types. Also included in Table 1, for the sake of comparison, are data relevant to the standing crops of biomass and nutrients for a range of annual agricultural crops.

Consideration of the complex array of data assembled in this table clarifies a number of points. Most important, it is obvious that there is tremendous variation between sites, species, and stand age in the standing crops of biomass and nutrients. Other factors, such as stand history (particularly as it relates to patterns of natural disturbance or silviculture) may also be of significance. Variation between studies in methods of estimating biomass or in chemical analytical techniques may also have contributed to some of this variation in Table 1, and in other data presented elsewhere in this review.

The site effect can be illustrated by the data of Wood *et al.* (1977) for two three-year old plantations of Plantanus occidentalis, where above-ground biomass varied by 49%, nitrogen 72%, phosphorus 119%, potassium 152%, calcium 15%, and magnesium 43% (Table 2). Similarly, Ovington's (1962) data for two 47-year old plantations of Picea abies indicate site variations of 88% for biomass, 113% for nitrogen, 122% for phosphorus, 40% for potassium, 139% for calcium

and 118% for magnesium (Table 2). Obviously, the site effect is of great importance and must be taken into account when predicting the uptake, or harvest removals of nutrients by tree crops. Note also that regional differences in these parameters can be even more significant than site differences within a region. For example, softwood stands of similar ages would be expected to differ considerably between high-rainfall coastal forests of the Pacific northwest, and moderate-rainfall coastal forests of the northeast. Most of these differences in biomass and nutrient standing crops would result from differences in relative growth rates, as influenced by climate, and not from effects related to different tree species on the sites.

Within particular sites, different species of trees also vary greatly with respect to growth rates and nutrient contents. This phenomenon is illustrated by the data of Alban *et al.* (1978), who compared the standing crops of biomass and nutrients in adjacent 40-year-old plantations of Pinus resinosa, Pinus banksiana, Picea glauca, and Populus tremuloides - P. grandidentata, growing in a fine sandy-loam soil in Minnesota (Table 3). Among these species, above-ground biomass varied from 141 to 199 MT ha⁻¹, nitrogen from 102 to 199 kg ha⁻¹, phosphorus from 25 to 57 kg ha⁻¹, potassium from 97 to 287 kg ha⁻¹, calcium 199 to 848 kg ha⁻¹, and magnesium from 40 to 58 kg ha⁻¹. Obviously, this species effect is of great importance, and must be taken into account when predicting the uptake or harvest removals of nutrients by various tree crops on a particular site (Alban (1979), for a more complete discussion of this topic).

The third major factor which strongly influences the biomass and nutrient contents of forests is stand age which, in modern forestry, can range from only one year on some

sites, to hundreds of years at the time of harvest. This effect is illustrated in Fig. 1 for Populus deltoides which, for six plantations ranging in age from 1 to 20 years, shows almost linear increases in standing crops over the time period considered. Note that these trends do not persist indefinitely, and with time the rates of net accumulation of both biomass and nutrients should decline in older stands. These decreases in rates of accumulation are illustrated over the medium-term by the data of Ovington (1959), who examined the process in a series of nine plantations of Pinus sylvestris, which varied in age from 7 to 55 years (Fig. 2). This process is also discussed for longer-term successional time periods in northeastern hardwood forests by Bormann and Likens (1979). The model of forest growth presented by these authors indicates that, in the absence of catastrophic disturbance, moderate declines in biomass (and presumably nutrient standing crop) would occur in overmature forests relative to moderate-aged forests, due to a shifting mosaic of microsuccession which occurs as individual trees are killed or senesce and die, producing gaps in the forest canopy which are occupied by younger trees of lower standing crops. Thus, stand age is another significant factor which must be considered when predicting the uptake or harvest of nutrients by a tree crop on a particular site.

In summary, it must be concluded that because of the tremendous variations in biomass and nutrient standing crops, due to the factors cited above, it is difficult to generalize these characteristics for broad forest categories (e.g., for "average" short, medium, or long-rotation hardwood or softwood forests). Thus, there is a high degree of site, regional, and tree species differences that must be

considered when evaluating the removals of biomass or nutrients during forest harvests.

Table 4 summarizes data describing the standing crops (of biomass and nutrients) in a wide range of forest communities, both above-ground and in some cases, below-ground. In addition, the above-ground data have been compartmented into standing crop values relevant to contents in the merchantable boles of these forests, and into total above-ground contents. These data are equivalent to the biomass and nutrient contents of these forests that are potentially available to be harvested by conventional, whole-tree, or complete-tree clear-cutting.

Once again, it is readily observed that these data are highly variable between stands, due to differences in such parameters as site, region, tree species, forest age, and stand history. Thus, there is a high degree of stand specificity in the standing crops of biomass and nutrient, and hence potential removals of these via the various types of clear-cut harvest. It is therefore difficult to generalize about these removals for broad forest categories.

For all stands, it is apparent that there are increments in biomass removal in the calculated whole-tree or complete-tree harvests, relative to conventional removals. However, in all cases, the increments in nutrient removals are larger, and frequently much larger, than those for biomass. Thus, the increases in yields of biomass by the more intensive harvest methods would be obtained at the expense of much larger nutrient removals from the various forests stands. In some forest stands, these accelerated rates of removal of the nutrient capital of the site could be of ecological significance, if the enhanced removals were large relative to the amounts in the soil, or were larger

than rates of net input to the watershed over the stand rotation period. If this were true, then we could expect that the site would eventually become degraded with respect to its soil nutrient pool, and that future yields could be lowered as a result of this nutrient impoverishment. In the following sections we will examine the sizes of the major residual pools of nutrients, and the magnitudes of other flux components (i.e., inputs to, and outputs from the watershed), in order to assess this potential problem.

3. NUTRIENT POOLS IN FOREST SOILS

Table 5 illustrates data for the nutrient contents of the forest floors (i.e., litter, duff, and humus) and mineral soils over a range of forest types. Depending on the particular study, the data illustrate values for "total" amounts (i.e., acid digests), and "available" amounts (usually measured via soluble fractions for nitrogen (as $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ plus $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$), as weak acid-soluble for phosphorus (as $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$), and as exchangeable ions in ammonium acetate for potassium, calcium, and magnesium).

The high site-to-site variation in Table 5 can be attributed to several factors, some of which undoubtedly act together. These include: i) real differences in soil fertility, due to differences in soil mineralogy, texture, and organic matter content, ii) differences in the depths of soil that were sampled (which in most studies reflected different plant-exploitable depths, which could vary according to such factors as the rooting depths of particular tree species on the site, the height of the water table, the presence of an impermeable hardpan or clay layer, etc.), and iii) differences in the analytical techniques that

were used to measure the nutrient contents. This latter factor would be of particular significance in the measurement of the "available" or "exchangeable" fractions of the soil nutrient pool, as the chemical techniques for these measurements are not always standardized, among many studies.

In general, however, the amounts of the various nutrients in the total soil pools were large relative to the above-ground tree contents in the various studies of mature forests that were reviewed, while the amounts in the available or exchangeable pools were frequently similar to, or smaller than the amounts in the above-ground biomass. These relative fractions will be discussed in more detail in section 7 of this report.

4. NUTRIENT INPUTS TO FORESTS

Inputs of nutrients to forests occur mainly via four principal routes. These are precipitation, dry deposition, weathering, and nitrogen (N_2) fixation. These processes are discussed in the following pages.

a) Precipitation Inputs

Data relevant to inputs of nutrients with precipitation are summarized in Table 6. Variation in these nutrient inputs between the various sites likely reflects many factors. Of significance, would be differences in the amounts of precipitation that are received at different locales. In general, high precipitation sites have higher nutrient inputs via this route than do low precipitation sites (although this may be offset by more rapid rates of flushing). For some nutrients (particularly P), problems may exist with respect to errors inherent in the collection, preservation, and analysis of samples.

Other factors that influence the chemical composition of precipitation

may be of significance in some areas. For example, sites close to seashores may have precipitation inputs that are chemically influenced by marine aerosols, especially sodium and chloride, but also sulfate, magnesium, and other ions, although to a much lesser extent. Similarly, areas subject to large quantities of wind-blown dusts from agricultural fields may be influenced by this source, particularly with respect to potassium, calcium, magnesium, and phosphorus.

In addition, forested sites near large urban areas frequently receive relatively large precipitation inputs of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$, $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$, and $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$. These enhanced nutrient depositions are largely related to anthropogenic emissions of certain pollutants to the atmosphere. For example, large emissions of gaseous oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), primarily from internal combustion engines and power plants, may result in elevated atmospheric concentrations of these gases. These are oxidized in the atmosphere to NO_3^- , which may combine with NH_4^+ or some other atmospheric cation to form a neutral salt particulate. This may later serve as a condensation nucleus for a raindrop or snowflake, and be rained out of the atmosphere, or it may be washed out of the atmosphere by rainwater originating from clouds higher up. Similarly, gaseous sulfur dioxide is emitted in large quantities by coal and oil-fired power plants, sulfide metal smelters, and other industries. This SO_2 is oxidized photochemically and catalytically to sulfate in the atmosphere, where it may combine with ammonium or other cations to form a neutral salt or, if atmospheric cations are in short supply, it may exist as sulphuric acid. These are then available for deposition with precipitation as either rainout or washout, as previously described. Ammonia is also emitted

to the atmosphere by anthropogenic sources, particularly by certain chemical industries and animal feedlots.

Overall, however, the site-to-site variations in nutrient inputs via precipitation are not overly large, and it is reasonable to calculate "typical" values for the array of north temperate sites (Table 6.) These calculations indicate mean precipitation inputs of ca. $6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ nitrogen, $0.4 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ phosphorus, $1.7 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ potassium, $7.2 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ calcium, and $1.7 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ magnesium (Table 7).

b) Dry Deposition

Dry deposition of nutrients represents inputs of atmospheric particulates or gases, but occurring in the absence of precipitation. Included in this category would be the filtering of atmospheric particulates by forest canopies, the absorption of water-soluble gases onto moist surfaces, or direct gaseous uptakes via leaf stomata. Unfortunately, there are almost no quantitative measurements of nutrient inputs via dry deposition, although it appears that for some nutrients, particularly nitrogen and sulfur, the process is significant. For example, Likens *et al.* (1977) calculated dry inputs of fixed nitrogen at Hubbard Brook to be $14.9 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ compared with $5.8 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ via deposition with precipitation. Unfortunately, I am aware of no other data with which these can be compared. Although there are many measurements of atmospheric concentrations of NO_x gases, there are no measurements of their deposition velocities to vegetation surfaces (Unsworth 1977). However, likely approximations have been suggested (Grennfelt *et al.* 1980). The above also applies in general to NH_3 , although it is likely that the dry deposition of this gas onto moist surfaces would be rapid, owing to its high solubility in water (Unsworth

1977). Similarly, Mayer and Ulrich (1974) calculated ratios of precipitation input:dry input of 13:6 for Ca, 4:5 for K, and 3:1 for Mg in a *Fagus sylvatica* stand. Miller *et al.* (1976) calculated analogous ratios of 3:4 for Ca, 3:7 for K, and 3:2 for Mg in a *Pinus nigra* forest in Scotland. In addition, it is known that the dry deposition of atmospheric sulfur onto moist surfaces can be very significant in SO₂-polluted areas, because of the high water-solubility of this gas (Unsworth 1977, Freedman and Hutchinson 1980a). It appears that atmospheric inputs of certain nutrients via dry deposition may prove to be quantitatively significant, particularly in relatively polluted areas where the concentrations of atmospheric gases of nitrogen and sulfur may be high, and possibly also in areas having high amounts of suspended particulates. However, much more work is required before these inputs can be reliably quantified.

c) Weathering

Weathering refers to nutrient release from bedrock or soil minerals, whereby nutrients bound as insoluble minerals are made water-soluble (and hence potentially available for plant uptake) by various chemical processes, such as oxidation, hydration, or carbonation. In general, the release of nutrients by weathering processes is highly variable between sites, and is strongly dependent on the primary mineralogy of the bedrock or soil parent material. Soft carbonaceous rocks, such as limestone or dolomite, weather at relatively higher rates in moist climates, and thus release minerals faster for plant uptake, as compared with hard, siliceous rocks, such as granites or gneisses. However, few good quantitative estimates of weathering rates exist, as the process is very difficult to measure by either direct or indirect tech-

niques (Clayton 1979). These difficulties must be borne in mind when considering the data that follows.

Table 8 summarizes data from several studies, relevant to rates of weathering in forested watersheds. Nitrogen, which is not a constituent of most primary minerals, is not released in significant quantities by weathering processes. The two studies which included data on phosphorus release, indicate rates of *ca.* 0.6 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The release of potassium, calcium, and magnesium varied greatly between studies, depending on the nature of the primary minerals being weathered. Potassium release averaged *ca.* 5 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, calcium averaged 18 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, and magnesium averaged *ca.* 5 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The data of Art *et al.* (1974) were omitted from these calculations, as they refer to very depauperate quartzitic soils of an offshore island.

d) Nitrogen Fixation

The fixation of atmospheric nitrogen (N₂) is a biological process, occurring by the action of various micro-organisms via the enzyme nitrogenase. These micro-organisms differ taxonomically and ecologically, and include bacteria, actinomycetes, blue-green algae, or other micro-organisms. Some are free-living, and others live in associations with higher plants that range from loose rhizosphere associations, to symbiotic occurrences in root nodules (Wollum and Davey 1975; Postgate 1978; Davey and Wollum 1979; Jurgensen *et al.* 1979a).

Table 9 summarizes data illustrating rates of N₂ fixation in a variety of north temperate forest types. The tremendous range exhibited reflects the fact that some forests, dominated by trees or shrubs having symbiotic associations with N₂-fixing micro-organisms, have very high rates of fixation. For example, rates of fixation of up to

320 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ have been measured in an Alnus rubra stand in the Pacific northwest (Wollum and Davey 1975).

Because of the variation in the data, it probably would not be useful to calculate an "average" figure for N₂-fixation. However, Soderlund and Svenson (1976) have estimated that some 40 x 10⁹ kg of N are fixed per year in the world's forests (accounting for ca. 29% of terrestrial biological N₂ fixation, and ca. 21% of total terrestrial N₂ fixation). If this estimate is standardized with Odum's (1971) figure of 39 x 10⁶ km² of forest area on earth, then one can calculate a global mean rate of forest N₂ fixation of ca. 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

Rotting wood on the forest floor is an important microsite for N₂ fixation, especially in forests having relatively acidic forest floors, which inhibit the growth of bacteria, blue-green algae, and actinomycetes (e.g., Jones et al. 1974; Bormann et al. 1977; Larsen et al. 1978; Likens et al., 1978; Bormann and Likens, 1979; Jurgensen et al., 1979 a,b). Bearing in mind the significance of rotting wood as a site for N₂ fixation, it seems that another problem which could arise indirectly from whole-tree or complete tree harvests might be a lack of these microsites, as these intensive harvest techniques leave relatively little slash or debris behind on the site.

Note also that some of this fixation of atmospheric N₂ may be offset by nitrogen losses by the denitrification of nitrate by microbiological processes which produce gaseous NO_x or N₂. Unfortunately, the process of denitrification has not yet been well established or quantified for forests. The data of Likens et al., (1978) for Hubbard Brook refer to "net fixation"

(14 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), i.e., N₂ fixation minus denitrification.

5. NET FLUX OF NUTRIENTS FROM FORESTS

The most significant output of nutrients from undisturbed forests occurs via streamwater or groundwater losses of suspended or soluble materials. In general, "the soils of a river basin govern the quantity, and the rocks the quality of the solids [i.e., suspended and soluble] in the water" (Viro 1953). Disturbance of forested watersheds is another key factor that influences the quantity and quality of nutrient losses via streamwater. This is dealt with in the next section.

Data will not be presented here that are directly relevant to streamwater losses of nutrients. However, Table 10 presents data for a variety of north temperate forested watersheds describing the net fluxes of nutrients, i.e., total inputs (usually measured via precipitation only) minus total outputs (usually measured via either streamwater or groundwater losses). If net flux values for a watershed are positive, then the watershed is accumulating the nutrient over time. Conversely, if the net flux is negative, then the watershed is suffering a net nutrient depletion.

From the data of Table 10, we can calculate a "typical" net flux for hard-bedrock watersheds (i.e., granitic and gneissic) of ca. +7 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, +0.3 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, -0.6 kg K ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, -7 kg Ca ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, and -2 kg Mg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. On watersheds situated on limestone or dolomite bedrock or till, we would expect that the negative net fluxes of calcium and magnesium would be more than an order of magnitude higher (c.f. data of Henderson et al. 1977b included in Table 10).

6. FOREST DISTURBANCE AND NET FLUX

It is well established that large-scale disturbances of forested ecosystems lead to accelerated losses of nutrients from their watersheds, and thus cause losses of the nutrient capital from the site. The disturbances that are considered here are forest fire, forest harvest, and briefly, pollutant interactions, such as acidic precipitation.

a) Effects of Forest Fires on Nutrient Losses

The burning of forests can lead to nutrient losses via three principal mechanisms. These are: volatilization losses to the atmosphere, and stream-water losses via accelerated rates of erosion, or leaching.

Losses of nitrogen to the atmosphere occur via the volatilization of organically-bound nitrogen in either soils or vegetation, with the process being particularly rapid at higher combustion temperatures (Knight 1966; Grier 1975; Evans and Allen 1971; De Bano *et al.* 1979; Dunn *et al.* 1979; Raison 1979). The mechanism of nitrogen volatilization is not yet firmly established, and may, in fact, differ for burns of different intensities. Postulated mechanisms include the loss of nitrogen as NH_3 (Hosking 1938), loss as N_2 (DeBell and Ralston 1970), or loss as NO_x (Sandburg *et al.* 1979). The actual percentage loss to the atmosphere of the total nitrogen in the fuel depends on the characteristics of the burn, including moisture content of the fuel (e.g., De Bano 1979; Dunn *et al.* 1979, in Table 11), and the temperature of the combustion (e.g., Knight 1966, in Table 11). Under moderate burn conditions, nitrogen losses as low as 10% are reported, while high temperature burns of dry fuels report nitrogen losses of up to 67% (Table 11). Thus, fire can cause very large losses of nitrogen from forested sites, especially if the

forest floor burns in addition to the above-ground vegetation. For example, three studies reporting on nitrogen losses to the atmosphere during wildfires in coniferous forests described losses of 855 kg N ha (Grier 1975), 580 kg ha (Kimmins and Feller 1976), and 320 kg ha (Viro 1974). These wildfire losses are equivalent to, or greater than, the potential whole-tree harvest removals from most mature coniferous forests.

Atmospheric losses of other nutrients as a result of forest fire have also been reported, although they are generally much less than those of nitrogen (Table 11). These occur mainly via convective losses of particulates rather than by volatilization.

Interestingly, in spite of the considerable losses of nitrogen and other nutrients to the atmosphere following forest fires, indicating lowered quantities stored on the site, it is frequently (but not always) reported that there are short-term increases in post-burn nutrient availabilities in soils. This allows in some cases for the vigorous regrowth by surviving or invading species (e.g., Vlamis *et al.* 1955; Ahlgren and Ahlgren 1960; Knight 1966; Smith 1970; Wagle and Kitchen 1972; Boyle 1973; Viro 1974; St. John and Rundel 1976; Smith and James 1978; De Bano *et al.* 1979; Dunn *et al.* 1979; Raison, 1979; Stark, 1980a.)

The burning of forests also leads to accelerated rates of nutrient loss from watersheds by the erosion of suspended particulates, or by the leaching of soluble ions. The relative degree of effect depends on many factors, including the intensity of the burn, and various site factors, such as slope, soil type, etc. Data summarizing the results of several studies investigating this phenomenon are presented in Table 12 (the subject has also been reviewed recently

by Tiedemann et al. 1979). Most of these studies document the syndrome of accelerated nutrient loss, and they show that this can occur at rates that are similar to or greater than those observed following the clear-cut logging of forested sites (next section).

b) Effects of Forest Harvest on Nutrient Losses

In some situations, the harvesting of forests can lead to significant losses of soils and nutrients from watersheds by erosion and leaching. Because of the potential ecological significance of this problem, due to losses in site fertility, siltation of water bodies, and destruction of freshwater wildlife habitats, this process has been studied fairly intensively, and several recent reviews have been published (e.g., Megahan 1972; Rice et al. 1972; Sopper 1975; Patric 1976; Corbett et al. 1978; Hornbeck and Ursic 1979; Martin and Pierce 1979; McColl and Grigall 1979; White and Harvey 1979; see also Table 13).

With regards to erosion from harvested forests, the conclusions of Rice et al. (1972) are enlightening: i) most logging activities increase the rates of erosion from forested lands, ii) erosion is spatially non-uniform on harvested sites, iii) initially high rates of sedimentation following disturbance are reduced rapidly, usually within two to five years, iv) landslides and creep are the most important erosional processes in mountainous areas, v) steep slopes are especially vulnerable, and vi) roadbuilding is a very important factor in causing erosion from forested lands. Most authors agree, however, that large erosional losses from watersheds do not necessarily have to occur after logging, if established guidelines for proper operational practices are followed. These practices should include: i) the proper planning of forest roads,

ii) careful installation of culverts, iii) avoidance of stream crossings or of using streams as skidding trails, iv) leaving of buffer strips of uncut forest along watercourses, v) using skidding techniques that have minimal effects on the integrity of the forest floor (e.g., cable logging (Patric 1980)), vi) allowing or encouraging rapid vegetation regrowth on the site to speed the reestablishment of biological moderation of erosion, or, ultimately, vii) decisions to leave hypersensitive sites uncut.

Table 14 summarizes data from studies that documented increased discharges of sediments from logged watersheds. In general, results from the various studies are highly variable, due to the great differences between watersheds in susceptibility to erosion. It can be concluded, however, that although erosion can undoubtedly contribute to significant losses of soils and nutrients from certain susceptible watersheds, the losses can be minimized by the use of proper precautions during the road-construction, harvesting, and regeneration phases of the logging operation. However, it could also be concluded that intensive harvest techniques (such as complete-tree harvesting) which would severely disturb the soil surface during stump and root removals, could cause severe erosion on some sites.

A large number of studies have examined the leaching of soluble nutrients from logged watersheds. Leaching results in increased concentrations of some nutrients in streamwater, and therefore increased losses from the site. Of particular concern are the losses of nitrogen, mainly as soluble nitrate. Elevated concentrations of nitrate in streamwater draining certain logged areas probably are the result of several factors. These include: i) increases in the rates of mineralization of

organic matter (causing the release of a portion of the organically-based nitrogen as ammonium or nitrate), due to increased microbial activity resulting from a) warmer surface soils, b) an influx of organic matter into the soil, c) an increase in nutrient availability and d) decreased moisture stress (the latter two factors are related to a decline in the uptake of nutrients and water by higher plants following logging) (Cole and Gessel 1965; Likens *et al.* 1970; Piene 1974; Cole *et al.* 1975; Jurgensen *et al.* 1979b), and ii) an increase in the populations and activity of nitrifying bacteria following the logging of certain sites (Likens *et al.* 1970). Notably, this increase in the activity of nitrifiers does not appear to occur on all clear-cut sites (Reinhart 1973).

Table 15 summarizes data for the studies listed in Table 13, relevant to streamwater losses of nutrients from clear-cut watersheds. Once again, the highly variable site-to-site data indicate differences in susceptibility to nutrient losses via this route. The conclusions of Sopper (1975), in a recent review, may be relevant here: i) except for studies at the Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest (e.g., Likens *et al.* 1977, 1978; Bormann and Likens 1979), few studies have shown large increases in nutrient losses from harvested sites by this route, and ii) even after disturbance by logging, nutrient discharges by streamwater are generally low, relative to site nutrient capital, so that decreases in site productivity are not anticipated. Sopper (1975) also noted few effects of the silvicultural use of herbicides on nutrient losses by streamflow.

c) Other Disturbances

Several other local or regional disturbances also bear mentioning, as they may affect rates of nutrient

cycling, or may increase nutrient losses from watersheds, and hence they could interact with possible effects of forest harvesting on these processes. The potential effects of acidic precipitation are especially significant because of the pervasive and regional nature of the phenomenon over large tracts of forested land, particularly in the northeast of North America.

Numerous authors have recently reported the results of field or laboratory experiments where plants were subjected to simulated "acid rains" in the form of mists or sprays (e.g., Wood and Bormann 1974, 1975; Abrahamsen *et al.* 1976; Fairfax and Lepp 1976; Ferenbach 1976; Evans *et al.* 1977; Galloway *et al.* 1978; Matziris and Nakos 1978; Jacobson 1980; Tukey 1980; Tveite and Abrahamson 1980). In general, these authors find measurable acute effects at only very low solution pH's (i.e., $\text{pH} < 3$). Notably, these pH's are much lower than those normally encountered in nature, and thus the acidities are unrealistically high. For example, the average annual pH of precipitation in Ontario is *ca.* 4.0 - 4.3, and in Nova Scotia *ca.* 4.0 - 4.6, although the pH of individual events may be lower (Dillon *et al.* 1977; Shaw 1979; Ogden 1980). In fact, it is notable that not a single incidence of acute injury to vegetation, resulting from a naturally-occurring acidic precipitation event, has been reported in the scientific literature!

Although acute toxicity to vegetation does not appear to be a problem with respect to acidic precipitation, the possibility of subacute, chronic effects does exist. These would result in growth decrements which would reduce yields, but would not be manifest in acute injuries. Such effects could result from several direct or indirect causes, such as accelerated base leaching from foliage, decreases

in photosynthesis or increases in respiration, changes in nutrient availability due to biological or chemical effects in soils, or other factors (the potential mechanisms are summarized in various sources, including Tamm 1976; Tamm and Cowling 1976; Galloway *et al.* 1978; Wood 1979; Hutchinson and Havas 1980). Several studies have attempted to demonstrate decreases in the growth rates of forests using dendrochronological techniques. These studies compared either current growth rates to past rates, or growth rates between areas experiencing acidic precipitation with areas receiving circumneutral precipitation. Notably, none of these studies have conclusively documented decreases in forest productivity that could be attributed to the effects of acidic precipitation (e.g., Jonsson and Sundberg 1972; Abrahamsen *et al.* 1976, 1977; Cogbill 1977). However, this apparent lack of effect could be an anomaly of the fact that the spatial and temporal heterogeneity of forest growth means that relatively small changes in forest productivity cannot be detected (i.e., even relative growth decrements as large as, for example, 5-10% cannot be detected in an ecosystem as heterogenous as a forest, using these methods).

It should also be noted that many regions in Canada (particularly in the western provinces), as well as other areas in the world, are known to have sulfur-deficient soils. This is especially true for many agricultural soils, which may receive large quantities of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium fertilizers (e.g. Jordan and Ensminger 1958; Coleman 1966; Cowling and Jones 1970; Beaton *et al.* 1976). In these cases sulfur may be a limiting factor, and sulfur-containing precipitation may actually be ameliorative to these soils.

As with vegetation, few chemical or biological changes have been found

in soils experimentally receiving "rainfall" having acidities that are comparable to that of naturally-occurring precipitation. One possible exception is the acceleration of leaching of basic cations (e.g., calcium, magnesium, or potassium) from experimental soil lysimeters receiving solutions of various pH. With some soils, even moderately acidic solutions (e.g., pH of ca. 4.0) will increase base loss (Oden and Anderssen 1971; Overrein 1972; Fairfax and Lepp 1976; Tamm *et al.* 1976; Abrahamsen *et al.* 1976, 1977). This accelerated base leaching could possibly be of longer-term significance in the nutrient impoverishment and acidification of certain sensitive soils, in particular free-draining, poorly-buffered soils having pH's of ca. 4-6, with low anion-exchange capacity, and located in regions receiving relatively large amounts of precipitation (e.g., certain brunisols). These relatively sensitive soils are widespread over parts of northeastern North America, as are the non-sensitive north temperate soil types, such as well-buffered calcareous soils having pH's above 6 (luvisols), or well-buffered acidic soils having pH's below 3.5-4.0 (e.g., podsols) (after Bache 1980; Johnsen and Freedman 1980; Petersen 1980; Schnitzer 1980; Seip and Freedman 1980; Wiklander 1980). Significantly though, there is no documented evidence that forest or agricultural soils have been acidified or significantly impoverished as a result of acidic precipitation occurring at pH's typical of those observed in the field. Thus, the problem is a potential, longer-term one (Johnsen and Freedman 1980). However, if the problem does prove to be a real one, then it would be of great ecological and economic significance, because of the tremendous areas of forested land that would be affected.

Other disturbances have also been linked to changes in the rates of nutrient cycling in forests. For example, decreased rates of litter decomposition and other microbial processes have been observed in metal-contaminated forest soils close to polluting smelters, and this undoubtedly slows nutrient cycling in the affected stands (Tyler 1974, 1975 a,b, 1976; Strojan, 1978; Freedman and Hutchinson 1980 a,b). These problems are, however, localized to the vicinities of the smelters. Thus, they are not of widespread significance, and would not interact with the effects of intensive forest harvest over large areas.

7. EVALUATION OF NUTRIENT REMOVALS BY FOREST HARVEST.

In this section, simple calculations will be presented which attempt to evaluate the ecological significance of nutrient removals with intensively-harvested biomass. To this end, harvest removals for selected stands of various rotation lengths and harvest methods will be compared with i) calculated nutrient inputs (over a 100-year period) via precipitation, weathering, and N_2 fixation, ii) with calculated net fluxes over the same period, and iii) with amounts in the total and plant-available soil pools. It must be stressed that, because of the wide site-to-site variations that are found in the magnitudes of the various nutrient pools and fluxes, only limited confidence can be placed on the calculated "average" data for these parameters. This also applies to the data relevant to soil pools, which in most cases, refer to an average for hardwood or softwood sites in central Nova Scotia, calculated using the data of Freedman *et al.* (1980b). The data relevant to harvest removals are from selected,

"typical" stands of various ages, all occurring in northeastern North America (i.e., no attempt was made to calculate "average" nutrient removals for short, medium, or long-rotation forests).

In addition, no attempt was made in these simple calculations to account for changes in the rates of influx or efflux of nutrients from sites that might be caused or changed by clear-cut logging (e.g., increased leaching or erosion, changes in the rates of N_2 fixation or denitrification, etc.). This was done because: i) some of the negative effects can be minimized by careful planning and execution of harvesting operations, ii) the data are much too variable and site-specific to make generalizations (e.g., accelerated leaching losses), or iii) not enough information is currently available to make generalizations (e.g., N_2 fixation or denitrification). Note, however, that these effects would be of special significance for the shorter-rotation stands, as disturbances of these would be much more frequent.

a) Short-Rotation Plantations

Tables 16 and 17 summarize data relevant to calculated nutrient removals over a 100-year period by consecutive 1-year rotations of a hybrid Populus plantation, and 7-year rotations of a Populus deltoides plantation, expressed relative to calculated rates of nutrient inputs and net fluxes over the same time period, and to the soil nutrient contents of a "good" hardwood soil in central Nova Scotia.

The data for the Populus hybrid (Table 16) indicate that, with 100 consecutive whole-tree rotations of this nutrient-demanding crop, severe impoverishments of the site nutrient capital would occur. For example, removals of nitrogen would be about 5.4 times the total inputs over the 100-year period, phosphorus 13,

potassium 6.6, calcium 2.3, and magnesium 2.4 times. Similarly, the nitrogen removals would exceed the initially positive net flux by 12 times, and phosphorus by 43 times, while the pre-existing negative net fluxes of potassium, calcium, and magnesium would be accentuated by factors of 73, 8.3 and 8.0 times, respectively. In addition, the pre-existing soil pools of total nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, and magnesium would be depleted by about 63, 38, 18, 43, and 18 %, respectively.

However, the "available" soil pools would be depleted by much larger factors, i.e., by factors of 108 for nitrogen, 5.2 for phosphorus, 31 for potassium, 20 for calcium, and 29 for magnesium. Thus, one could reach very different conclusions about the effects of removals of nutrients via intensive forest harvests, depending on whether the nutrient removals were expressed relative to the total or to the available soil pools for the various nutrients. The total pools represent the gross soil nutrient capital of the site, although most of it is present in a chemical form which is unexploitable by higher plants, until it is mineralized and made plant-available by either inorganic processes or by the actions of soil microorganisms. On the other hand, the available soil pools, although much smaller in quantity than the total soil pools, are relatively ephemeral, (i.e., they have large inputs and outputs relative to the sizes of the available pools themselves), in that the turnover times are rather rapid. For example, at the Hubbard Brook northern hardwoods forest, the available soil pool of nitrogen had a turnover time of only 1.2 years, while the turnover time of available calcium was 7 years (calculated from Likens *et al.* 1977; Bormann and Likens 1979). Thus, it may not be of

great ecological significance that apparent depletions of available nutrient pools are calculated, since they may be rapidly replenished by mineralization of some fraction of the unavailable pools. In fact, it is frequently observed that short-term increases in the amounts of available nutrients occur in logged or burned areas (discussed in sections 7a and b). In addition, because of uncertainty over the ecological meaning of current measurements of nutrient availability (i.e., Do the various chemical extractions actually provide a measure of available nutrients that is quantitatively similar to that perceived by plants?), and because of poor standardization of techniques, it is frequently difficult to interpret data describing nutrient availability, or to compare different studies (see Black *et al.* 1965; and Allen *et al.* 1974 and for a more complete discussion of this problem). Overall, it seems likely that an ecologically-meaningful measure of soil nutrient pools, with which nutrient removals can be compared, would lie between the total and available measurements, but (in the opinion of the author) possibly leaning towards the total measurements. This would be particularly true for long forest rotations.

In any event, it appears obvious that, because the nutrient removals in intensively-harvested short-rotation plantations are large relative to the magnitudes of the total inputs, to net fluxes, and to the soil nutrient pools, the use of such an intensive silvicultural system on this site would have to be accompanied by nutrient restoration by fertilization with all of the described nutrients. Indeed, operational trials involving agro-forestry tree crops are heavily fertilized, so that yields can be both maximized and sustained.

The calculations relevant to a 7-year rotation of Populus deltoides are summarized in Table 17. These data indicate much lower nutrient removals over an equivalent 100-year period than those calculated for the 1-year Populus hybrid rotation. However, the nutrient removals with harvested biomass still appear to be significant. The nutrient removals by the whole-tree-harvest would exceed the calculated total inputs by factors of 1.9 for nitrogen, 4.1 for phosphorus, 3.8 for potassium, 2.0 for calcium, and 0.8 for magnesium, while the calculated pre-existing positive net fluxes for nitrogen and phosphorus would be exceeded by factors of 4.3 for nitrogen, and 13.8 for phosphorus, and the negative net fluxes of potassium, calcium, and magnesium would be accentuated by factors of 42, 7.1 and 2.7, respectively. Whole-tree harvest nutrient removals would also deplete the soil quantities of total nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, and magnesium by 22, 12, 10, 37, and 6%, respectively, and the available quantities of these nutrients by much larger amounts. In view of these data, it appears likely that these short-rotation harvests would require nutrient restoration by fertilization.

b) Medium-Length Rotations

Tables 18 and 19 summarize calculations relevant to the nutrient removals with intensively-harvested biomass by two medium-length rotations - one a 29-year rotation of intolerant hardwoods, and the other a 40-year rotation of a Pinus resinosa plantation. Both of these harvest treatments would result in substantially lower nutrient removals than those calculated for the short-rotation Populus plantations. For example, removals of nitrogen with whole-tree-harvested biomass from the 1-year Populus hybrid plantation were calculated as $8600 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} 100 \text{ yr}^{-1}$,

and $2986 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} 100^{-1} \text{ yr}$ from the 7-year Populus deltoides plantation. These compare with $817 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} 100 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ from the 29-year rotation of intolerant hardwoods, and $865 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} 100 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ from the 40-year plantation of Pinus resinosa (Tables 16, 17, 18 and 19).

These result in more moderate calculated depletions of site nutrient capitals in the medium-rotation stands. For example, with the 29-year rotation of an intolerant hardwood stand (Table 18), nutrient removals with whole-tree-harvested biomass would be 51% of the total inputs of nitrogen, 111% for phosphorus, 66% for potassium, 39% for calcium, and 15% for magnesium. Similarly, the nutrient removals with harvested biomass would be only 1.2 times the calculated pre-existing net flux for nitrogen, and 3.7 times that for phosphorus, while the negative net fluxes for potassium, calcium, and magnesium would be accentuated by factors of ca. 7.4, 1.4, and 0.5, respectively. Moderate depletions of the soil pools of total nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, and magnesium would occur, amounting to about 9, 4, 2, 7, and $2\frac{1}{2}$ of the total, respectively, although the removals relative to the sizes of the available pools would be much larger. Observations similar to those noted above for the intolerant hardwood stand can also be made for the calculated 40-year rotation of Pinus resinosa (Table 19). Because the nutrient removals with intensively-harvested biomass are not large in comparison with total inputs, net fluxes, or soil pools, it appears unlikely that nutrient restoration by fertilization would be required, except perhaps over time periods of the order of centuries.

b) Longer Rotations

Tables 20 and 21 summarize calculations relevant to the nutrient removals with intensively-harvested

biomass for two longer rotations - one a 55-year rotation of tolerant northern hardwoods, the other a mature, about 100 year-old mixed-age stand of Picea rubens - Abies balsamea. Both of these harvest treatments would result in substantially lower nutrient removals than those calculated for the two short-rotation Populus plantations, although the removals are roughly comparable with those calculated for the medium-rotation stands. For example, removals of nitrogen with whole-tree-harvested biomass for the 1-year Populus hybrid plantation were calculated to be $8600 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} 100 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, and $2986 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} 100 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for the 7-year Populus deltoides plantation. The analogous removals for the medium-rotation stands were $817 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} 100 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for the 29-year rotation of intolerant hardwoods, and $865 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} 100 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for the 40-year plantation of Pinus resinosa. These nitrogen removals compare with $675 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} 100 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for a whole-tree clear-cut on a 55-year rotation of a tolerant northern hardwoods stand, and $239 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} 100 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for a mixed-age Picea rubens - Abies balsamea stand (Tables 16 to 21).

These results imply moderate calculated depletions of site nutrient capitals in the longer-rotation stands. With an approximately 100-year rotation of the Picea rubens - Abies balsamea stand (Table 21), nutrient removals with whole-tree-harvested biomass would be only 15% of the total calculated inputs of nitrogen, 35% for phosphorus, 20% for potassium, 13% for calcium and 6% for magnesium. Similarly, the whole-tree harvest nutrient removals would only be 34% of the calculated positive net flux of nitrogen over the 100-year period, and 117% for phosphorus, while the negative net fluxes of potassium, calcium, and magnesium would be increased by factors of 2.2, 0.5, and

0.2, respectively. In addition, only small depletions of the soil pools of total nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, and magnesium would occur, amounting to about 6.4, 2.8, 1.0, 5.9, and 2.1% of the total, respectively, although the available pools would be exceeded for most elements. Because these harvest removals appear to be small relative to the total inputs and net fluxes, and especially with respect to the total soil pools, it appears unlikely that nutrient restoration via fertilization or other treatments would be required, except perhaps over long time periods.

8. CONCLUSIONS

It is clear that the use of intensive harvest techniques, such as whole-tree or complete-tree clear-cutting, will produce substantially higher yields of biomass than would conventional bole-only clear-cutting of the same stand. However, these increases in the yield of biomass are accompanied by much larger increases in the removals of nutrients, because relatively nutrient-rich tissues such as foliage, twigs, and small branches are also removed from the site when intensive harvests are practiced. Thus, the short-term biomass gains are purchased at the longer-term expense of accelerated nutrient removals.

These nutrient removals can be further increased by severe disturbance of the site during the logging operation, via particulate losses by erosion or by soluble nutrient leaching into streams. Notably, nutrient losses by these mechanisms also occur following natural disturbances of forest soils (e.g., after wildfire), and frequently at higher rates than those observed after logging. Severe wildfires can also result in very substantial volatilization losses of

nitrogen, frequently occurring at rates that are higher than those that would occur by an intensive harvest of the same stand.

Simple calculations presented here indicate that, for short-rotation (i.e., 1-7 yr) Populus plantations, the nutrient removals with whole-tree-harvested biomass would result in a relatively rapid nutrient impoverishment, and thus this intensive, agroforestry type of site management must be accompanied by fertilization to restore nutrients and to allow sustained high productivities.

On the other hand, calculations relevant to medium or longer-length rotations indicate more moderate removals of nutrients with harvested biomass. These are generally less than the calculated inputs over a 100-year period, and are small relative to the sizes of the total soil nutrient pools (although they are large compared to the sizes of the relatively ephemeral available nutrient pools). Because only moderate depletions of the site nutrient capital are calculated to occur, it appears on the basis of these simple calculations that intensive harvests on medium or longer-length rotations might not result in declines of site productivity via nutrient impoverishment, except possibly after several consecutive rotations. However, due to variations and other uncertainties in many of the data upon which these calculations were based, these conclusions are tentative, and perhaps should not be taken at face value. Certainly, longer-term studies are required of the effects of intensive tree cropping on subsequent site productivity. These will require more site and regional-specific field studies, and further refining and field-testing of forest growth models (e.g., Aber et al. 1978, 1979; Kimmins et al. 1980).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to acknowledge the efforts of several people, who had significant editorial and scientific inputs to this manuscript. These were (in alphabetical order): A. Carlisle, Petawawa National Forestry Institute; P. Duinker, Institute for Resource and Environmental Studies, Dalhousie University (IRES); G. Henry, IRES; M.K. Mahendrappa, Maritimes Forest Research Centre (MFRC); R. Morash, IRES; J. Kerekes, Canadian Wildlife Service, Dalhousie University; J.G. Ogden, Department of Biology, Dalhousie University; G. Page, MFRC; D.P. Patriquin, Department of Biology, Dalhousie University; J. Svoboda, Department of Botany, University of Toronto; and G.D. van Raalte, MFRC.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abee, A. and D. Lavender. 1972. Nutrient cycling in throughfall and litterfall in 450-year-old Douglas-fir stands. pp. 133-143 in: Proc. Research on Coniferous Ecosystem - A Symposium. Bellingham, Wash. Mar. 23-24, 1972.
- Aber, J.D., D.B. Botkin, and J.M. Melillo. 1978. Predicting the effect of different harvesting regimes on forest floor dynamics in northern hardwoods. *Can. J. For. Res.*, 8: 306-315.
- Aber, J.D., D.B. Botkin, and J.M. Melillo. 1979. Predicting the effects of different harvesting regimes on productivity and yield in northern hardwoods. *Can. J. For. Res.*, 9: 10-14.
- Abrahamsen, G., H. Dovland, E.T. Gjessing, and H. Leivestad. 1976. Impact of acid precipitation on forest and fresh water ecosystems in Norway. Res. Rep. FR 6/76. SNSF- Project. Oslo. 111 pp.

- Abrahamsen, G., R. Horntvedt, and B. Tveit. 1977. Impact of acid precipitation on coniferous forest ecosystems. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 8: 57-73.
- Ahlgren, I.F. and C.E. Ahlgren. 1960. Ecological effects of forest fires. *Bot. Rev.* 26: 483-533.
- Alban, D.H. 1977. The effects of timber harvesting on the soil chemical resource. *Proc. Soc. Am. For.*, Oct. 2-6, 1977. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Alban, D.H. 1979. Species influence on nutrients in vegetation and soils. pp. 152-171 in: *Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling*. College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y. 421 pp.
- Alban, D.H., D.A. Perala, and B.E. Schlaegel. 1978. Biomass and nutrient distribution in aspen, pine, and spruce stands on the same soil type in Minnesota. *Can. J. For. Res.* 8: 290-299.
- Allen, S.E., H.M. Grimshaw, J.A. Parkinson, and C. Quarmby. 1974. *Chemical Analysis of Ecological Materials*. Blackwell Sci. Pub. London. 565 pp.
- Anderson, H.W. 1979. Poplar farming. pp. 3-1 to 3-10 in: *Poplar Research, Management and Utilization in Canada*. ed. D.C.F. Fayle, L. Zsuffa, and H.W. Anderson. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Maple, Ontario.
- Anonymous. 1976a. Economic pre-feasibility study: large-scale methanol fuel production from surplus Canadian forest biomass. Part 1. Summary Report. *Fish. Environ. Can.* Ottawa. 107 pp.
- Anonymous. 1976b. Economic pre-feasibility study: large-scale methanol fuel production from surplus Canadian forest biomass. Part 2. Working papers. *Fish. Environ. Can.* Ottawa. 250 pp.
- Anonymous. 1977. Proceedings of the Symposium on Intensive Culture of Northern Forest Types. Northeast. *For. Exp. Stat. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-29*. Upper Darby, PA. 356 pp.
- Anonymous. 1978. Review of the Canadian forest products industry. *For. Prod. Group, Res. Indust. Br., Dep. Ind., Trade, Comm.* Ottawa. 268 pp.
- Anonymous. 1979. *Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling*. College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y. 421 pp.
- Armson, K.A., H.H. Krause, and G.F. Weetman. 1975. Fertilization response in northern coniferous forest. pp. 449-477 in: *Forest Soils and Forest Land Management*. ed. B. Bernier and C.H. Winget. Laval University Press. Quebec. 675 pp.
- Art, H.W., F.H. Bormann, G.K. Voigt, and G.M. Woodwell. 1974. Barrier Island forest ecosystem: role of meteorologic nutrient inputs. *Science* 184: 60-62.
- Aubertin, G.M. and J.H. Patric. 1974. Water quality after clearcutting a small watershed in West Virginia. *J. Environ. Qual.* 3: 243-249.
- Bache, B.W. 1980. The acidification of soils. pp. 183-202 in: *Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial Ecosystems*. ed. T.C. Hutchinson and M. Havas. Plenum Press, N.Y.

- Baker, J.B. and B.G. Blackman. 1977. Biomass and nutrient accumulation in a cottonwood plantation - the first growing season. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 41: 632-636.
- Ballard, R. 1979. Use of fertilizers to maintain productivity of intensively managed forest plantations. pp. 321-342 in: *Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling*. College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y.
- Becker, V.E., J. Reeder, and R. Stetler. 1977. Biomass and habitat of nitrogen fixing lichens in an oak forest in the North Carolina piedmont. *Bryologist*, 80: 93-99.
- Beaton, J.D., S.L. Tisdale, and J. Platon. 1976. Crop responses to sulfur in North America. *Tech. Bull. No. 18*. The Sulphur Institute. Washington, D.C. 39 pp.
- Best, G.R. and C.D. Monk. 1975. Cation flux in hardwood and white pine watersheds. pp. 847-861 in: *Mineral Cycling in Southeastern Ecosystems*. ed. F.G. Howell, J.B. Gentry, and M.H. Smith. ERDA Symposium Series (CONF-740513).
- Black, C.A., D.D. Evans, J.L. White, L.E. Ensminger, and F.E. Cook. (eds) 1965. *Methods of Soil Analysis*. Monograph No. 9, Am. Soc. Agron., Inc. Madison, Wisc. 1572 pp.
- Blacklock, N.E., F.B. Goldsmith, and D.G. Patriquin. 1980. Nitrogen fixation (C_2H_2 reduction) by lungwort lichen (*Lobaria pulmonaria* (L.) Hoffm.) on red maple (*Acer rubrum* L.). *Proc. N.S. Inst. Sci.* 30: 89-107.
- Blackman, B.G. 1979. Estimates of nutrient drain by dormant-season harvests of coppice American sycamore. *Research Note SO-245*, South. For. Exp. Sta. New Orleans, LA. 5 pp.
- Bormann, F.H., G.E. Likens, D.W. Fisher, and R.S. Pierce. 1968. Nutrient loss accelerated by clear-cutting of a forest ecosystem. *Science*, 159: 882-884.
- Bormann, F.H., G.E. Likens, and J.S. Eaton. 1969. Biotic regulation of particulate and solution losses from a forest ecosystem. *BioScience*, 19: 600-610.
- Bormann, F.H., G.E. Likens, T.G. Siccama, R.S. Pierce, and J.S. Eaton. 1974. The export of nutrients and recovery of stable conditions following deforestation at Hubbard Brook. *Ecol. Monogr.* 44: 255-277.
- Bormann, F.H., G.E. Likens, and J.M. Melillo. 1977. Nitrogen budget for an aggrading northern hardwood forest ecosystem. *Science*, 196: 981-983.
- Bormann, F.H., and G.E. Likens. 1979. *Pattern and Process in a Forested Ecosystem*. Springer-Verlag, New York. 253 pp.
- Bowen, M.G. 1978. Canada's forest industry - 1976. *Inf. Rep. FMR-X-116*. Forest Management Institute. Ottawa. 63 pp.
- Boyle, J.R. 1973. Forest soil chemical changes following fire. *Commun. Soil Sci., Plant Anal.* 4: 369-374.
- Boyle, J.R. and A.R. Ek. 1972. An evaluation of some effects of bole and branch pulpwood harvesting on site macronutrients. *Can. J. For. Res.* 2: 407-412.

- Boyle, J.R., J.J. Phillips, and A.R. Ek. 1973. Whole tree harvesting: nutrient budget evaluation. *J. For.* 71: 760-762.
- Brown, G.W. and J.T. Krygier. 1971. Clearcut logging and sediment production in Oregon Coast Range. *Water Res. Rev.* 7: 1189 - 1198.
- Brown, G.W., A.R. Gahler, and R.B. Marston. 1973. Nutrient losses after clear-cut logging and slash burning in the Oregon Coast Range. *Water Res. Rev.*, 9: 1450-1453.
- Burger, J.A. and W.L. Pritchett. 1979. Clearcut harvesting and site preparation can dramatically decrease nutrient reserves of a forest site. p. 393 *in*: *Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling*. College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y. 421 pp.
- Burns, R.C., and R.W. Hardy. 1975. Nitrogen Fixation in Bacteria and Higher Plants. Springer Verlag. New York. 189 pp.
- Calef, C.E. 1976. Not out of the woods. *Environment*, 18: 17-25.
- Carlisle, A. 1980. Forest exploitation and environmental objectives. *Proc. Eleventh Commonwealth Forestry Congress*. Trinidad. 39 pp.
- Clayton, J.L. 1979. Nutrient supply to soil by rock weathering. pp. 75-96 *in*: *Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling*. College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y. 421 pp.
- Cleaves, E.T., A.E. Godfrey, and O.P. Bricker. 1970. Geochemical balance of a small watershed and its geomorphic implications. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 81: 3015-3032.
- Cogbill, C.V. 1977. The effect of acid precipitation on tree growth in eastern North America. *Water, Air, Soil Poll.*, 8: 89-93.
- Cole, D.W. and S.P. Gessel. 1965. Movement of elements through forest soil as influenced by tree removal and fertilizer additions. pp. 95-104 *in*: *Forest Soil Relationships in North America*. ed. C.T. Youngberg. Oregon State University Press. Corvallis, Oregon.
- Cole, D.W., Gessel, S.P., and S.F. Dice. 1967. Distribution and cycling of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and calcium in a second-growth Dougals-fir ecosystem. pp. 193-197 *in*: *Primary Productivity and Mineral Cycling in Natural Ecosystems*. Assoc. Advan. Sci., 13th Ann. Meeting, Orono, Me.
- Cole, D.W., W.J.B. Crane, and C.C. Grier. 1975. The effect of forest management practices on water chemistry in a second-growth Douglas-fir ecosystem. pp. 195-209 *in*: *Forest Soils and Forest Land Management*. ed. B. Bernier and C.H. Winget. Laval University Press. Montreal, P.Q.
- Coleman, R. 1966. The importance of sulfur as a plant nutrient in world crop production. *Soil Sci.* 101: 230-239.
- Corbett, E.S., J.A. Lynch, and W.E. Sopper. 1978. Timber harvesting practices and water quality in the eastern United States. *J. For.* 76: 484-488.

- Cowling, D.W. and L.H.P. Jones. 1970. A deficiency of soil sulfur supplies for perennial ryegrass in England. *Soil Sci.* 110: 346-354.
- Cromack, K. and C.D. Monk. 1975. Litter production, decomposition, and nutrient cycling in a mixed hardwood watershed and a white pine watershed. pp. 609-624 in: *Mineral Cycling in Southeastern Ecosystems.* ed. F.G. Howell, J.B. Gentry, and M.H. Smith. ERDA Symposium Series. (CONF.-740513).
- Czapowskyj, M.M. 1977. Status of fertilization and nutrition research in northern forest types. pp. 185-203 in: *Proceedings of the Symposium on Intensive Culture of Northern Forest Types.* USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-29. Upper Darby, PA.
- Czapowskyj, M.M. 1979. Foliar nutrient concentrations as affected by soil drainage and methods of slash disposal. For. Serv. Res. Note NE-278. Northeast. For. Exp. Sta. Broomall, PA. 4 pp.
- Czapowskyj, M.M., R.V. Rourke, and R.M. Frank. 1977. Strip clear-cutting did not degrade the site in a spruce-fir forest in central Maine. USDA For. Serv. Res. Paper NE-367. Northeast. For. Exp. Sta., Upper Darby, PA. 8 pp.
- Davey, C.B. and A.G. Wollum. 1979. Nitrogen inputs to forest ecosystems through biological fixation. pp. 62-74 in: *Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling.* College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y.
- DeBano, L.F., G.E. Eberlein, and P.H. Dunn. 1979. Effects of burning on chaparral soils: I. Soil nitrogen. *Soil Sci. Am. J.* 43: 504-509.
- DeBell, D.S. and C.W. Ralston. 1970. Release of nitrogen by burning light forest fuels. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.* 34: 936-938.
- DeByle, N.V. and P.E. Packer. 1972. Plant nutrient and soil losses in overland flow from burned forest clearcuts. pp. 298-307 in: *Watersheds in Transition.* ed. S.C. Csallany, T.G. McLaughlin, and W.D. Striffler. Am. Water Res. Assoc. Urbana, Ill.
- Dillon, P.J. and W.B. Kirchner. 1975. The effects of geology and land use on the export of phosphorus from watersheds. *Water Res.* 9: 135-148.
- Dillon, P.J., D.S. Jefferies, W. Snyder, R. Reid, N.D. Yan, D. Evans, J. Moss, and W.A. Scheider. 1977. Acidic precipitation in south-central Ontario: recent observations. *Ont. Min. Environ. Rexdale.* 24 pp.
- Dunn, P.H., L.F. DeBano, and G.E. Eberlein. 1979. Effects of burning on chaparral soils: II. Soil microbes and nitrogen mineralization. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 43: 509-514.
- Duvigneaud, P. and S. Denaeyer-De Smet. 1967. Biomasses, productivity, and mineral cycling in deciduous mixed forests in Belgium. pp. 167-186 in: *Symposium on Primary Productivity and Mineral Cycling in Natural Ecosystems.* ed. H.E. Young. University of Maine Press. Orono, ME.

- Evans, C.C., and S.E. Allen. 1971. Nutrient losses in smoke produced during heather burning. *Oikos*, 22: 149-154.
- Evans, L.S., N.F. Gmur, and F. DaCosta. 1977. Leaf surface and histological perturbations of leaves of Phaseolus vulgaris and Helianthus after exposure to simulated acid rain. *Am. J. Bot.* 64: 903-913.
- Evans, R.S. 1974. Energy plantations: should we grow trees for power plant fuel? Inf. Rep. VP-X-129. Western Forest Products Laboratory. Vancouver, B.C. 15 pp.
- Fairfax, J.A.W. and N.W. Lepp. 1976. The effect of simulated acid precipitation on cation losses from a range of tree litters. pp. 123-125 in Proceedings of the Kupoia Meeting on Plant Damages Caused by Air Pollution. ed. L. Karenlampi. Kuopio, Finland.
- Feller, M.C. and J.P. Kimmins. 1979. Chemical characteristics of small streams near Haney in southwestern British Columbia. *Water Res. Res.* 15: 247-258.
- Ferenbach, R.W. 1976. Effects of simulated acid rain on Phaseolus vulgaris (Fabaceae). *Am. J. Bot.* 63: 283-288.
- Fornes, R.H., J.V. Berglund, and A.L. Leaf. 1970. A comparison of the growth and nutrition of Picea abies (L) Karst and Pinus resinosa Ait. on a K-deficient site subjected to K fertilization. *Plant Soil*, 33: 345-360.
- Foster, N.W. and I.K. Morrison. 1976. Distribution and cycling of nutrients in a natural Pinus banksiana ecosystem. *Ecology*, 57: 110-120.
- Foth, H.D. and L.M. Turk. 1972. *Fundamentals of Soil Science*, 5th ed. John Wiley and Sons. N.Y.
- Fredriksen, R.L. 1970. Erosion and sedimentation following road construction and timber harvest on unstable soils in three small western Oregon watershed. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. PNW-104. Pac. Northwest For. Range Exp. Sta. Portland, Ore. 15 pp.
- Fredriksen, R.L. 1972. Nutrient budget of a Douglas-fir forest on an experimental watershed in western Oregon. pp. 115-131 in: Proceedings Research on Coniferous Forest Ecosystems - A Symposium. Coniferous Forest Biome. Bellingham, Wash.
- Freedman, B. and T.C. Hutchinson. 1980a. Pollutant inputs from the atmosphere and accumulations in soils and vegetation near a nickel-copper smelter at Sudbury, Ontario, Canada. *Can. J. Bot.* 58: 108-132.
- Freedman, B. and T.C. Hutchinson. 1980b. Effects of smelter pollutants on forest leaf litter decomposition near a nickel-copper smelter at Sudbury, Ontario. *Can. J. Bot.* 58: 1722-1736.
- Freedman, B. and R. Morash and A.J. Hanson 1981. Biomass and nutrient removals by conventional and whole-tree clear-cutting of a red spruce-balsam fir stand in central Nova Scotia. *Can. J. For. Res.* (in press).

- Freedman, B., A.J. Hanson, and J.G. Ogden. 1980. Effects of harvesting biomass for energy on the nutrient status and long-term productivity of selected forest sites in the Maritimes. Annual Report, 1979-80. Institute for Resource and Environmental Studies. Dalhousie University, Halifax, N.S. 74 pp.
- Fried, M. and H. Broeshart. 1967. The Soil-Plant System in Relation to Inorganic Nutrition. Academic Press Inc. New York. 358 pp.
- Galloway, J.N., E.B. Cowling, E. Gorham, and W.W. McFee. 1978. A national programme for assessing the problem of atmospheric deposition (acid rain). National Atmospheric Deposition Program. Pub. N.C.-141. Minneapolis.
- Gessel, S.P. and D.W. Cole. 1965. Influence of removal of forest cover on movement of water and associated elements through soil. J. Am. Water Works Assoc. 57: 1301-1310.
- Glesinger, E. 1977. Forests and the limits of growth. Third Annual Complete Tree Institute Lecture. Oct. 19, 1977. University of Maine, 14 pp.
- Gjessing, E.G., A. Henriksen, M. Johannessen, and R.F. Wright. 1976. Effects of acid on precipitation on freshwater chemistry. pp. 65-85. in: Impact of Acid Precipitation on Forest and Fresh water Ecosystems in Norway. Rep. FR6167, SNSF Project. Oslo.
- Grennfelt, P., C. Bengtson, and L. Skarby. 1980. An estimation of the atmospheric input of acidifying substances to a forest ecosystem. pp. 29-40 in: Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial Ecosystems. ed. T.C. Hutchinson and M. Havas. Plenum Press, N.Y.
- Grier, C.C. 1975. Wildfire effects on nutrient - distribution and leaching in a coniferous ecosystem. Can. J. For. Res. 5: 599-607.
- Grier, C.C., D.W. Cole, C.T. Dryness, and R.L. Frederiksen. 1974. Nutrient cycling in 37- and 450-year-old Douglas-fir ecosystems. pp. 21-34 in: Integrated Research in the Coniferous Forest Biome. ed. R.H. Waring and R.L. Edwards. Coniferous Forest Biome Bulletin 5, University of Washington. Seattle.
- Hansen, E.A. and J.B. Baker. 1979. Biomass and nutrient removal in short rotation intensively cultured plantations. pp. 130-151 in: Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling. College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y.
- Hart, G.E. and N.V. DeByle. 1975. Effects of lodgepole pine logging and residue disposal on subsurface water chemistry. pp. 98-109 in: Watershed Management Symposium, Am. Soc. Chem. Eng.
- Harwood, C.E. and W.D. Jackson. 1975. Atmospheric losses of four plant nutrients during a forest fire. Aust. For. 38: 92-99.

- Haupt, H.F. and W.J. Kidd. 1965. Good logging practices reduce sedimentation in central Idaho. *J. For.* 63: 664-670.
- Hegyi, F. (ed). 1974. Proceedings of a Workshop on Forest Fertilization in Canada. *Can. For. Serv., For. Tech. Rep. 5.* Sault Ste. Marie. 127 pp.
- Heinrichs, H. and R. Mayer. 1977. Distribution and cycling of major and trace elements in two central European forest ecosystems. *J. Environ. Qual.* 6: 402-407.
- Henderson, G.S., W.F. Harris, D.E. Todd and T. Grizzard. 1977a. Quantity and chemistry of throughfall as influenced by forest-type and season. *J. Ecol.* 65: 365-374.
- Henderson, G.S., A. Huntley, and W. Selvidge. 1977b. Nutrient discharge from Walker Branch Watershed. pp. 301-319. *in: Watershed Research in Eastern North America: A Workshop to Compare Results, Vol. I, ed. D.L. Correll.* Chesapeake Bay Center for Environmental Studies, Edgewater, Md.
- Henderson, G.S., W.T. Swank, J.B. Waide, and C.C. Grier. 1978. Nutrient budgets of Appalachian and Cascade Region Watersheds: a comparison. *Forest Sci.* 24: 385-397.
- Henry, G. 1980. Residential heating in Nova Scotia; wood vs. oil. Energy, (in press).
- Hetherington, E.D. 1976. Dennis Creek. A look at water quality following logging in the Okanagan basin. *Pub-BC-X-147.* Pac. For. Res. Cent. Victoria, B.C. 33 pp.
- Hornbeck, J.W. 1977. Nutrients: a major consideration in intensive forest management. pp. 241-250 *in: Proceedings of the Symposium on Intensive Culture of Northern Forest Types.* USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-29. Upper Darby, PA. 356 pp.
- Hornbeck, J.W., G.E. Likens, R.S. Pierce, and F.H. Bormann. 1975. Strip-cutting as a means of protecting site and streamflow quality when clearcutting northern hardwoods. pp. 208-229 *in: Proc. Fourth North American Forest Soils Conference: Forest Soils and Forest Land Management ed. B. Bernier and C.W. Winget.* Quebec.
- Hornbeck, J. and W. Kropelin. 1979. Nutrient removal and leaching losses from a whole-tree harvest of northern hardwoods. p. 397 *in: Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling.* College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y.
- Hornbeck, J.W. and S.J. Ursic. 1979. Intensive harvest and forest streams: are they compatible? pp. 249-262 *in: Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling.* College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y.
- Hosking, J.S. 1938. The ignition at low temperatures of the organic matter soils. *J. Agric. Sci.* 28: 393-400.
- Huff, D.D., W.T. Swank, C.A. Troendle, G.S. Henderson, J.B. Waide, and T. Hanes. 1978. Element cycles and water budget analyses applied to forest management in the eastern United States. pp. 77-89 *in: 1978 Soc. Am. For. Proc.*

- Hutchinson, T.C. and M. Havas. 1980. Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial ecosystems. Plenum Press, New York. 654 pp.
- Jacobson, J.S. 1980. Experimental studies on the phytotoxicity of acidic precipitation: the United States experience. pp. 151-160 in: Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial Ecosystems. ed. T.C. Hutchinson and M. Havas. Plenum Press. New York. 654 pp.
- Johnsen, I. and B. Freedman. 1980. Identification of sensitive sites and soils. pp. 611-615 in: Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial Ecosystems. ed. T.C. Hutchinson and M. Havas. Plenum Press, N.Y.
- Johnson, N.M., G.E. Likens, F.H. Bormann, and R.S. Pierce. 1968. Rate of chemical weathering of silicate minerals in New Hampshire. *Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta*, 32: 531-545.
- Johnson, P.L. and W.T. Swank. 1973. Studies of cation budgets in the southern Appalachians on four experimental watersheds with contrasting vegetation. *Ecology*, 54: 70-80.
- Jonsson, B., and R. Sundberg. 1972. Has the acidification by atmospheric pollution caused a growth reduction in Swedish forests? Res. Note Nr. 20. Royal College of Forestry. Stockholm.
- Jones, K., E. King, and M. Eastlock. 1974. Nitrogen fixation by free-living bacteria in the soil and in the canopy of Douglas fir. *Am. J. Bot.* 38: 765-772.
- Jones, P.H. and Associates Ltd. 1979. Energy from forest biomass on Vancouver Island. ENFOR Project P-25, Pub-BC-X-197. Pac. For. Res. Cent. Victoria, B.C. 67 pp.
- Jordan, H.V. and L.E. Ensminger. 1958. The role of sulfur in soil fertility. *Adv. Agron.* 10: 407-434.
- Jurgensen, M.F., S.F. Arno, A.E. Harvey, M.J. Larsen, and R.D. Pfister. 1979a. Symbiotic and non-symbiotic nitrogen fixation in northern Rocky Mountain ecosystems. in: Proceedings NSF Workshop, Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation in the Mangement of Temperate Forests. Corvallis, Ore. Cited in White and Harvey (1979).
- Jurgensen, M.F., M.J. Larsen, and A.E. Harvey. 1979b. Forest soil biology - timber harvesting relationships: a perspective. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-69. Intermountain For. Range Exp. Sta. Ogden, Utah. 12 pp.
- Keeves, A. 1966. Some evidence of loss of productivity with successive rotations of Pinus radiata in the southeast of South Australia. *Aust. For.* 30: 51-63.
- Kerekes, J.J. 1980. Preliminary characterization of three lake basins sensitive to acid precipitation in Nova Scotia, Canada. pp. 232-233. in: Proc. International Conference on the Ecological Impact of Acid Precipitation. ed. D. Drablos and A. Tollan. SNSF - Project Oslo, Norway.
- Kimmins, J.P. 1974. Sustained yield, timber mining, and the concept of ecological rotation; a British Columbian view. *For. Chron.* 50: 27-31.

- Kimmins, J.P. 1977. Evaluation of the consequences for future tree productivity of the loss of nutrients in whole-tree harvesting. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 1: 169-183.
- Kimmins, J.P., 1980. Impact of intensive biomass harvesting on forest nutrient budgets, nutrient cycling, and biomass productivity. Report to Can. For. Serv. ENFOR Programme. Mimeo rep. 44 pp.
- Kimmins, J.P. and M.C. Feller. 1976. Effect of clear-cutting and broadcast slashburning on nutrient budgets, streamwater chemistry, and productivity in Western Canada. pp. 186-197 *in*: Proc. XVI IUFRO World Congress. Norway.
- Kimmins, J.P. and G.J. Krumlik. 1976. On the question of nutrient losses accompanying whole tree logging. pp. 41-53 *in*: Oslo Biomass Studies. University of Maine at Orono, Orono, ME.
- Kimmins, J.P., K.A. Scoullar, and M.C. Feller. 1980. FORCYTE - a computer simulation approach to evaluating the effect of whole-tree harvesting on nutrient budgets and further forest productivity. Report to Can. For. Serv. ENFOR Programme. Mimeo. rep. 25 pp.
- Knight, H. 1966. Loss of nitrogen from the forest floor by burning. *For. Chron.* 42: 149-152.
- Krause, H.H., G.F. Weetman, and P.A. Arp. 1979. Nutrient cycling in boreal forest ecosystems of North America. pp. 287-319 *in*: Fifth North American Forest Soils Conf. *ed.* C.T. Youngberg. Oregon State University Press. Corvallis, Ore.
- Larsen, M.J., M.J. Jurgensen, and A.E. Harvey. 1978. N₂ fixation associated with wood decayed by some common fungi in western Montana. *Can. J. For. Res.* 8: 341-345.
- Lewis, W.M. 1975. Effects of forest fires on atmospheric loads of soluble nutrients. pp. 833-846 *in*: Mineral Cycling in Southeastern Ecosystems. *ed.* F.G. Howell, J.B. Gentry, and M.H. Smith. ERDA Symposium Series (CONF-740513).
- Likens, G.E. 1972. The chemistry of precipitation in the Central Finger Lakes region. Tech. Rep. 50. Cornell University Water Resources and Marine Sciences Center. Ithaca, N.Y. 47 pp.
- Likens, G.E., F.H. Bormann, N.M. Johnson, and R.S. Pierce. 1967. The calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium budgets for a small forested ecosystem. *Ecology*, 48: 772-785.
- Likens, G.E., F.H. Bormann, and N.M. Johnson. 1969. Nitrification: importance to nutrient losses from a cutover forested ecosystem. *Science*, 163: 1205-1206.
- Likens, G.E., F.H. Bormann, N.M. Johnson, D.W. Fisher, and R.S. Pierce. 1970. Effects of forest cutting and herbicide treatment on nutrient budgets on the Hubbard Brook watershed-ecosystem. *Ecol. Monogr.* 40: 23-47.
- Likens, G.E., F.H. Bormann, R.S. Pierce, J.S. Eaton, and N.M. Johnson. 1977. Biochemistry of a Forested Ecosystem. Springer-Verlag. New York. 146 pp.
- Likens, G.E., F.H. Bormann, R.S. Pierce, and W.A. Reiners. 1978. Recovery of a deforested ecosystem. *Science*, 199: 492-496.

- Love, P. and R. Overend. 1978. Tree power. An assessment of the energy of potential of forest biomass in Canada. Report ER 78-1. Energy, Mines and Resources Canada. Ottawa. 35 pp.
- MacLean, D.A. and R.W. Wein. 1977. Nutrient accumulation for post fire jack pine and hardwood succession patterns in New Brunswick. *Can. J. For. Res.* 7: 562-578.
- Madgwick, H.A.I., D.S. Jackson, and P.J. Knight. 1977. Above-ground dry matter, energy, and nutrient contents of trees in an age series of Pinus radiata plantations. *NZ J. For. Sci.* 7: 445-468.
- Malkonen, E. 1973. Effect of complete tree utilization on the nutrient reserves of forest soils. pp. 375-386 in *IUFRO Biomass Studies*. University of Maine at Orono., Orono, Me.
- Malkonen, E. 1974. Annual primary production and nutrient cycle in some Scots Pine stands. *Comm. Inst. Forestalis Fenn.*, 84.5. 87 pp. cited in Alban (1977).
- Marion, G.M. 1979. Biomass and nutrient removal in long-rotation stands. pp. 98-110 in: *Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling*. College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y.
- Martin, C.W. and R.S. Pierce. 1979. Clearcutting configurations affect the magnitude and duration of nutrient losses in northern hardwood forests. p. 406 in: *Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling*. College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y.
- Matziris, D.I., and G. Nakos. 1978. Effect of simulated "acid rain" on juvenile characteristics of Aleppo Pine (Pinus halepensis Mill). *For. Ecol. Manage.* 1: 267-272.
- Mayer, R. and B. Ulrich. 1974. Conclusions on the filtering actions of forests from ecosystem analysis. *Oecol. Plant.* 9: 157-168.
- Mayer, R. and B. Ulrich. 1977. Acidity of precipitation as influenced by the filtering of atmospheric sulphur and nitrogen compounds - its role in the element balance and effect on soil. *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.* 7: 409-416.
- McCull, J.G. 1978. Ionic composition of forest soil solutions and effects of clearcutting. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 42: 358-363.
- McCull, J.G. and D.F. Grigall. 1979. Nutrient losses in leaching and erosion by intensive forest harvesting. pp. 231-248 in: *Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling*. College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y.
- Megahan, W.F. 1972. Logging, erosion, sedimentation - are they dirty words? *J. For.* 70: 403-407.
- Megahan, W.R. and W.J. Kidd. 1972. Effects of logging and logging roads on erosion and sediment deposition from steep terrain. *J. For.* 70: 136-141.

- Miller, H.G., J.M. Cooper, and J.D. Miller. 1976. Effect of nitrogen supply on nutrients in litter fall and crown leaching in a stand of Corsican pine. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 13: 223-248.
- Miller, R.B. 1963. Plant nutrients in hard beech. 1. The immobilization of nutrients. *NZ J. Sci.* 6: 365-377.
- Miller, H.G., J.D. Miller and J.M. Cooper. 1980. Biomass and nutrient accumulation at different growth rates in thinned plantations of Corsican pine. *Forestry*, 53: 23-39.
- Morrison, I.K. and N.W. Foster. 1979. Five-year growth in two nitrogen-phosphorus fertilization experiments in spruce and spruce-fir upland forest in northern Ontario. Report O-X-299. Great Lakes For. Res. Cent. Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario. 15 pp.
- Nihlgard, B. 1972. Plant biomass, primary production, and distribution of chemical elements in a beech and a planted spruce forest in south Sweden. *Oikos*, 23: 69-81.
- Nihlgard, B. and L. Lindgren. 1977. Plant biomass, primary production, and bioelements of three mature beech forests in south Sweden. *Oikos*, 28: 95-104.
- Norton, S.A. and H.E. Young. 1976. Forest biomass utilization and nutrient budgets. pp. 56-73 in: *Oslo Biomass Studies*. University of Maine at Orono. Orono, ME.
- Oden, S. and R. Andersson. 1971. The long term changes in the chemistry of soils in Scandinavia due to acid precipitation. Dept. Soil Sci., Agric. Coll. Uppsala, Sweden. 20 pp.
- Odum, E.P. 1971. *Fundamentals of Ecology*. W.B. Saunders Co. Toronto. 574 pp.
- Ogden, J.G. 1980. Comparative composition of continental and Maritime precipitation and acidification of Nova Scotia lakes. in: *Proc. International Conference on the Ecological Impact of Acid Precipitation*. ed. D. Drablos and A. Tollan. SNSF - Project. Oslo, Norway.
- Orman, H.R. and G.M. Will. 1960. The nutrient content of *Pinus radiata* trees. *NZ J. Sci.* 3: 510-522.
- Overrein, L.N. 1972. Sulphur pollution patterns observed; leaching of calcium in forest soil determined. *Ambio*, 1: 145-147.
- Ovington, J.D. 1959. The circulation of minerals in plantations of *Pinus sylvestris* L. *Ann. Bot. (N.S.)* 23: 229-239.
- Ovington, J.D. 1962. Quantitative ecology and the woodland ecosystem concept. *Adv. Ecol. Res.* 1: 103-192.
- Ovington, J.D. and H.A.I. Madgwick. 1959a. Distribution of organic matter and plant nutrients in a plantation of Scots pine. *Forest Sci.* 5: 344-355.
- Ovington, J.D. and H.A.I. Madgwick. 1959b. The growth and composition of natural stands of birch. 2. The uptake of mineral nutrients. *Plant Soil*, 10: 389-400.
- Packer, P.E. and B.D. Williams. 1976. Logging and prescribed burning effects on the hydrologic and soil stability behaviour of larch/Douglas-fir forests in the northern Rocky Mountains. *Proc. Tall Timbers Fire Conf.*, 14: 465-479.

- Patric, J.H. 1976. Soil erosion in the eastern forest. *J. For.* 74: 671-677.
- Patric, J.H. 1980. Some environmental effects of cable logging in Appalachian forests. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-55. Northeast. For. Exp. Sta., Broomall, PA. 29 pp.
- Pearson, F.J. and W. Fisher. 1971. Chemical composition of atmospheric precipitation in the northeastern United States. Geol. Survey Water Supply Paper. 1535-P. 23 pp.
- Petersen, L. 1980. Podsolization: mechanisms and possible effects of acid precipitation. pp. 203-222 in: *Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial Ecosystems*. ed. T.C. Hutchinson and M. Havas. Plenum Press, N.Y.
- Piene, H. 1974. Factors influencing organic matter decomposition and nutrient turn-over in cleared and spaced, young conifer stands on the Cape Breton Highlands, Nova Scotia. Inf. Rep. M-X-41. Marit. For. Res. Cent. Fredericton, N.B. 31 pp.
- Pierce, R.S., C.W. Martin, C.C. Reeves, G.E. Likens, and F.H. Bormann. 1972. Nutrient loss from clearcuttings in New Hampshire. pp. 285-295 in: *Watersheds in Transition*. ed. S.C. Csallany, T.G. McLaughlin, and W.D. Striffler. American Water Resources Association. Urbana, Ill.
- Pope, P.E. 1979. The effect of genotype on biomass and nutrient content in 11-year-old loblolly pine plantations. *Can. J. For. Res.* 9: 224-230.
- Postgate, J. 1978. Nitrogen fixation. *Studies in Biology* No. 92. The Institute of Biology. London. 68 pp.
- Powell, G.R. (ed). 1978. Nashwaak experimental watershed project. Annual report 1977-78. Dept. of Natural Resources, Forest Management Branch, Fredericton, N.B. 23 pp.
- Pritchett, W.L. 1979. Soil as a reservoir: soil nutrient supplies and mobilization rates. pp. 49-61 in: *Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling*. College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y. 421 pp.
- Raison, R.J. 1979. Modification of the soil environment by vegetation fires, with particular reference to nitrogen transformations: a review. *Plant Soil*, 51: 73-108.
- Reinhart, K.G. 1973. Timber-harvest clearcutting and nutrients in the northeastern United States. Res. Rep. NE-170. Northeast. For. Exp. Sta. Broomall, PA. 5 pp.
- Rennie, P.J. 1955. The uptake of nutrients by mature forest growth. *Plant Soil*, 7: 49-95.
- Ribe, J.H. 1974. A review of short rotation forestry. Misc. Rep. 160. Life Sciences and Agriculture Experiment Station. University of Maine at Orono. Orono, Me. 52 pp.
- Rice, R.M., J.S. Tothacher, and W.F. Megahan. 1972. Erosional consequences of timber harvesting: an appraisal. pp. 321-329 in: *National Symposium on*

- Watersheds in Transition. American Water Resources Assoc. Proc., 14.
- Rice, R.M., F.B. Tilley, and P.A. Datzman. 1979. A watershed's response to logging and roads: south fork of Caspar Creek, California, 1967 to 1976. Res. Paper PSW-146. Pac. Southwest For. Range Exp. Sta. Berkeley, CA. 12 pp.
- Richardson, C.J. and J.A. Lund. 1975. Effects of clear-cutting on nutrient losses in aspen forests on three soil types in Michigan. pp. 673-686 in: Mineral Cycling in Southeastern Ecosystems. ed. F.G. Howell, J.B. Gentry, and M.H. Smith. ERDA Symposium Series. CONF-740513.
- Russell, E.W., 1973. Soil Conditions and Plant Growth. 10th ed. Langman Group Ltd., London, 849 pp.
- Safford, L.O. and S.M. Filip. 1974. Biomass and nutrient content of 4-year-old fertilized and unfertilized northern hardwood stands. Can. J. for. Res. 4: 549-554.
- Sandburg, D.V., J.M. Pierovitch, D.G. Fox, and E.W. Ross. 1979. Effects of fire on air. A state-of-knowledge review. Gen. Tech. Rep. WO-9. USDA For. Serv. Denver, Colorado. 40 pp.
- Schindler, D.W. and J.E. Nightswander. 1970. Nutrient supply and primary production in Clear Lake, eastern Ontario. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 27: 2009-2036.
- Schindler, D.W., R.W. Newbury, K.G. Beaty, and P. Campbell. 1976. Natural water and chemical budgets for a small Precambrian lake basin in central Canada. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 33: 2526-2543.
- Schindler, D.W., R.W. Newbury, K.G. Beaty, J. Prokopowich, T. Ruzczynski, and J.A. Dulton. 1980. Effects of a windstorm and forest fire on chemical losses from forested watersheds and on the quality of receiving streams. Can. J. Fish. Aq. Sci. 37: 328-334.
- Schnitzer, M. 1980. Effect of low pH on the chemical structure and reactions of humic substances. pp. 203-222 in: Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial Ecosystems. ed. T.C. Hutchinson and M. Havas. Plenum Press, N.Y.
- Scrivener, J.C. 1975. Water, water chemistry, and hydrochemical balance of dissolved ions in Carnation Creek Watershed, Vancouver Island, July 1971-May 1974. Fish. Mar. Serv., Dep Environ. Tech. Rep. 564. Nanaimo, B.C. 141 pp.
- Seip, H.M. and B. Freedman. 1980. Effects of acid precipitation on soils. pp. 591-596 in: Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial Ecosystems. ed. T.C. Hutchinson and M. Havas. Plenum Press, N.Y.
- Shaw, R.W. 1979. Acid precipitation in Atlantic Canada. Environ. Sci. Technol. 13: 406-411.
- Smith, D.W. 1970. Concentration of soil nutrients before and after fire. Can. J. Soil Sci. 50: 17-29.

- Smith, D.W. and T.D. James. 1978. Characteristics of prescribed burns and resultant short-term environmental changes in Populus tremuloides woodland in southern Ontario. *Can. J. Bot.* 56: 1782-1791.
- Soderlund, R. and B.H. Svensson. 1976. The global nitrogen cycle. pp. 23-73. in: Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Sulfur - Global Cycles. ed. B.H. Svensson and R. Soderlund. *Scope. Rep.* 7. *Ecol. Bull.* (Stockholm) No. 22. 192 pp.
- Sopper W.E. 1975. Effects of timber harvesting and related management practices on water quality in forested watersheds. *J. Environ. Qual.* 4: 24-29.
- St. John, T.V. and P.W. Rundel. 1976. The role of fire as a mineralizing agent in a Sierran coniferous forest. *Oecologia*, 25: 35-45.
- Stark, N.M. 1979. Nutrient losses from timber harvesting in a larch/douglas fir forest. Res. Paper INT-231. Intermountain For. Range Exp. Sta. Ogden, Utah. 41 pp.
- Stark, N. 1980a. Light burning and the nutrient value of forage. USDA Forest Service, Research Note INT-280. Intermountain For. Range Exp. Sta. Ogden, Utah, 7 pp.
- Stark, N. 1980b. Changes in soil water quality resulting from three cutting methods and three levels of fibre utilization. *J. Soil. Water Cons.*, 35: 183-187.
- Strojan, C.L. 1978. Forest litter decomposition in the vicinity of a zinc smelter. *Oecologia* 32: 203-212.
- Swank, W.T. 1976. Atmospheric input of some cations and anions to forest ecosystems in North Carolina and Tennessee. *Water Resources Research*, 12: 541-546.
- Swank, W.T. and J.E. Douglas. 1975. Nutrient flux in undisturbed and manipulated forest ecosystems in southern Appalachian Mountains. *Int. Ass. Sci. Hydrol. Publ.* 117: 445-456.
- Switzer, G.L. and L.E. Nelson. 1973. Maintenance of productivity under short rotations. pp. 365-390 in: *Proceedings International Symposium on Forest Fertilization.* FAO/IUFRO. Paris, France.
- Tamm, C.O. 1969. Site damages by thinning due to removal of organic matter and plant nutrients. pp. 175-179 in: *Proc. IUFRO Meeting on Thinning and Mechanization.* Royal College of Forestry. Stockholm.
- Tamm, C.O. 1979. Nutrient cycling and productivity of forest ecosystems. pp. 2-21 in: *Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling.* College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y. 421 pp.
- Tamm, C.O. and C. Carbonnier. 1961. Vaxtnaringer som skoglig produktionsfaktor. *Skogs. och Lantbruksakademiens sammantrade tidskrift*, 100: 95-124. Cited in Ovington (1962).
- Tamm, C.O. and E.B. Cowling. 1976. Acid precipitation and forest vegetation. pp. 843-855 in: *Proc. of the First International Symposium on Acid Precipitation and the Forest Ecosystem.* USDA For. Serv.

- Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-23.
Broomall, PA.
- Tamm, C.O., G. Wiklander, and B. Popovic. 1976. Effects of application of sulphuric acid to poor pine forests. pp. 1011-1024 in: Proc. First International Symposium on Acid Precipitation and the Forest Ecosystem. USDA For. Serv. Gen. tech. Rep. NE- 23. Broomall, PA.
- Tarrant, R.F. and J.M. Trappe. 1971. The role of Alnus in improving the forest environment. Plant Soil, Spec. Vol. 1971: 325-348.
- Tiedemann, A.R., J.D. Helvey, and T.D. Anderson. 1978. Stream chemistry and watershed nutrient economy following wildfire and fertilization in eastern Washington. J. Environ. Qual., 7: 580-588.
- Tiedemann, A.R., C.E. Conrad, J.H. Dietrich, J.H. Hornbeck, W.F. Megahan, L.A. Viereck, and D.D. Wade. 1979. Effects of fire on water. A state-of-knowledge review. Gen. Tech. Rep. WO-10. USDA For. Serv. Washington, D.C.
- Todd, R.L., R.D. Meyer, and J.B. Waide. 1978. Nitrogen fixation in a deciduous forest in the southeastern United States. pp. 172-177 in: Environmental Role of Nitrogen-fixing Blue-green Algae and Asymbiotic Bacteria. ed. V. Granhall. Ecol. Bull. (Stockholm) No. 26.
- Tukey, H.B. 1980. Some effects of rain and mist on plants, with implications for acid precipitation. pp. 141-150 in: Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial Ecosystems. ed. T.C. Hutchinson and M. Havas. Plenum Press, N.Y.
- Turner, J. 1975. Nutrient cycling in a Douglas-fir ecosystem with respect to age and nutrient status. Unpublished PhD Thesis. University of Washington. Seattle. Cited in Marion (1979).
- Turner, J., D.W. Cole, and S.P. Gessel. 1976. Mineral nutrient accumulation and cycling in a stand of red alder. (Alnus rubra). J. Ecol. 64: 965-973.
- Turner, J. and M.J. Singer. 1976. Nutrient distribution and cycling in a sub-alpine coniferous forest ecosystem. J. App. Ecol. 13: 295-301.
- Tveite, B. and G. Abrahamsen. 1980. Effects of artificial acid rain on the growth and nutrient status of trees. pp. 305-318 in: Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial Ecosystems. ed. T.C. Hutchinson and M. Havas. Plenum Press. N.Y.
- Tyler, G. 1974. Heavy metal pollution and soil enzymatic activity. Plant Soil, 41: 303-311.
- Tyler, G. 1975a. Effects of heavy metal pollution or decomposition in forest soils. National Swedish Environment Protection Board. Solna, Sweden. 47 pp.
- Tyler, G. 1975b. Heavy metal pollution and mineralization of nitrogen in forest soils. Nature, 255 (5511): 701-702.

- Tyler, G. 1976. Heavy metal pollution, phosphatase activity, and mineralization of organic phosphorus in forest soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 8: 327-332.
- Ulrich, B. and R. Mayer. 1977. Assessment by flux balance and amount of deposited chemical elements in a beech and a spruce forest in central Europe. Workshop Meeting to Consider Methods Involved in Studies of Acid Precipitation to Forest Ecosystems. Edinburg, U.K. Sept. 19-23, 1977. 9 pp. ms.
- Unsworth, M.H. 1977. Dry deposition of gases and particles to vegetation: a review. Workshop on Methods in Acid Precipitation Studies. Edinburg, U.K. Sept. 19-23, 1977. 18 pp. ms.
- Verry, E.S. 1972. Effect of an aspen clearcutting on water yield and quality in northern Minnesota. pp. 276-284 in: *Watersheds in Transition*. ed. S.C. Csallany, T.G. McLaughlin, and W.D. Striffler. American Water Resources Association. Urbana, Ill.
- Viro, P.J. 1953. Loss of nutrients and the natural nutrient balance of the soil in Finland. *Commun. Inst. For. Fenn.*, 42: 1-50.
- Viro, P.J. 1974. Effects of forest fire on soil. pp. 7-46 in: *Fire and Ecosystems*. ed. T.T. Kozlowski and C.E. Ahlgren. Academic Press, New York.
- Vitousek, P.M., J.R. Gosz, C.C. Grier, J.M. Melillo, W.A., Reiners, and R.L. Todd. 1979. Nitrate losses from disturbed ecosystems. *Science*, 204: 469-473.
- Vlams, J., A.M. Schultz, and H.H. Biswell. 1955. Burning and soil fertility. *Calif. Agric.*, 9: 7.
- Wagle, R.F. and J.H. Kitchen. 1972. Influence of fire on soil nutrients in a ponderosa pine type. *Ecology*, 53: 118-125.
- Weaver, G.T. 1975. The quantity and distribution of four nutrient elements in high elevation forest ecosystems, Balsam Mountains, North Carolina. pp. 715-728 in: *Mineral Cycling in Southeastern Ecosystems*. ed. F.G. Howell, J.B. Gentry, and M.H. Smith, ERDA Symposium Series.
- Webber, B.D. 1977. Biomass and nutrient distribution patterns in a young *Pseudotsuga menziesii* ecosystem. *Can. J. For. Res.* 7: 326-334.
- Weetman, G.F. and B. Webber. 1972. The influence of wood harvesting on the nutrient status of two spruce stands. *Can. J. For. Res.* 2: 351-369.
- Weetman, G.F., H.H. Krause, V.R. Timmer, and J.S. Hoyt. 1974. Some fertilizer response data for the Maritimes and parts of Quebec. pp. 77-82 in: *Proc. Workshop on Forest Fertilization in Canada*. ed. F. Hegyi. For. Tech. Rep. 5 Great Lakes For. Res. Cent. Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario.
- Weetman, G.F., H.H. Krause, and E. Koller. 1976. Interprovincial forest fertilization programme. For. Tech. Rep. 16. Can. For. Ser. Ottawa, Ontario 34 pp.

- Wells, C.G. and J.R. Jorgensen. 1979. Effect of intensive harvesting on nutrient supply and sustained productivity. pp. 212-230 in: Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling. College of Environmental Science and Forestry, SUNY, Syracuse N.Y.
- White, E.H. 1974. Whole-tree harvesting depletes soil nutrients. *Can. J. For. Res.* 4: 530-535.
- White, E.H. and A.E. Harvey. 1979. Modification of intensive management practices to protect forest nutrient cycles. pp. 264-278 in: Proc. Impact of Intensive Harvesting on Forest Nutrient Cycling. College of Environmental Science and Forestry. SUNY, Syracuse, N.Y.
- Whittaker, R.H., G.E. Likens, F.H. Bormann, J.J. Eaton, and T.G. Siccama. 1979. The Hubbard Brook ecosystem study. Nutrient cycling and element behaviour. *Ecology*, 60: 203-220.
- Wiklander, L. 1980. Interaction between cations and anions influencing adsorption and leaching. pp. 239-254 in: Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial Ecosystems ed. T.C. Hutchinson and M. Havas. Plenum Press, N.Y.
- Will, G.M. 1968. The uptake, cycling and removal of mineral nutrients by crops of *Pinus radiata*. *Proc. NZ Ecol. Soc.* 15: 20-24.
- Wollum, A.G. and C.B. Davey. 1975. Nitrogen accumulation, transformation, and transport in forest soil. pp. 67-106 in: Forest Soils and Forest Land Management. ed. B. Bernier and C.H. Winget. Laval University Press. Montreal, P.Q.
- Wood, B.W. R.F. Wittwer, and S.B. Carpenter. 1977. Nutrient element accumulation and distribution in an intensively culture American sycamore plantation. *Plant Soil*, 48: 417-433.
- Wood, M.J. (ed.) 1979. Ecological effects of Acid Precipitation. Special Study Project SOA 77-403. Electric Power Research Institute. Palo Alto, California. 250 pp.
- Wood, T. and F.H. Bormann. 1974. The effects of an artificial acid mist upon the growth of *Betula allegheniensis* Britt. *Environ. Poll.* 7: 259-268.
- Wood, T. and F.H. Bormann. 1975. Increases in foliar leaching caused by acidification of an artificial mist. *Ambio*, 4: 169-171.
- Woodwell, G.M. and R.H. Whittaker. 1967. Primary production and the cation budget of the Brookhaven Forest. pp. 151-166 in: Symposium on Primary Productivity and Mineral Cycling in Natural Ecosystems. ed. H.E. Young. University of Maine Press. Orono, Me.
- Wright, R.F. 1976. The impact of forest fire on the nutrient influxes to small lakes in northeastern Minnesota. *Ecology*, 57: 649-663.
- Wright, T.W. and G.M. Will. 1958. The nutrient content of Scots and Corsican Pines growing on sand dunes. *Forestry*, 31: 13-25.

Young, H.E. 1974. Biomass, nutrient elements, harvesting, and chipping in the complete tree concept. *The Consultant*, 19: 91-104.

Zeman, L.J. 1973. Chemistry of tropospheric fallout and streamflow in a small mountainous watershed near Vancouver, British Columbia. Unpublished PhD thesis University of British Columbia, Vancouver. 154 pp. cited in Feller and Kimmins (1979).

Zeman, L.J. 1975. Hydrochemical balance of a British Columbia mountainous watershed. *Catena*, 2: 81-94.




Fig. 1. The effects of stand age on the above-ground standing crops of biomass and nutrients in Populus deltoides plantations (after Hansen and Baker 1979).




Fig. 2. The effects of stand age on the above-ground standing crops of nutrients in *Pinus sylvestris* plantations (after Ovington 1959a).

TABLE 1. Summary of literature relevant to the above-ground biomass (dry weight) and nutrient standing crop of a variety of forest types and agricultural crops. N = natural stand, P = plantation.

STAND	AGE	BIOMASS MT/ha	N Kg/ha	P Kg/ha	K Kg/ha	Ca Kg/ha	Mg Kg/ha	Reference
Tropical (median)	mature	378	7260	241	2157	4005	437	Marion, 1979
<u>Nothofagus truncata</u> (N)	110	280	415	48.5	448	1211	123	Miller, 1963
Hardwoods (P)	50	-	-	71.7	311	1144	-	Rennie, 1955
Hardwoods (P)	100	-	-	124	556	2172	-	Rennie, 1955
Temperate Broadleaf (median)	mature	338	1085	73	463	1142	115	Marion, 1979
Mixed Hardwoods (mean) (N)	7-20	-	50	9	40	40	8	MacLean and Wein, 1977
Mixed Hardwoods (range) (N)	24-37	-	150-250	20-35	90-160	90-150	15-30	MacLean and Wein, 1977
Northern Hardwoods (N)	mature	111	278	22	-	368	-	Hornbeck and Kropelin, 1979
Northern Hardwoods (N)	55	-	351	34	155	383	36	Hornbeck, 1977; Likens et al., 1977.
Northern Hardwoods (N)	55	60.4	371	35	155	403	38	Whittaker et al., 1979
Coastal Hardwoods (N)	-	-	-	-	363	464	178	Art et al., 1974
<u>Betula papyrifera-Acer rubrum</u> <u>Populus tremuloides</u> (N)	29	79.8	237.2	32.1	127.9	282.5	29.4	Ribe, 1974
<u>Acer rubrum - Fagus grandifolia</u> <u>Betula papyrifera</u> (N)	90	202.7	483	-	-	541	-	Hornbeck, 1977
<u>Acer rubrum</u> (N)	18	37.2	164.9	23.6	86.3	201.5	20.6	Ribe, 1974

TABLE 1 (cont.)

STAND	AGE Yr	BIOMASS Mg/ha	N Kg/ha	P Kg/ha	K Kg/ha	Ca Kg/ha	Mg Kg/ha	Reference
<u>Acer rubrum</u> (N)	30	57.4	192.4	28.6	108.8	300.8	25.8	Ribe, 1974
<u>Alnus incana</u> (P)	22	111.1	640	56	128	584	39	Ovington, 1962
<u>Alnus rubra</u> (N)	34	210	589	37	99	299	111	Turner et al., 1976
<u>Betula papyrifera</u> (N)	39	131.4	332.6	39.7	167.5	277.9	39.9	Ribe, 1974
<u>Betula verrucosa</u> (P)	22	60.8	240	30	62	312	24	Ovington, 1962
<u>Betula verrucosa</u> (N)	24	62.2	188	14	78	233	24	Ovington and Madgwick, 1959b
<u>Betula verrucosa</u> (N)	55	164.0	391	27	154	497	46	Ovington and Madgwick, 1959b
<u>Fagus sylvatica</u> (N)	39	133.4	283	38	185	151	42	Ovington, 1962
<u>Fagus sylvatica</u> (N)	90	315	830	54.7	465	924	121	Nihlgard and Lindren, 1977
<u>Fagus sylvatica</u> (N)	90	324	1060	84.5	460	603	105	Nihlgard and Lindren, 1977, Nihlgard, 1972
<u>Fagus sylvatica</u> (N)	100	225	640	62	318	478	85	Nihlgard and Lindgren, 1977
<u>Platanus occidentalis</u> (P) (dormant season, leafless)	1	-	22.8	4.9	14.5	17.1	3.0	Blackmon, 1979
<u>Platanus occidentalis</u> (P) (dormant season, leafless)	2	-	36.0	7.7	23.1	25.7	4.7	Blackmon, 1979
<u>Platanus occidentalis</u> (P) (dormant season, leafless)	4	-	36.6	7.9	23.5	25.2	4.7	Blackmon, 1979
<u>Platanus occidentalis</u> (P)	3	9.2	52.3	9.6	20.8	45.7	16.7	Wood et al., 1977
<u>Platanus occidentalis</u> (P)	3	13.7	89.7	21.0	52.5	52.9	23.9	Wood et al., 1977
<u>Populus</u> (hybrid) (P)	1	4.6	62	12	91	55	13	Anderson, 1979

TABLE 1 (cont.)

STAND	AGE Yr	BIOMASS Mt/ha	N Kg/ha	P Kg/ha	K Kg/ha	Ca Kg/ha	Mg Kg/ha	Reference
<u>Populus</u> (hybrid) (P)	1	10.0	86	1.3	44	58	-	Hansen and Baker, 1979
<u>Populus</u> (hybrid) (P)	2	22.7	120	17	52	118	14	Hansen and Baker, 1979
<u>Populus</u> (hybrid) (P)	3	17.8	144	21	57	90	22	Hansen and Baker, 1979
<u>Populus</u> (hybrid) (P)	4	36.8	213	31	86	126	23	Hansen and Baker, 1979
<u>Populus deltooides</u> (P)	1	2.42	29.5	2.5	20.0	27.5	5.3	Baker and Blackmon, 1977
<u>Populus deltooides</u> (P)	1	1.6	14	2	7	9	2	Hansen and Baker, 1979
<u>Populus deltooides</u> (P)	2	7.8	50	7	25	45	7	Hansen and Baker, 1979
<u>Populus deltooides</u> (P)	3	15.0	62	11	52	120	16	Hansen and Baker, 1979
<u>Populus deltooides</u> (P)	4	26.9	101	18	92	203	25	Hansen and Baker, 1979
<u>Populus deltooides</u> (P)	12	80.6	214	29	173	384	45	Hansen and Baker, 1979
<u>Populus deltooides</u> (P)	20	136	256	47	277	588	66	Hansen and Baker, 1979
<u>Populus deltooides</u> (\bar{x} of 8 stands) (P)	6-9	-	225	192	179	174	178	White, 1974
<u>Populus tremulooides</u> - <u>Betula papyrifera</u> (N)	45-50	46.6	206.6	21.4	107	441	42.8	Boyle and Ek, 1972
<u>Populus tremulooides</u> - <u>P. grandidentata</u> (P)	40	1668	368	46.5	287	848	57.6	Alban et al., 1978
<u>Populus tremulooides</u> (N)	45	58.0	195.0	18.0	93.7	327.3	28.0	Ribe, 1974
<u>Prunus pensylvanica</u> - <u>Betula</u> spp. (N)	4	7.1	52	4.3	22.6	19.6	2.3	Safford and Philip, 1974
<u>Quercus-Carya</u> (N)	-	-	405	-	-	830	-	Huff et al., 1978

TABLE 1 (cont.)

STAND	AGE Yr	BIOMASS MT/ha	N Kg/ha	P Kg/ha	K Kg/ha	Ca Kg/ha	Mg Kg/ha	Reference
<u>Quercus robur</u> - <u>Fraxinus excelsior</u> (N)	114-160	294	947	64	493	1338	126	Duvigneaud and Denaeyer-De Smet 1967
<u>Quercus petraea</u> (P)	21	42.4	284	19	102	119	28	Ovington, 1962
<u>Quercus robur</u> (N)	47	128.3	369	32	223	246	41	Ovington, 1962
Softwoods (P)	50	-	-	74.1	343	652	-	Rennie, 1955
Softwoods (P)	100	-	-	101	578	1082	-	Rennie, 1955
Temperate Coniferous (median)	mature	291	664	47	263	717	-	Marion, 1979
Boreal Forest (median)	mature	129	447	50	291	488	108	Marion, 1979
<u>Abies lasiocarpa</u> - <u>Tsuga heterophylla</u> (N)	175	337	320	50	819	992	130	Turner and Singer, 1976
<u>Larix decidua</u> (P)	46	189.4	465	44	142	172	35	Ovington, 1962
<u>Picea glauca</u> - <u>Abies</u> <u>lasiocarpa</u> (N)	<350	220	330	50	170	550	-	Kimmins and Krumlik, 1976
<u>Picea rubens</u> - <u>Abies balsamea</u> (N)	all-aged	132.0	387	52	159	413	36	Westman and Webber, 1972
<u>Picea rubens</u> - <u>Abies balsamea</u> (N)	all-aged	152.5	239	35	133	337	37	Freedman et al., 1980 a
<u>Picea rubens</u> - <u>Abies</u> <u>fraseri</u> (N)	50	180	-	37	177	354	72	Weaver, 1975
<u>Picea abies</u> (P)	20	218.3	859	99	437	357	82	Ovington, 1962
<u>Picea abies</u> (P)	33	43.1	204	33.9	27.2	207	10.0	Fornes et al., 1970
<u>Picea abies</u> (P)	47	262.7	705	82	226	507	85	Ovington, 1962

TABLE 1 (cont.)

STAND	AGE Yr	BIOMASS MT/ha	N Kg/ha	P Kg/ha	K Kg/ha	Ca Kg/ha	Mg Kg/ha	Reference
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	47	139.8	331	37	161	212	39	Ovington, 1962
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	52	132.2	311	41	141	202	35	Tamm and Carbonnier, 1961
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	55	311	770	87.2	427	459	69.2	Nihlgard, 1972
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	58	108.6	214	31	137	259	-	Tamm and Carbonnier, 1961
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	70	-	372	40.6	161	409	-	Malkonen, 1973
<i>Picea glauca</i> (P)	40	140.8	382	57.4	259	719	40.3	Alban et al., 1978
<i>Picea mariana</i> (N)	65	107.2	167	42	84	277	27	Weetman and Webber, 1972
<i>Pinus</i> spp. (P)	50	-	-	42.0	178	329	-	Rennie, 1955
<i>Pinus</i> spp. (P)	100	-	-	51.9	225	502	-	Rennie, 1955
<i>Pinus banksiana</i> (range) (N)	29-57	-	120-170	20-25	65-80	60	20	MacLean and Wein, 1977
<i>Pinus banksiana</i> (N)	30	-	153.0	12.9	74.0	99.2	15.7	Foster and Morrison, 1976
<i>Pinus banksiana</i> (P)	40	147.3	259	24.7	97	199	37.7	Alban et al., 1978
<i>Pinus banksiana</i> (N)	65	113.7	180	14	89	127	19	Morrison and Foster, 1979
<i>Pinus contorta</i> (N)	125	195	170	35	110	250	-	Kimmins and Krumlik, 1976
<i>Pinus nigra</i> (P)	18	25.8	80.7	12.0	49.3	72.9	14.6	Wright and Will, 1958
<i>Pinus nigra</i> (P)	28	54.3	102.0	13.7	79.6	123.3	20.6	Wright and Will, 1958
<i>Pinus nigra</i> (P)	45	108.5	151	19	99	147	32	Miller et al., 1980
<i>Pinus nigra</i> (P)	46	232.2	436	31	195	190	53	Ovington, 1962
<i>Pinus nigra</i> (P)	48	97.0	185.0	22.3	133.4	156.9	38.1	Wright and Will, 1958

TABLE 1 (cont.)

STAND	AGE Yr	BIOMASS MT/ha	N Kg/ha	P Kg/ha	K Kg/ha	Ca Kg/ha	Mg Kg/ha	Reference
<u>Pinus ponderosa</u> (N)	60	462	1480	92	416	1760	230	Marion, 1979
<u>Pinus radiata</u> (P)	26	221.9	221	28	224	130	-	Orman and Will, 1960; Will, 1968.
<u>Pinus resinosa</u> (P)	32	61.4	174	20.1	35.1	216	19.6	Fornes et al., 1970
<u>Pinus resinosa</u> (P)	40	199.4	346	41.8	175	291	57.5	Alban et al., 1978
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	7	-	42	5	19	14	4	Ovington, 1959
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	11	-	121	11	51	58	13	Ovington, 1959
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	14	-	158	18	63	76	12	Ovington, 1959
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	17	-	249	28	117	85	21	Ovington, 1959
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	18	54.9	151.3	16.6	115.5	69.5	22.9	Wright and Will, 1958
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	20	-	309	35	143	128	27	Ovington, 1959
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	23	-	280	23	118	143	28	Ovington, 1959
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	28	93.1	224.2	25.0	128.9	86.3	35.8	Wright and Will, 1958
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	31	-	275	29	146	203	34	Ovington, 1959
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	33	149.8	265	30	170	194	39	Ovington and Madgwick, 1959 a
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	35	-	337	30	182	213	49	Ovington, 1959
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	47	156.6	269	25	149	163	31	Ovington, 1962
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	55	-	285	25	109	188	48	Ovington, 1959
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	64	118.8	205.1	22.7	99.8	201.8	34.8	Wright and Will, 1958
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	70	-	148	15.0	80	115	-	Malkonen, 1973
<u>Pinus taeda</u> (P)	11	87	242	30	145	-	-	Pope, 1979

TABLE 1 (cont.)

STAND	AGE Yr	BIOMASS Mg/ha	N Kg/ha	P Kg/ha	K Kg/ha	Ca Kg/ha	Mg Kg/ha	Reference
<u>Pinus taeda</u> (P)	16	-	257	31	165	187	46	Wells and Jorgensen, 1979
<u>Pinus taeda</u> (P)	20	-	384	27	212	204	-	Switzer and Nelson, 1973
<u>Pinus taeda</u> (P)	29	390	1250	78	350	1480	200	Marion, 1979
<u>Pinus taeda</u> (P)	40	-	568	40	344	174	-	Switzer and Nelson, 1973
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u> (N)	15-20	64.8	185	31.0	102	196	23.2	Webber, 1977
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u> (P)	36	171.5	288	60	196	296	-	Cole et al., 1967
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u> (N)	36	205	320	66	220	333	50	Turner et al., 1976
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u> (P)	47	252.4	468	49	209	338	62	Ovington, 1962
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u> (N)	50	657	2100	131	590	2500	330	Marion, 1979
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u> (N)	73	294	350	54	353	433	58	Turner, 1975
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u> (N)	95	348	445	80	254	433	58	Turner, 1975
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u> (N)	450	530	313	42	242	620	-	Abee and Lavender, 1972; Grier et al., 1974.
<u>Tsuga heterophylla</u> - <u>Chamaecyparis nootkatensis</u> (N)	<500	60	75	20	65	110	-	Kimmins and Krumlik, 1977
<u>Tsuga heterophylla</u> (N)	50	936	2995	187	842	3560	470	Marion, 1979
<u>Tsuga mertensiana</u> - <u>Abies amabilis</u> (N)	<550	470	560	110	410	750	-	Kimmins and Krumlik, 1977
Beans	1	3.89	120	14	62	24	7	Russell, 1973
Corn Crop	1	-	135	23	33	16	20	Foth and Turk, 1972
Corn Crop	1	-	174	28	58	23	26	Fried and Broeshart, 1967

TABLE 1 (cont.)

STAND	AGE Yr	BIOMASS MT/ha	N Kg/ha	P Kg/ha	K Kg/ha	Ca Kg/ha	Mg Kg/ha	Reference
Mangolds (+ roots)	1	8.49	167	26	280	34	29	Russell, 1973
Meadow Hay	1	3.16	55	6	48	26	10	Russell, 1973
Oats	1	4.47	59	10	43	9	6	Russell, 1973
Potatoes (+ roots)	1	3.76	52	11	71	3	4	Russell, 1973
Red Clover Hay	1	4.21	110	12	80	72	19	Russell, 1973
Turnips (+ roots)	1	5.23	123	16	139	59	6	Russell, 1973
Wheat	1	-	50	11	13	1	6	Foth and Turk, 1972
Wheat	1	4.69	56	10	27	7	5	Russell, 1973

TABLE 2. A selection of data to illustrate the effect of site variation on the standing crops of above-ground biomass and nutrients for particular tree species.

Species	Site	Age	Biomass MT/ha	N kg/ha	P kg/ha	K kg/ha	Ca kg/ha	Mg kg/ha	Reference
<u>Platanus occidentalis</u>	a)	3	9.2	52	10	21	46	17	Wood et al., 1977
	b)	3	13.7	90	21	53	53	24	
<u>Picea abies</u>	a)	47	263	705	82	226	507	85	Ovington, 1962
	b)	47	140	331	37	161	212	39	

TABLE 3. A selection of data to represent the effects of species on the standing crops of above-ground biomass and nutrients in adjacent 40-year old plantations growing on a fine sandy-loam soil in Minnesota (after Alban et al. 1978).

Species	Age	Biomass (MT/ha)	N (kg/ha)	P (kg/ha)	K (kg/ha)	Ca (kg/ha)	Mg (kg/ha)
<u>Pinus resinosa</u>	40	199	155	42	175	291	58
<u>Pinus banksiana</u>	40	141	118	25	97	199	38
<u>Picea glauca</u>	40	141	102	57	229	719	40
<u>Populus tremuloides</u> - <u>P. grandidentata</u>	40	167	199	47	287	848	58

TABLE 4. Summary of literature relevant to the relative removals of biomass and nutrients by various clear-cutting treatments. C = conventional bole-only clear-cut; WT = whole-tree (above-ground) clear-cut; CT = complete-tree (above and below-ground) clear-cut; N = natural stand; P = plantation; % = percent increase of whole-tree or complete-tree removals over conventional clear-cut.

STAND	AGE	BIOMASS MT/ha	%	N kg/ha	%	P kg/ha	%	K kg/ha	%	Ca kg/ha	%	Mg kg/ha	%	Reference
Northern Hardwoods (N)	C	41.3		134		11.0		71		193		18.9		Whittaker et al., 1979
	WT	60.4	46	371	177	35.3	221	155	119	403	109	37.8	100	
	CT	73.2	77	552	312	88.0	700	218	208	504	161	51.3	171	
<i>Acer rubrum</i> - <i>Fagus grandifolia</i> - <i>Betula papyrifera</i> (N)	Mature C	-		165		-		-		234		-		Hornbeck, 1977
	WT	-		386	134	-		-		437	87	-		
<i>Acer rubrum</i> - <i>Fagus grandifolia</i> - <i>Betula papyrifera</i> (N)	90 C	145	40	207	133	-		-		287		-		Hornbeck, 1977
	WT	203		483		-		-		541	89	-		
<i>Betula lutea</i> - <i>Acer saccharum</i> (N)	>80 C	128.5		42.1		3.7		24.1		73.0		5.3		Young, 1974
	WT	164.2	28	-		-		-		-		-		
	CT	238.0	85	133.0	216	18.2	392	77.1	220	181.9	149	15.2	187	
<i>Betula papyrifera</i> - <i>Acer rubrum</i> (N)	29 C	70.6		172		21.9		94		229		20.3		Ribe, 1974
	WT	79.8	13	237	38	32.1	47	128	36	283	24	29.4	45	
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i> - <i>Acer saccharum</i> - <i>Tsuga canadensis</i> (N)	4 C	4.5		18.9		2.0		10.1		8.4		0.5		Safford and Filip, 1974
	WT	5.4	20	34.6	83	3.0	50	15.6	54	11.9	42	1.2	140	
<i>Populus tremuloides</i> - <i>Betula papyrifera</i> (N)	45-50 C	120.3		120.3		12.1		60.2		241		24.1		Boyle and Ek, 1972
	WT	166.9	39	206.6	72	21.4	77	106.9	78	441	83	42.8	78	
<i>Acer rubrum</i> (N)	18 C	27.8		70.6		11.1		44.5		139		10.5		Ribe, 1974
	WT	37.2	34	164.9	134	23.6	113	86.3	94	202	45	20.6	96	
<i>Acer rubrum</i> (N)	30 C	49.5		125.5		19.8		79.4		248		18.6		Ribe, 1974
	WT	57.4	16	192.4	53	28.6	44	108.8	37	301	21	25.8	39	
<i>Alnus incana</i> (P)	22 C	83.1		220		26		50		280		16		Ovington, 1962
	WT	111.1	34	640	191	56	115	128	156	584	109	39	144	

TABLE 4 (cont.)

STAND	AGE	BIOMASS MT/ha	N kg/ha	P kg/ha	K kg/ha	Ca kg/ha	Mg kg/ha	REFERENCE
<i>Betula papyrifera</i> (N)	C	121.7	284	29.3	133	239	29.1	Ribe, 1974
	WT	131.4	333	39.7	168	278	39.9	
<i>Betula verrucosa</i> (P)	C	43.1	62	8	17	120	7	Ovington, 1962
	WT	60.8	240	30	62	312	24	
<i>Betula verrucosa</i> (N)	C	48.0	73	6	29	132	13	Ovington and Madgwick, 1959b
	WT	62.2	188	14	78	233	24	
	CT	79.1	277	19	93	312	28	
<i>Betula verrucosa</i> (N)	C	134.5	145	11	69	275	26	Ovington and Madgwick, 1959b
	WT	164.0	391	27	154	497	46	
	CT	213.8	543	34	200	651	60	
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> (N)	C	97.9	128	16	94	79	28	Ovington, 1962
	WT	133.4	283	38	185	151	42	
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> (N)	C	245	340	21.0	283	481	69.3	Nihlgard and Lindren, 1977
	WT	314	670	47.6	421	866	103.5	
	CT	355	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> (N)	C	221	290	22.4	201	201	56.6	Nihlgard and Lindren, 1977; Nihlgard, 1972
	WT	324	950	77.6	429	582	97.5	
	CT	373	69	-	-	-	-	
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> (N)	C	166	220	20.0	208	200	53.5	Nihlgard and Lindren, 1977
	WT	225	570	55.8	302	458	80.4	
	CT	259	56	-	-	-	-	
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i> (P)	C	5.9	15.4	4.7	13.7	21.5	7.8	Wood et al., 1977
	WT	9.2	52.3	9.6	20.8	45.7	16.7	
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i> (P)	C	9.1	40.0	12.5	22.0	20.5	8.3	Wood et al., 1977
	WT	13.7	89.7	21.0	52.5	52.9	23.9	
<i>Populus deltoides</i> (P)	C	0.82	2.5	0.4	2.7	3.5	0.7	Baker and Blackman, 1977
	WT	2.42	29.5	2.5	20.0	27.5	5.3	
	CT	3.68	349	7.7	34.7	36.5	6.4	
<i>Populus deltoides</i> (\bar{x} of 8 stands) (P)	C	-	94	15	99	226	24	White, 1974
	WT	-	209	29	177	348	37	

TABLE 4 (cont.)

STAND	AGE	BIOMASS		N	P	K	Ca	Mg	REFERENCE
		MT/ha	%						
<i>Populus tremuloides</i> - <i>P. grandidentata</i> (P)	40	C	147	199	26.2	198	606	39.2	Alban et al., 1978
		WT	167	368	46.5	287	848	57.6	
		CT	205	457	130	66.5	367	1064	
<i>Populus tremuloides</i> (N)	45	C	52.5	140.1	12.6	68.3	278	21.3	Ribe, 1974
		WT	58.0	195.0	18.0	93.7	327	28.0	
<i>Quercus robur</i> - <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> (N)	115-160	C	210	396	18	219	769	58	Duvigneaud and Denaeyer-De Smet 1967
		WT	284	947	64	493	1338	126	
		CT	336	1260	218	96	624	1648	
<i>Quercus petraea</i> (P)	21	C	28.3	70	6	41	58	11	Ovington, 1962
		WT	42.4	284	19	102	119	28	
<i>Quercus robur</i> (N)	47	C	106.6	218	11	118	173	23	Ovington, 1962
		WT	138.3	369	32	223	246	41	
<i>Larix decidua</i> (P)	46	C	145.8	108	13	32	72	21	Ovington, 1962
		WT	43.6	465	331	142	172	35	
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	20	C	157.2	260	34	136	190	34	Ovington, 1962
		WT	218.3	859	230	437	357	82	
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	33	C	21.7	26.7	5.8	6.4	58	3.1	Fornes et al., 1970
		WT	43.1	203.8	663	27.2	207	10.0	
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	47	C	182.4	132	18	60	198	35	Ovington, 1962
		WT	262.7	705	82	226	507	85	
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	47	C	107.9	105	11	44	107	19	Ovington, 1962
		WT	139.8	331	37	161	212	39	
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	52	C	105.8	109	12	65	108	18	Tamm and Carbonnier, 1961
		WT	132.2	311	41	141	202	35	
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	55	C	262	270	28.5	172	283	38.9	Nihlgard, 1972
		WT	311	770	87.2	437	459	69.2	
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	58	C	85.2	63	8	52	120	-	Tamm and Carbonnier, 1961
		WT	108.6	214	31	137	259	-	

TABLE 4 (cont.)

STAND	AGE	BIOMASS MT/ha	N kg/ha	P kg/ha	K kg/ha	Ca kg/ha	Mg kg/ha	REFERENCE
<i>Picea abies</i> (P)	70	-	95	8.4	47	184	-	Malkonen, 1973
	WT	-	372	40.6	161	409	-	
<i>Picea glauca</i> - <i>Abies lasiocarpa</i> (N)	< 350							
	C	176	153	19	129	367	-	Kimmins and Krumlik, 1976
	WT	220	330	50	170	550	-	
<i>Picea glauca</i> (P)	40							
	C	99	102	13.2	63	246	13.6	Alban et al., 1978
	WT	141	382	57.4	229	719	40.3	
	CT	175	449	64.4	303	809	46.3	
<i>Picea mariana</i> (N)	65							
	C	53.9	43	12	25	98	8	Weetman and Webber, 1972
	WT	107.2	167	42	84	277	27	
<i>Picea rubens</i> - <i>Abies balsamea</i> (N)	80							
	C	163.7	31.5	4.0	21.0	57.6	6.6	Young, 1974
	WT	226.3	-	-	-	-	-	
	CT	310.6	140.2	26.4	64.1	181.5	20.1	
<i>Picea rubens</i> - <i>Abies balsamea</i> (N)	all- aged							
	C	82.3	74	11	47	150	14	Weetman and Webber, 1972
	WT	132.0	387	52	159	413	36	
<i>Picea rubens</i> - <i>Abies balsamea</i> (N)	all- aged							
	C	118	120	18.2	76	219	20.4	Freedman et al., 1981
	WT	153	239	35.2	133	337	36.9	
<i>Picea rubens</i> - <i>Abies balsamea</i> (N)	mature							
	C	114.3	28.3	3.0	17.7	50.8	4.8	Young, 1974
	WT	155.7	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Betula papyrifera</i> (N)	CT	220.0	110.3	16.7	59.2	146.8	14.7	
<i>Picea rubens</i> - <i>Abies balsamea</i> (N)	mature							
	C	164.0	80	10	52	143	-	Norton and Young, 1976
	CT	311.1	346	65	158	448	-	
<i>Pinus banksiana</i> (N)	30							
	C	-	48.8	4.5	33.7	51.4	8.1	Foster and Morrison, 1976
	WT	-	153.0	12.9	74.0	99.2	15.7	
<i>Pinus banksiana</i> (P)	40							
	C	118	118	9.3	52	128	22.2	Alban et al., 1978
	WT	147	259	24.7	97	199	37.7	
	CT	175	296	29.7	119	241	45.7	
<i>Pinus banksiana</i> (N)	65							
	C	90.1	82	4	50	87	12	Morrison and Foster, 1979
	WT	113.7	180	14	89	127	19	
	CT	136.9	204	17	105	155	25	

TABLE 4 (cont.)

STAND	AGE	BIOMASS WT/ha	N kg/ha	P kg/ha	K kg/ha	Ca kg/ha	Mg kg/ha	REFERENCE
<u>Pinus contorta</u> (N)	C	170	110	23	96	217	-	Kimmins and Krumlik, 1976
	WT	195	55	35	110	250	15	
<u>Pinus nigra</u> (P)	C	16.3	27.5	3.6	14.6	25.8	6.1	Wright and Will, 1958
	WT	25.8	80.7	12.0	49.3	72.9	139	
<u>Pinus nigra</u> (P)	C	52.7	46.0	5.4	34.2	49.3	9.5	Wright and Will, 1958
	WT	54.3	102.0	13.7	79.6	123.3	117	
<u>Pinus nigra</u> (P)	C	102	74	7.7	45	82	18.5	Miller et al., 1980
	WT	109	151	18.9	99	147	79	
<u>Pinus nigra</u> (P)	C	212.0	207	14	102	115	39	Ovington, 1962
	WT	242.2	436	31	195	190	53	
<u>Pinus nigra</u> (P)	C	95.3	98.1	10.8	68.4	79.6	21.7	Wright and Will, 1958
	WT	97.0	185.0	22.3	133.4	156.9	76	
<u>Pinus radiata</u> (P)	C	201.8	128	18	158	105	-	Orman and Will, 1960; Will, 1968
	WT	222.0	221	28	224	130	24	
<u>Pinus resinosa</u> (P)	CT	250.0	24	41	252	158	50	Fornes et al., 1970
	C	40.5	53.7	6.8	12.3	107	7.4	
<u>Pinus resinosa</u> (P)	WT	61.4	52	20.1	35.1	216	19.6	Alban et al., 1978
	C	160	155	15.3	84	185	34.2	
<u>Pinus resinosa</u> (P)	WT	199	24	41.8	173	291	57.9	Ovington, 1959
	CT	243	52	49.8	205	335	69	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	C	-	4	1	3	2	1	Ovington, 1959
	WT	-	42	5	19	14	4	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	CT	-	69	9	800	18	6	Ovington, 1959
	C	-	11	1	8	7	2	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	WT	-	121	11	1000	58	13	Ovington, 1959
	CT	-	182	18	1700	69	18	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	C	-	17	2	10	12	2	Ovington, 1959
	WT	-	158	18	800	76	12	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	CT	-	219	29	1350	86	17	Ovington, 1959
	C	-	17	2	10	12	2	

TABLE 4 (cont.)

STAND	AGE	BIOMASS		N	P	K	Ca	Mg	REFERENCE
		MT/ha	%						
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (C)	17	C	-	33	4	19	19	4	Ovington, 1959
		WT	-	249	28	600	85	21	
		CT	-	311	842	38	850	99	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	18	C	35.6	52.7	5.4	42.6	30.3	10.3	Wright and Will, 1958
		WT	54.9	151.3	16.6	115.5	69.5	22.9	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	20	C	-	48	6	29	31	7	Ovington, 1959
		WT	-	309	35	483	128	27	
		CT	-	397	727	46	666	144	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	23	C	-	59	5	34	56	8	Ovington, 1959
		WT	-	280	23	360	143	28	
		CT	-	469	695	34	580	191	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	28	C	75.4	96.4	10.1	65.6	47.1	22.6	Wright and Will 1958
		WT	94.1	224.2	25.0	128.9	86.3	35.8	
		CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	31	C	-	73	8	49	104	13	Ovington, 1959
		WT	-	275	29	263	203	34	
		CT	-	384	426	47	488	334	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	33	C	118.8	97	12	84	115	24	Ovington and Madgwick, 1959a
		WT	149.8	265	30	170	194	39	
		CT	185.9	346	41	242	227	52	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	35	C	-	86	7	64	124	28	Ovington, 1959
		WT	-	337	30	329	213	49	
		CT	-	501	483	63	800	364	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	47	C	129.6	90	8	54	119	25	Ovington, 1962
		WT	156.6	269	25	213	163	31	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	55	C	-	88	7	46	122	28	Ovington, 1959
		WT	-	285	25	257	188	48	
		CT	-	469	433	42	500	281	
<u>Pinus sylvestris</u> (P)	64	C	97.4	100.9	10.6	51.6	143.5	23.0	Wright and Will, 1958
		WT	118.8	205.1	22.7	99.8	201.8	44.8	

TABLE 4 (cont.)

STAND	AGE	BIOMASS MT/ha	N kg/ha	P kg/ha	K kg/ha	Ca kg/ha	Mg kg/ha	REFERENCE
		%	%	%	%	%	%	
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> (P)	70	-	58	5.3	38	73	-	Malkonen, 1973
	WT	-	148	15.0	80	111	-	
<i>Pinus taeda</i> (P)	20	-	292	17	168	178	-	Switzer and Nelson, 1973
	WT	-	384	27	212	204	-	
<i>Pinus taeda</i> (P)	40	-	464	28	292	152	-	Switzer and Nelson, 1973
	WT	-	568	40	344	174	-	
<i>Pinus taeda</i> (P)	11	63	78	11.5	74	-	-	Pope, 1979
	WT	87	242	30.0	145	-	-	
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (N)	15-20	42.9	67.5	7.8	28.0	49	6.8	Webber, 1977
	WT	64.8	185.3	31.0	102.3	196	23.2	
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (P)	36	140.4	125	19	96	117	-	Cole <u>et al.</u> , 1967
	WT	171.5	288	60	196	296	-	
	CT	204.5	320	66	220	333	-	
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (P)	47	202.7	157	15	73	92	24	Ovington, 1962
	WT	252.4	468	49	209	338	62	
<i>Tsuga mertensiana- Abies amabilis</i> (N)	550	392	301	66	277	507	-	Kimmins and Krumlik, 1976
	WT	470	560	110	410	750	-	
<i>Tsuga heterophylla- Chamaecyparis nootkatensis</i> (N)	500	42	28	9	37	56	-	Kimmins and Krumlik, 1976
	WT	60	75	20	65	110	-	

TABLE 5. Summary of a sampling of the literature relevant to the content of nutrients in the forest floor or mineral soils of a variety of forest types. T = total amounts of nutrients; A = available or exchangeable amounts of nutrients; ff = forest floor; ms = mineral soil.

Forest	Location	Horizon and Depth	N (kg ha ⁻¹)	P (kg ha ⁻¹)	K (kg ha ⁻¹)	Ca (kg ha ⁻¹)	Mg (kg ha ⁻¹)	Reference
Northern hardwoods	Hubbard Brook, N.H.	ff	1,260	80	70	370	40	Likens et al., 1977
		ms (exploitable)	-	-	-	9,600	-	
		ms	-	-	-	510	-	
Mixed hardwoods	Wisconsin	surface soil (2 cm)	1,800	-	-	-	-	Boyle and Ek, 1972
		A	-	180	190	760	210	
Northern hardwoods	New Hampshire	exploitable soil	10,400	2,100	-	13,300	-	Hornbeck and Kropelin, 1979
<u>Acer saccharum</u>	Nova Scotia	ff (6 cm)	760	45	70	250	24	Freedman et al., 1980
		A	4	2	10	54	6	
		T	7,200	3,400	14,600	20,700	3,300	
<u>Acer saccharum</u> - <u>Betula papyrifera</u>	Nova Scotia	ff (6 cm)	1,040	84	147	113	20	Freedman et al., 1980
		A	4	3	11	28	5	
		T	12,500	3,320	24,200	13,400	9,100	
<u>Acer saccharum</u> - <u>A. rubrum</u> <u>Betula allegheniensis</u>	Nova Scotia	ms (47 cm)	20	245	130	262	49	Freedman et al., 1980
		ff (4 cm)	450	23	43	87	8	
		A	2	1	3	16	2	
<u>Alnus rubra</u>	Washington	ms (37cm)	8,280	1,220	22,200	11,400	1,700	Turner et al., 1976
		A	42	27	-	235	51	
		ff	360	27	42	150	13	
<u>Alnus rubra</u>	Washington	ms (60 cm)	5,450	3,920	77,200	106,600	110,700	Turner et al., 1976
		ms (60 cm)	-	140	150	840	120	

TABLE 5 (cont.)

Forest	Location	Horizon and Depth	N kg ha ⁻¹	P kg ha ⁻¹	K kg ha ⁻¹	Ca kg ha ⁻¹	Mg kg ha ⁻¹	Reference
<u>Betula papyrifera</u> - <u>Acer rubrum</u>	Nova Scotia	ff (6 cm)	T 850	53	110	350	40	Freedman et al., 1980
			A 4	2	2	12	2	
		ms (44 cm)	T 5,300	1,900	12,700	16,600	4,200	
			A 68	193	20	79	14	
<u>Populus grandidentata</u> - <u>P. tremulooides</u>	Nova Scotia	ff (2 cm)	T 75	10	40	50	5	Freedman et al., 1980
			A 1	1	2	11	2	
		ms (50 cm)	T 5,900	2,900	23,000	17,000	7,700	
			A 96	284	154	248	44	
<u>Populus tremulooides</u>	Minnesota	ff	T 67	-	-	-	-	Alban et al., 1978
			A -	60	80	1,090	90	
		ms (36 cm)	T 2,060	-	-	-	-	
			A -	90	290	2,640	270	
<u>Populus tremulooides</u>	Wisconsin	exploitable soil (15 cm)	A 24	100	120	590	-	Boyle et al., 1973
<u>Quercus</u> - <u>Carya</u>	North Carolina	ff	T 140	-	20	130	-	Henderson et al., 1978
			T 6,800	-	124,000	2,500	-	
		ms (60 cm)	A 120	-	510	940	-	
<u>Quercus-Carya</u>	Tennessee	ff	T 310	-	20	430	-	Henderson et al., 1978
			T 4,700	-	38,000	3,800	-	
		ms (60 cm)	A 80	-	170	710	-	
Boreal Forest (typical)	-	ff	T 700	-	-	-	-	Krause et al., 1979
			A -	80	270	160	-	
		ms	T 1,560	-	-	-	-	
			A -	200	110	90	-	
Upland Boreal Forest (typical)	-	soil to rooting depth	T 2,000	400	20,000	4,000	4,000	Weetman and Webber, 1972
			A 20	35	200	500	100	

TABLE 5 (cont.)

Forest	Location	Horizon and Depth	N kg ha ⁻¹	P kg ha ⁻¹	K kg ha ⁻¹	Ca kg ha ⁻¹	Mg kg ha ⁻¹	Reference
<u>Picea glauca</u>	Minnesota	ff	T 750	-	-	-	-	Alban <u>et al.</u> , 1978
		ms (36 cm)	A -	60	80	1,400	80	
			T 2,540	-	-	-	-	
<u>Picea glauca</u>	Nova Scotia	ff (4 cm)	T 590	40	53	110	10	Freedman <u>et al.</u> , 1980
		ms (45 cm)	A 2	1	6	14	2	
			T 5,700	1,280	14,100	19,400	3,780	
			A 49	64	97	234	30	
<u>Picea mariana</u>	Quebec	exploitable soil (35 cm)	T 1,150	680	17,200	2,320	3,040	Weetman and Webber, 1972
			A 31	30	130	45	48	
<u>Picea mariana</u>	Nova Scotia	ff (7 cm)	T 690	50	130	110	30	Freedman <u>et al.</u> , 1980
		ms (27 cm)	A 4	2	11	20	7	
			T 4,180	950	10,900	3,500	460	
			A 59	61	71	89	28	
<u>Picea rubens</u> - <u>Abies balsamea</u>	Quebec	exploitable soil (26 cm)	T 2,020	210	10,400	1,270	1,020	Weetman and Webber, 1972
			A 14	6	65	29	120	
<u>Picea rubens</u> - <u>Abies balsamea</u>	Nova Scotia	ff (10 cm)	T 1,030	75	150	210	60	Freedman <u>et al.</u> , 1980
		ms (28 cm)	A 4	4	15	35	9	
			T 2,830	630	12,000	4,900	1,020	
			A 38	96	63	117	29	
<u>Picea rubens</u> - <u>Abies balsamea</u>	Nova Scotia	ff (8 cm)	T 900	62	110	290	32	Freedman <u>et al.</u> , 1981
		ms (31 cm)	A 2	3	12	33	9	
			T 3,860	1,220	13,300	5,460	1,740	
			A 45	102	61	77	30	

TABLE 5 (cont.)

Forest	Location	Horizon and Depth	N gha ⁻¹	P gha ⁻¹	K gha ⁻¹	Ca gha ⁻¹	Mg gha ⁻¹	Reference
<u>Pinus banksiana</u>	Minnesota	ff	690	-	-	-	-	Alban <u>et al.</u> , 1978
		ms (36 cm)	-	50	70	778	80	
		A	2,310	-	-	-	-	
<u>Pinus banksiana</u>	Northern Ontario	ff	14	2	37	100	12	Foster and Morrison, 1976
		ms (100 cm)	15	29	390	190	29	
<u>Pinus resinosa</u>	Minnesota	ff	540	-	-	-	-	Alban <u>et al.</u> , 1978
		ms (36 cm)	-	40	60	660	70	
		ms (36 cm)	2,750	-	-	-	-	
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u> <u>Tsuga heterophylla</u>	Oregon	ff	740	-	90	570	-	Henderson <u>et al.</u> , 1978
		ms (60 cm)	4,500	-	-	-	-	
		ms (60 cm)	5	-	860	4,450	-	
<u>Picea glauca</u> - <u>Abies amabilis</u>	British Columbia	ff	850	100	100	300	-	Kimmmins, 1977
		ms (rooting depth)	3,500	4,700	4,500	3,800	-	
		ms (100 cm)	50	400	400	1,200	-	

TABLE 6. Summary of a sampling of the literature relevant to precipitation inputs of nutrients to watersheds or forests.

Location	N Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	P Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	K Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Ca Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Mg Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Reference
Maine	1.9 - 2.5	2.9	2.9	13.0	-	Pearson and Fisher, 1971
Massachusetts	2.2 - 2.3	-	2.0 - 5.6	2.4 - 4.9	-	Pearson and Fisher, 1971
New York State	7.9 - 9.4	-	3.6 - 3.9	9.9 - 23.5	-	Pearson and Fisher, 1971
Connecticut	2.5	-	1.6	4.9	-	Pearson and Fisher, 1971
Ithaca, New York	9.8	0.07	0.8	14.9	1.5	Likens, 1972
Aurora, New York	11.5	0.12	1.1	14.7	2.2	Likens, 1972
Geneva, New York	7.0	0.05	0.4	3.4	0.6	Likens, 1972
Canoga, New York	5.2	0.38	1.2	5.4	0.8	Likens, 1972
Lodi, New York	8.0	0.22	1.5	4.0	0.6	Likens, 1972
Watkins Glen, New York	10.6	0.21	1.5	15.4	3.2	Likens, 1972
Hubbard Brook, N.H. (wet only)	5.8	0.04	0.9	2.2	0.6	Likens et al., 1977; Whittaker et al., 1979
Kejimikujik, Nova Scotia	11.9	-	0.9	7.3	5.4	Kerekes, 1980
Nathwaak, New Brunswick	2.7	0.1	1.0	2.2	0.4	Powell, 1978
Clear Lake, Southern Ontario	10.0	0.35	1.0	14.1	5.4	Schindler and Nightswander, 1970
Rawson Lake, Northwestern Ontario	6.3	0.33	1.1	3.8	0.9	Schindler et al., 1976
Coweeta, North Carolina	4.9	0.19	0.4	4.7	1.5	Swank, 1976; Henderson et al., 1977a, 1978

TABLE 6 (cont.)

Location	N Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	P Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	K Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Ca Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Mg Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Reference
Walker Branch, Tennessee	5.9	0.54	3.1	14.3	2.1	Henderson et al., 1977a, 1978
Utah	12.0	1.5	4.5	3.7	3.1	Stark, 1979
Oregon	1.1	0.0	0.8	2.8	-	Cole et al., 1967
Eugene, Oregon	0.7	-	0.4	4.7	0.4	Henderson et al., 1978
Northwestern United States	1.3	0.2	0.1	2.1	1.3	Abee and Lavender, 1972
Southwestern British Columbia	3.7	0.0	0.9	3.6	0.9	Feller and Kimmins, 1979
Jamieson Creek, B.C.	1.7	0.4	0.8	7.3	2.2	Zeman 1973, 1975
Carnation Creek, Vancouver Island.	2.7	0.11	2.5	3.7	3.0	Scrivener, 1975
Finland (average)	5.9	0.09	2.5	2.0	1.3	Viro, 1953
Solling, C. Germany	23.7	0.7	3.8	13.9	2.2	Heinrichs and Mayer, 1977; Mayer and Ulrich, 1977

TABLE 7. Typical inputs of nutrients with incident precipitation, as calculated from the data of Table 6. All data are in units of $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$.

	N	P	K	Ca	Mg
$\bar{x} \pm \text{s.d.}$	6.2 \pm 5.0	0.4 \pm 0.7	1.7 \pm 1.3	7.2 \pm 5.3	1.7 \pm 1.3
Range	0.7 - 23.7	0.0 - 1.5	0.1 - 5.6	2.0 - 23.5	0.4 - 5.4
Number of Sites	25	21	25	25	20

TABLE 8. Summary of literature relevant to rates of primary weathering
in a variety of forested watersheds.

Forest	Bedrock	Location	N Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	P Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	K Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Ca Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Mg Kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Reference
Northern hardwoods	moraine/gneiss	Hubbard Brook, N.H.	0	?	7.1	21.1	3.5	Johnson <u>et al.</u> , 1968; Clayton, 1979; Whittaker <u>et al.</u> , 1979.
Mixed hardwoods	outwash sands	Long Is., N.Y.	-	-	11.1	24.2	8.4	Woodwell and Whittaker 1967; Clayton, 1979
Mixed hardwoods	schists	Maryland	-	-	2.3	1.3	1.1	Cleaves <u>et al.</u> , 1970
Coastal hardwoods	quartz sands	New York	-	-	0.01	0.04	0.01	Art <u>et al.</u> , 1974
<u>Populus tremuloides</u> - mixed hardwoods	glacial hill	Wisconsin	-	0.9	3.6	7.1	-	Boyle <u>et al.</u> , 1973
<u>Pseudotsuga-Tsuga</u> - <u>Thuja</u>	various, 80% plutonic	s.w. B.C.	~0	0.33	1.7	34.4	6.6	Zeman, 1975
range of 8 studies	various		-	-	tr-11	tr-86	2-52	Clayton, 1979

TABLE 9. Rates of nitrogen fixation in a variety of forest stands.

Stand	Location	Site of Fixation	Rate of N ₂ Fixation (kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	Reference
<u>Acer rubrum</u>	Nova Scotia	aboreal lichen only	0.0035	Blacklock <u>et al.</u> , 1980
<u>Quercus -Carya</u>	North Carolina	arboreal lichens only	0.0012	Becker <u>et al.</u> , 1977
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u>	nw U.S.	free-living (phyllosphere)	7-23	Burns and Hardy, 1975
northern hardwoods	New Hampshire	free living (decaying wood)	11-17	Bormann <u>et al.</u> , 1977; Likens <u>et al.</u> , 1977, 1978
northern hardwoods (20-40 yr)	ne U.S.	free living (decaying wood)	~ 28	Bormann and Likens, 1979
northern hardwoods (140-170 yr)	ne U.S.	free living (decaying wood)	~ 2	Bormann and Likens, 1979
montane	Montana	free-living (decaying wood)	0.89	Larsen <u>et al.</u> , 1978
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u>	Britain	various free-living	7.6 - 19.7	Jones <u>et al.</u> , 1974
<u>Quercus - Carya</u>	se U.S.	various free-living	12.0	Todd <u>et al.</u> , 1978
<u>Alnus spp.</u>	various studies reviewed	root nodule symbionts	12-300 (range)	Tarrant and Trappe, 1971
<u>Alnus rubra</u>	nw U.S.	root nodule symbionts	320	Wollum and Davey, 1975
<u>Ceanothus velutinus</u>	nw U.S.	root nodule symbionts	> 100	Wollum and Davey, 1975

TABLE 10. Summary of literature relevant to net fluxes of nutrients (i.e. total inputs minus total outputs) for a variety of undisturbed watersheds. W = watershed study; L = lysimeter study; all data in $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$.

Forest Type	Location	Predominant Bedrock	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	Reference
<u>Fagus sylvatica</u> (L)	cent. Germany	loess over sandstone	+22	+0.7	+5.4	+10	+1.1	Heinrichs and Mayer, 1977; Ulrich and Mayer, 1977
<u>Quercus-Carya</u> (W)	Tennessee	dolomite	+1.8	+0.5	-3.7	-133	-75	Henderson et al., 1977b, 1978
<u>Quercus-Carya-Acer</u> (W)	North Carolina	granitics	+4.7	-	-5.2	-3	-2	Swank and Douglas, 1975; Henderson et al., 1978.
<u>Quercus-Carya</u> (W)	North Carolina	granitics	-	-	+0.5	-1.5	-1.7	Johnson and Swank, 1973; Best and Monk, 1975; Cromack and Monk, 1975
<u>Acer-Fagus-Betula</u> (W)	New Hampshire	gneissic	+16.7	+0.017	-1.5	-11.7	-2.7	Likens et al., 1967, 1977
<u>Acer-Fagus-Quercus</u> (W)	s. Ontario	gneissic and granitic	+ 8.7	+0.26	+0.14	+ 7.4	+3.1	Schindler and Nightswander, 1970
Mixed forest (W)	New Brunswick	granitics	-0.2	+0.2	-1.7	-32	-5.5	Powell, 1978
<u>Acer-Fagus-Betula</u> (W)	Nova Scotia	granitics	+23.5	-	-0.4	-9.7	-5.9	Kerekes, 1980
Mixed forest (W)	n.e. Minnesota	granitics	-	+1.2	+0.2	-0.2	-0.8	Wright, 1976
mainly <u>Picea abies</u> (W)	Finland	gneissic and granitic	+4	-0.17	-2.1	-10	-3	Viro, 1953
mainly <u>Picea abies</u> (W)	S. Norway	granitics	+11.9	-	+2.1	-6.3	-1.1	Cjessing et al., 1976
<u>Picea abies</u> (L)	c. Germany	loess over sandstone	+14	+0.8	+6.2	+20	+2.4	Heinrichs and Mayer, 1977
<u>Pinus strobus</u> (W)	North Carolina	granitics	-	-	+2.1	+1.2	-0.6	Johnson and Swank, 1973; Best and Monk, 1975; Cromack and Monk, 1975
<u>Picea-Pinus</u> (W)	nw Ontario	gneissics	+ 5.3	+0.28	-0.14	-2.2	-1.5	Schindler et al., 1976

TABLE 10 (cont.)

Forest Type	Location	Predominant Bedrock	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	Reference
<u>Pseudotsuga-Tsuga (W)</u>	Oregon	volcanics	+0.5	-	-1.3	-47	-2	Frederikson, 1972; Henderson et al, 1978
<u>Tsuga-Thuja-Pseudotsuga (W) sw B.C.</u>		igneous quartzitics	+2.6	0.0	-1.2	-16	-3.3	Feller and Kimmins, 1979
<u>Tsuga-Abies (W)</u>	Vancouver Is.	various	+1.7	+ 0.06	-2.3	-54	-7.5	Scrivener, 1975
<u>Pseudotsuga-Tsuga- Thuja-Abies (W)</u>	sw B.C.	various	+0.3	- 0.33	-1.7	-34	-6.6	Zeman, 1975

TABLE 11. Summary of literature relevant to losses of nutrients to the atmosphere as a result of burning.

Community	Location	Burn	Nutrient Loss	Reference
montane coniferous	n.c. Washington	wildfire	losses via volatilization and convection were 855 kg/ha N, 282 K, 75 Ca, and 33 Mg	Grier, 1975
montane coniferous	s.w. Canada	wildfire	losses via volatilization and convection were 580 kg ha ⁻¹ N, 14 K, 43 Ca	Kimmins and Feller, 1976
coniferous	n.w. Europe	wildfire	atmospheric losses of 10% of total site N, ca. 320 kg/ha	Viro, 1974
pine	w. Washington	wildfire	atmospheric losses of 67% of duff N by severe fire	Isaac and Hopkins, 1937. Cited in DeBell and Ralston, 1970
mixed forest	Tasmania	slash burn	total atmospheric losses of 10 kg/ha P, 57 K, 100 Ca, and 37 Mg, representing 18% of P in fuel consumed, 17% K, 12% Ca, 29% Mg.	Harwood and Jackson, 1975.
"typical" U.S. forest	U.S.	calculated for slash burns	calculated volatilization losses for "average" slash burn of 22 kg NO _x /ha. Assumes emission factor of 1.2 kg NO _x /MT fuel consumed.	Sandburg et al., 1979
<u>Calluna vulgaris</u>	England	simulated	at burn of 310-580°C, had losses of 43% of N, 18% S, 5% P, 7% K, 8% Ca, 4% Mg. At 590-750°C, had losses of 57% of N, 36% S, 14% P, 20% K, 12% Ca, 14% Mg.	Evans and Allen, 1971.
pine	-	simulated	lost 33% of duff N, equivalent to 72 kg N/ha	Lewis, 1975
chaparral	sw U.S.	simulated	lost 67% of total N by intense burn of dry soil, 425% when soil and litter were moist	DeBano et al., 1979; Dunn et al. 1979.
pine	nw U.S.	simulated	lost 62% of litter and leaf N by volatilization	DeBell and Ralston, 1970
<u>Tsuga-Pseudotsuga</u>	nw U.S.	simulated	at 300°C, lost 25% of total fuel N, or 167 kgN/ha at 700°C, lost 64% of total fuel N, or 411 kg n/ha	Knight, 1966
pine	-	simulated	volatile losses of 25% of total N in forest floor fuel	Hosking, 1938

TABLE 12. Summary of the literature relevant to the effects of fire on losses of nutrients from watersheds.

Forest	Location	Post-fire Watershed Effects	Reference
<u>Populus tremuloides</u>	s. Ontario	after prescribed fire, most nutrients were retained within surface soils. Soils organic with high CEC.	Smith and James, 1978.
mixed forest	ne Minnesota	wildfire increased first-year streamwater losses of P from 0.015 kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ to 0.1, K from 0.16 to 1.4, Ca from 3.0 to 12.2, and Mg from 1.3 to 3.6	Wright, 1976
<u>Picea-Pinus</u>	nw Ontario	two naturally-burned watersheds. Total N losses from watersheds were (X) 2.6 kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ , c.f. 0.9 for unburned watershed. Total P losses were 0.15, c.f. 0.02. Dissolved K losses were 3.7, c.f. 0.7.	Schindler et al., 1980
<u>Pinus banksiana</u>	n. Ontario	accelerated leaching of K, Ca for 3 post-fire months. Leaching of P apparently reduced extractable PO ₄ in surface soils.	Smith, 1970
<u>Pinus ponderosa</u>	nw U.S.	low available N and P in soils of 12 year old burn believed due to accelerated post-fire leaching.	Wagle and Kitchen, 1972.
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u>	Oregon	watershed clear-cut and slash burned. Streamwater NO ₃ -N losses in first year were 15.7 kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ , up from pre-impact of 4.9. Returned to baseline after six years. K losses were elevated for six months. No effect on P losses.	Brown et al., 1973
<u>Pinus ponderosa</u> - <u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u>	e. Washington	four post-fire years of streamwater losses of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg were equivalent to 0.5, 0.01, 4, 17, and 13% of site nutrient "capital" for these nutrients. Maximum streamwater NO ₃ concentration rose from <0.016 to 0.56 ppm after fire, while P, K, Ca, and Mg rose by ca 50%	Tiedemann et al., 1978
coniferous	nc Washington	few effects of wildfire on nutrient losses from montane watershed	Grier, 1975

TABLE 13. A partial list of recent studies which have examined the effects of forest harvesting on sediment or soluble nutrient losses.

Studies Documenting Increased Erosion	Studies Documenting Increased Leaching	Studies Showing No, or Minimal Effects
DeByle and Packer, 1972	Gessel and Cole, 1965	Verry, 1972
Megahan, 1972	Bormann et al., 1968, 1969, 1974	Aubertin and Patric, 1974
Rice et al., 1972	Likens et al., 1969, 1970, 1977, 1978	Richardson and Lund, 1975
Patric, 1976	DeByle and Packer, 1972	Hetherington, 1976
Corbett et al., 1978	Pierce et al., 1972	Czapowskyj et al., 1977
Hornbeck and Ursic, 1979	Brown et al., 1973	Sopper, 1975
McColl and Grigall, 1979	Dillon and Kirchner, 1975	McColl, 1978
Rice et al., 1979	Hart and DeByle, 1975	Stark, 1980b
	Hornbeck et al., 1975	
	Aber et al., 1978, 1979	
	Huff et al., 1978	
	Burger and Pritchett, 1979	
	Hornbeck and Ursic, 1979	
	Jurgensen et al., 1979b	
	Martin and Pierce, 1979	
	McColl and Grigall, 1979	
	Vitousek et al., 1979	

TABLE 14. Summary of the literature relevant to the effects of forest harvest on sediment losses from watersheds.

Forest	Location	Slope	Effects on Sediment Discharge	Reference
various forests (review)			broad estimate of soil losses by surface erosion from clear-cuts of ca. 1-5 MT ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ . Losses can be greatly reduced by taking proper precautions during operations.	McColl and Grigall, 1979
<u>Pinus ponderosa</u>	Idaho	35-55%	five years of post-harvest sediment losses averaged 0.12 MT ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ , compared with zero for a control watershed.	Haupt and Kidd, 1965
<u>Pinus ponderosa</u>	Idaho	70%	six years of post-harvest sediment losses averaged 4.0 MT ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ , compared with 0.09 from a control watershed.	Megahan and Kidd, 1972
<u>Larix occidentalis</u> - <u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u> - <u>Picea engelmannii</u>	Montana	24%	watershed clearcut, then slash burned. Sediment losses essentially zero on control watershed, increasing to 50, 150, 13, 15, and 0 kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ in years 1, 2, 3, 4, and 7 after logging. Losses of nutrients with sediments (sum of first 4 years) were 1.7 kg ha ⁻¹ of N, 0.7P, 1.5K, 9.4 Ca, and 2.9 Mg.	Packer and Williams, 1976; DeByle and Packer, 1972
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u>	Oregon	55%	two years of post-harvest sediment losses averaged 0.56 MT ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ , compared with 0.26 from control watershed	Fredrikson, 1970
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u>	Oregon	30-40%	sediment losses of 0.94 MT ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ in first year following clear-cut, compared with 0.42 for uncut watershed.	Brown and Krygier, 1971

TABLE 15. Summary of the literature relevant to the effects of forest harvest on nutrient losses in solution from watersheds.

Forest	Location	Post-cutting Watershed Effects	Reference
northern hardwoods	New Hampshire	streamwater nutrient losses from experimentally deforested Hubbard Brook watershed were 499 Kg ha ⁻¹ 10 yr ⁻¹ of NO ₃ -N, 450 of Ca, and 166 of K, compared with 43 of NO ₃ -N, 131 of Ca and 22 of K from uncut watershed.	Bormann et al., 1968 1974; Pierce et al., 1972; Likens et al., 1978
northern hardwoods	New Hampshire	streamflow losses from commercial clear-cut watershed were 95 kg ha ⁻¹ of N, and 89 of Ca in first two years after harvest, compared with 144 kg ha ⁻¹ of N and 221 of Ca removed with wood.	Pierce et al., 1972; Likens et al., 1978
northern hardwoods	New Hampshire	streamwater losses from experimental strip-cuts were 93 kg ha ⁻¹ of NO ₃ -N, and 44 of Ca in first two years of harvest, compared with 40 kg ha ⁻¹ of NO ₃ -N and 34 of Ca from uncut watershed.	Hornbeck et al., 1975
northern hardwoods	New Hampshire	clear-cut watershed had elevated NO ₃ -N and Ca losses, lasting for five years before pre-cut levels were again reached. Buffer strips along streams reduced losses by ca. 50%	Martin and Pierce, 1979
northern hardwoods	s. Ontario	mean annual PO ₄ -P losses from forested watersheds on igneous bedrock were 0.04 kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ , compared with 0.12 from watersheds of pasture plus forest. On sedimentary bedrock, forested watersheds averaged 0.11 kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ , compared with 0.29 from forest plus pasture watersheds.	Dillon and Kirchner, 1975
<u>Quercus-Acer</u> <u>-Liriodendron</u>	W. Virginia	few negative effects of clear-cutting or water quality. Ascribed to careful road construction, buffer strips along streams, rapid vegetation regrowth.	Aubertin and Patric, 1974.

Forest	Location	Post-cutting Watershed Effects	Reference
hardwoods	North Carolina	<p>mean annual nutrient concentrations in streamwater draining hardwood coppice regrowth were 120 ppb NO₃-N, 4 NH₄-N, 1 PO₄-P, 406K, and 792 Ca, compared with concentrations from undisturbed stand of 4 ppb NO₃-N, 4 NH₄-N, 1 PO₄-P, 369K, and 549 Ca.</p>	Huff et al., 1978
<u>Populus tremuloides</u>	Michigan	<p>experimental 0.5 ha clear-cuts. Lysimeter collections showed no increase in concentration of N, P, K, or Mg, and only minor effect on Ca, compared with uncut forest.</p>	Richardson and Lund, 1975
<u>Populus tremuloides</u>	Minnesota	<p>streamwater concentrations draining clear-cut watershed were 0.55 ppm NH₄-N and 0.16 ppm NO₃-N, compared with 0.41 and 0.12 ppm for control, uncut watershed. Changes for other nutrients were minor. However, a flow increment of 31% from the clearcut indicates a proportional nutrient loss, even though concentrations were little affected.</p>	Verry, 1972
<u>Eucalyptus globulus</u>	California	<p>lysimeter solution concentrations of NO₃, K, Ca, and Mg were lower or clearcut than on uncut stand. Believed due to high CEC of clay soils, limited amount and duration of rainfall, and removal of slash and litter from clear-cut.</p>	McColl, 1978
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u> - <u>Alnus rubra</u>	Oregon	<p>minor short-term effects of clear-cutting losses of NO₃-N and K, but overall small losses of NO₃-N, K, or Ca, relative to site capital.</p>	Brown et al, 1973

Forest	Location	Post-cutting Watershed Effects	Reference
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u>	Washington	experimental 0.08 ha clearcuts in 35-yr old plantation. Lysimeter measurements at 1 m soil depth showed losses of 1.1, 1.1 and 9.2 kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ of N, K, and Ca, compared with control values of 0.6, 1.0, and 4.5.	Gessel and Cole, 1965
<u>Picea-Abies-Pinus</u>	British Columbia	no effect of clear-cutting of 25% of watershed on streamwater losses of N, P, Mg in second post-cutting year. Minor increases for K and Ca.	Hetherington 1976
<u>Pinus elliotii</u> - <u>P. palustris</u>	Florida	conventional clear-cut followed by slash burn and scarification by blading. Lysimeter solution concentrations were 0.16 ppm NH ₄ -N, 0.13 NO ₃ -N, 0.68 PO ₄ -P, and 2.83 K, compared with control values of 0.08 NH ₄ -N, 0.09 NO ₃ -N, 0.05 PO ₄ -P, and 0.57 K.	Burger and Pritchett, 1979
<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u> - <u>Pinus ponderosa</u> - <u>Larix occidentalis</u>	Montana	three harvest treatments, and three intensities of slash removal. Only minor effects were seen on streamwater chemistry over 2 post-cutting years. For a 70 yr rotation, the site can sustain production without a significant loss of nutrient capital for a calculated period of 40,000 years.	Stark, 1980b

TABLE 16. Nutrient removals with whole-tree harvested biomass, expressed relative to total inputs, net fluxes, and soil pools. One-year rotation of a hybrid Populus clone.

Category	Biomass	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	Source
HARVEST REMOVALS (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)							
whole-tree clear-cut	1,000,000	8600	1300	4400	5800	1600	Hansen and Baker, 1979
INPUTS (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)							
a) precipitation		600	40	170	720	170	Chapter 4a, average
b) weathering		0	60	500	1800	500	Chapter 4c, average
c) N ₂ fixation		1000	-	-	-	-	Chapter 4d, average
d) total inputs		1600	100	670	2520	670	
NET FLUX (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)		700	30	-60	-700	-200	Chapter 5, average
SOIL POOL (kg ha⁻¹)							
a) total, rooting zone (53cm)		13,600	3,400	24,300	13,500	9,100	Freedman et al., 1980;
b) available, rooting zone		80	250	140	290	55	good hardwood site
whole-tree harvest, % of total inputs							
		538%	1300%	657%	230%	239%	
whole-tree harvest, % of net flux							
		1230	4300	-7300	-829	-800	
whole-tree harvest, % of total soil							
		63	38	18	43	18	
whole-tree harvest, % of available soil							
		10800	520	3140	2000	2900	

TABLE 17. Nutrient removals with harvested biomass by intensive harvest techniques, expressed relative to total inputs, net fluxes, and soil pools. Seven-year rotation of Populus deltoides.

Category	Biomass	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	Source
HARVEST REMOVALS (kg ha⁻¹100 yr⁻¹)							
a) conventional clear-cut	-	1343	214	1414	3229	343	White, 1974
b) whole-tree clear-cut	-	2986	414	2529	4971	529	
INPUTS (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)							
a) precipitation		600	40	170	720	170	Chapter 4a, average
b) weathering		0	60	500	1800	500	Chapter 4c, average
c) N ₂ fixation		1000	-	-	-	-	Chapter 4d, average
d) total inputs		1600	100	670	2520	670	
NET FLUX (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)		700	30	-60	-700	-200	Chapter 5, average
SOIL POOL (kg ha⁻¹)							
a) total, rooting zone (53 cm)		13,600	3400	24,300	13,500	9100	Freedman et al., 1980;
b) available, rooting zone		86	250	140	290	55	good hardwood site
PERCENTAGES							
Conventional removal, % of total inputs		84%	214%	211%	128%	51%	
whole-tree removal, % of total inputs		187	414	377	197	79	
conventional removal, % of net flux		192	713	-2357	-461	-172	
whole-tree removal, % of net flux		427	1380	-4215	-710	-265	
conventional removal, % of total soil		10	6	6	24	4	
whole-tree removal, % of total soil		22	12	10	37	6	
conventional removal, % of available soil		1562	86	1010	1110	624	
whole-tree removal, % of available soil		3472	166	1810	1714	962	

TABLE 18. Nutrient removals with harvested biomass by intensive harvest techniques, expressed relative to total inputs, net fluxes, and soil pools. Twenty-nine year rotation of mixed intolerant hardwoods.

Category	Biomass	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	Source
HARVEST REMOVALS (kg ha⁻¹ 100yr⁻¹)							
a) conventional clear-cut	243,000	593	76	324	790	70	Ribe, 1974
b) whole-tree clear-cut	275,000	817	111	441	976	101	
INPUTS (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)							
a) precipitation		600	40	170	720	170	Chapter 4a, avg.
b) weathering		0	60	500	1800	500	Chapter 4c, avg.
c) N ₂ fixation		1000	-	-	-	-	Chapter 4d, avg.
d) total inputs		1600	100	670	2520	670	
NET FLUX (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)							
		700	30	-60	-700	-200	Chapter 5, avg. 5
SOIL POOL (kg ha⁻¹)							
a) total, rooting zone (50 cm)	8700		3000	19,700	14,900	5250	Freedman et al., 1980.
b) available, rooting zone	75		180	120	350	60	Average hardwood stand
conventional removal, % of total inputs							
whole-tree removal, % of total inputs		37%	76%	48%	31%	10%	
		51	111	66	39	15	
conventional removal, % of net flux							
whole-tree removal, % of net flux		85	253	-540	-113	-35	
		117	370	-735	-139	-51	
whole-tree removal, % of total soil							
conventional removal, % of total soil		6.8	2.5	1.6	5.3	1.3	
whole-tree removal, % of total soil		9.4	3.7	2.2	6.6	1.9	
conventional removal, % of available soil							
whole-tree removal, % of available soil		791	42	270	226	117	
		1089	62	368	279	168	

TABLE 19. Nutrient removals with harvested biomass by intensive harvest techniques, expressed relative to total inputs, net fluxes, and soil pools. Forty-year rotation of a red pine plantation.

Category	Biomass	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	Source
HARVEST REMOVALS (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)							
a) conventional clear-cut	400,000	388	38.3	210	463	86	Alban et al., 1978
b) whole-tree clear-cut	498,000	865	105	438	728	145	
c) complete-tree clear-cut	608,000	1053	125	513	838	180	
INPUTS (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)							
a) precipitation		600	40	175	720	170	Chapter 4a, average
b) weathering		0	60	500	1800	500	Chapter 4c, average
c) N ₂ fixation		1000	-	-	-	-	Chapter 4d, average
d) total inputs		1600	100	670	2520	670	
NET FLUX (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)		700	30	-60	-700	-200	Chapter 5, average
SOIL POOL (kg ha⁻¹)							
a) total, rooting zone (46 cm)		5800	2000	15,000	8100	2800	Freedman et al., 1980;
b) available, rooting zone		70	140	100	190	40	average softwood stand
PERCENTAGES							
conventional removal, % of total inputs		24%	38%	31%	18%	13%	
whole-tree removal, % of total inputs		54	105	65	29	22	
complete-tree removal, % of total inputs		66	125	77	33	27	
conventional removal, % of net flux		55	128	-350	-66	-43	
whole-tree removal, % of net flux		124	350	-730	-104	-73	
complete-tree removal, % of net flux		150	417	-855	-120	-90	
conventional removal, % of total soil		6.7	1.9	1.4	5.7	3.1	
whole-tree removal, % of total soil		15	5.3	3.2	9.0	5.2	
complete-tree removal, % of total soil		18	6.3	3.4	10.3	6.4	
conventional removal, % of available soil		554	27	210	244	214	
whole-tree removal, % of available soil		1330	75	438	383	363	
complete-tree removal, % of available soil		1500	89	513	441	450	

TABLE 20. Nutrient removals with harvested biomass by intensive harvest techniques, expressed relative to total inputs, net fluxes, and soil pools. Fifty-five year rotation of tolerant northern hardwoods.

Category	Biomass	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	Source
HARVEST REMOVALS (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)							
a) conventional clear-cut	75,000	244	20	129	351	34	Whittaker et al., 1979
b) whole-tree clear-cut	110,000	675	64	282	733	69	
c) complete-tree clear-cut	133,000	1004	160	396	916	93	
INPUTS (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)							
a) precipitation		600	40	170	720	170	Chapter 4a, average
b) weathering		0	60	500	1800	500	Chapter 4c, average
c) N ₂ fixation		1000	-	-	-	-	Chapter 4d, average
d) total inputs		1600	100	670	2520	670	
NET FLUX (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)		700	30	-60	-700	-200	Chapter 5, average
SOIL POOL (kg ha⁻¹)							
a) total, rooting zone (50 cm)		8700	3000	19,700	14,900	5250	Freedman et al., 1980;
b) available, rooting zone		75	180	120	350	60	average hardwood stand
PERCENTAGES							
conventional removal, % of total inputs		15%	20%	19%	14%	5%	
whole-tree removal, % of total inputs		42	64	42	29	10	
complete-tree removal, % of total inputs		63	160	59	36	14	
conventional removal, % of net flux		35	150	-215	-50	-17	
whole-tree removal, % of net flux		96	213	-470	-105	-35	
complete-tree removal, % of net flux		143	533	-660	-131	-47	
conventional removal, % of total soil		2.8	0.7	0.7	2.4	0.6	
whole-tree removal, % of total soil		7.8	2.1	1.4	4.9	1.3	
complete-tree removal, % of total soil		11.5	5.3	2.0	6.1	1.8	
conventional removal, % of available soil		325	11	108	100	57	
whole-tree removal, % of available soil		900	36	235	209	115	
complete-tree removal, % of available soil		1339	89	330	262	155	

TABLE 21. Nutrient removals with harvested biomass by intensive harvest techniques, expressed relative to total inputs, net fluxes, and soil pools. All-aged stand of red spruce-balsam fir.

Category	Biomass	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	Source
HARVEST REMOVALS (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)							
a) conventional clear-cut	118,000	120	18.2	76	219	20.4	Freedman et al. 1981
b) whole-tree clear-cut	153,000	239	35.2	133	337	36.9	
INPUTS (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)							
a) precipitation	600	40	40	170	720	170	Chapter 4a, average
b) weathering	0	60	60	500	1800	500	Chapter 4c, average
c) N ₂ fixation	1000	-	-	-	-	-	Chapter 4d, average
d) total inputs	1600	100	100	670	2520	670	
NET FLUX (kg ha⁻¹ 100 yr⁻¹)							
	700	30	30	-60	-700	-200	Chapter 5, average
SOIL POOL (kg ha⁻¹)							
a) total, rooting zone (39 cm)	3760	1280	1280	13,400	5750	1770	Freedman et al. 1981
b) available, rooting zone	47	105	105	73	110	39	
PERCENTAGES							
conventional removal, % of total inputs	8%	18%	18%	11%	9%	3.0%	
whole-tree removal, % of total inputs	15	35	35	20	13	5.5	
conventional removal, % of net flux	17	61	61	-127	-31	-10	
whole-tree removal, % of net flux	34	117	117	-222	-48	-18	
PERCENTAGES OF AVAILABLE SOIL							
conventional removal, % of total soil	3.2	1.4	1.4	0.6	3.8	1.2	
whole-tree removal, % of total soil	6.4	2.8	2.8	1.0	5.9	2.1	
conventional removal, % of available soil	255	17	17	105	199	52	
whole-tree removal, % of available soil	509	34	34	182	306	95	