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#### Abstract

Aquatic Impact Studies by FPMI in Quebec Spruce Budworm Spray Block 305, 1977. By P.D. Kingsbury.

Extensive studies were carried out in a lake and a number of streams treated with two $0.280 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ applications of fenitrothion followed by a $0.070 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ aminocarb treatment applied for spruce budworm, Choristoneura fumiferana Clemens, control. No dramatic adverse effects on lake zooplankton, benthos or fish populations occurred but a significant effect on shallow dwelling baetid mayfly nymphs was indicated. Effects in streams were minimal and were far less than those caused by severe spate conditions. Short lived increases in drift and opportunistic feeding by brook trout, Salvalinus fontinalis Mitchell, were documented, but no significant depletion of bottom fauna was found.


Résumé
Des études poussées ont été effectuées dans un lac et un certain nombre de ruisseaux traités avec deux applications de fénitrothion á raison de $0.280 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$, suivies de $0.070 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{d}$ 'aminocarb pour lutter contre la Tordeuse des bourgeóns de 1'Epinette (Choristoneura fumiferana Clemens). Il ne s'est produit aucun effet adverse sur le zooplancton du lac, ni sur le benthos ou les populations de poissons mais on a observé un effet significatif sur les larves de Baétidés éphémères habitant les eaux peu profondes. Dans les ruisseaux, les effets furent minimes et beaucoup moindres que ceux que causent de sévères crues. L'auteur observa de plus fortes mais éphémères dérives d'insectes, alors que la truite de ruisseau (Salvalinus fontinalis Mitchill) se gava, mais il ne nota aucune diminution ("épuisement") significative de la faune au fond de l'eau.
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Aquatic Impact Studies by FPMI in Quebec Spruce Budworm Spray Block 305, 1977.

P.D. Kingsbury

## I. INTRODUCTION

A severe spruce budworm, Choristoneura fumiferana Clem., outbreak in the Gaspe region of Quebec has presented a substantial hazard to the fir-spruce forests of the area in recent years. In the fall of 1976, budworm egg-mass surveys indicated an extremely high larval population would be present in the spring of 1977 within forests which had already been weakened by severe defoliation in the previous year. Entomologists at the Forest Pest Management Institute, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario (formerly the Chemical Control Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario) were consulted by the provincial agency responsible for forest protection and asked to recommend an insecticide application program which would reduce budworm populations sufficiently to protect the infested forests from severe defoliation, The recommendations given proposed that applications of insecticides at dosage rates above the levels currently registered for spruce budworm control would be required to protect the areas with the highest density of budworm eggmasses (greater than 2000 egg masses $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of foliage). On the basis of this recommendation, the proposed Quebec 1977 spruce budworm spray program included treatment of a 120,960 hectare (298,900 acre) block of the most heavily infested forest with two successive applications
of 0.280 kg fenitrothion/ha ( $4.0 \mathrm{oz} /$ acre) followed by 0.070 kg aminocarb/ha ( $1.0 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{acre}$ ). This exceeds the registered maximum total dosage rate for fenitrothion of $2 \times 0.210 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ by $0.140 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$.

The proposed application of above registered dosages of insecticides was approved by a working group of the Federal Interdepartmental Committee on Pesticides (FICP) under the procedures set out in Trade Memorandum T-104 established under the Pest Control Products Act. In agreeing to allow the use of the proposed applications, the FICP strongly recommended that complete monitoring studies be carried out within the spray areas to determine the effects on aquatic organisms. An extensive aquatic monitoring program was subsequently organized with direct input from three provincial and two federal agencies: Quebec Department of Tourism, Fish and Game, Quebec Department of Natural Resources, Quebec Environmental Protection Service, Inland Waters Division of Fisheries and Environment Canada and the Forest Pest Management Institute,

The monitoring program was carried out within a number of rivers and streams and a single lake, Lac Ste-Anne, located within spray block 305 treated with two $0.280 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ applications of fenitrothion followed by $0.070 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ aminocarb. The lake study incorporated monitoring of water chemistry, insecticide residues, primary production and fertility, zooplankton, benthic fauna and fish populations, with different participating agencies responsible for different aspects.

In light of the large input of resources into this study, Lac Ste-Anne was designated as an experimental spray area and spray application was not shut off over the lake (Fig. 1). This is contrary to


Fig．1．DC－6B applying insecticide directly over Lac Ste－Anne， Quebec in May， 1977.
the normal procedure during operational spraying where insecticide application is cut off over lakes large enough to be avoided without also missing adjacent forest areas.

## II. SITE DESCRIPTIONS

1. Lac Ste-Anne: Lac Ste-Anne is located within the Parc de la Gaspesie at the north-west corner of spray block 305 (Fig. 2). The lake is long ( 4.8 km ) but narrow (maximum width about 0.5 km ) and is divided into a large south basin and a smaller north basin by a narrow ( 40 m ) neck over which the road to Murdochville passes (Fig. 3). Zooplankton, benthic fauna and fish sampling were confined to the north basin and within the shallow neck between basins, as these were the only portions of the lake clear of ice when sampling began.
2. Study Streams: Two streams within block 305 (Ruisseau Lesseps and Riviere Bonaventure Ouest) and an untreated station on a side-branch of Riviere Ste-Anne about 30 km downstream from the block were originally selected to study effects of the treatment on aquatic invertebrates and fish. Flood conditions shortly after the first fenitrothion application necessitated shifting the drift and bottom fauna studies to temporary streams over the period of the second and third treatments. These two streams were just west of Riviere Bonaventure Quest and were given the names Ruisseau Grande Colline and Ruisseau Revognah for the purpose of this study. Two additional study sites were chosen to study fish populations. These were located in the Petite Riviere Cascapedia Ouest and in Riviere Ste-Anne just downstream from the edge of the spray block (referred to as the edge of block station


Fig. 2. Aquatic study sites, Quebec spruce budworm spray block 305, 1977.


Fig. 3. Lac Ste-Anne, Quebec spruce budworm spray block 305, showing sampling stations, 1977.
in this report). All aquatic sampling stations were located close to points of access from the few available all-weather roads.

The streams sampled within block 305 all flow south towards the Baie des Chaleurs and all sampling stations were typical of headwater streams with fast-flowing waters over rock and gravel bottoms. The temporary streams were smaller and somewhat slower flowing than the permanent streams. Riviere Ste-Anne is much larger in size and volume of flow than the other streams studied. It flows north and empties into the St. Lawrence River.

## III. METHODS

## 1. Treatment procedures and deposit measurement

Block 305 was treated with insecticides on three different occasions by DC-6B aircraft using Litton LTN-51 inertial navigation systems to fly parallel swath tracks $914 \mathrm{~m}(3000 \mathrm{ft})$ apart. The insecticide was emitted between 91 and 305 m (300 and 1000 ft ) above the ground in a total volume of $0.8421 / \mathrm{ha}$ ( 0.09 US gal/acre) formulation. Treatment dates and dosage rates of insecticide applied were:

1st application - 20 May AM - 280 g fenitrothion/ha
2nd application - 29 May PM - 280 g fenitrothion/ha
3rd application - 16 June PM - 70 g aminocarb/ha
The formulations applied were as follows:
1st and 2nd applications - fenitrothion - 26.3\%

- Aerotex - 30.9\%
- 非2 fuel oil - $13.4 \%$- 非4 fuel oil - 29.4\%

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { 3rd application } & - \text { aminocarb }-49.6 \% \\
& -\# 2 \text { fuel oil }-26.3 \% \\
& -\# 4 \text { fuel oil }-24.2 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Deposit assessment for the study streams was conducted by setting out aluminum pans and Kromekote cards along the stream banks. The deposit of \#4 fuel oil on the aluminum pans was determined colorimetrically and compared to the concentration in samples of emitted formulation to quantify the amount of formulation deposited. The Kromekote cards were sent to the National Aeronautical Establishment where insecticide deposit on them was determined by a computerized spot-counting system (Slack, 1973). Deposit on Lac Ste-Anne was assessed by other agencies working on the lake.

## 2. Lake studies

### 2.1 Zooplankton: Zooplankton populations in Lac Ste-Anne were sampled with a Schindler-Patalas plankton trap

 (Schindler 1969) with a 154 mesh to the centimeter straining net, which captured all the zooplankton present in 12 litre water samples. On each sampling occasion, samples were taken from the surface, 4 m and 8 m at a 9 m deep station in the north basin of Lac Ste-Anne. The samples were preserved immediately with formaldehyde and later counted and identified in the laboratory by viewing them in a gridded dish under a dissecting microscope.2.2 Benthic Fauna: Bottom fauna populations were sampled from a shallow ( 1 to 3 m ) area of Lac Ste-Anne in the narrow neck of the lake connecting the north and south basins. Samples were taken with an Ekman grab which sampled a $232 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ (36 $\mathrm{in}^{2}$ ) area of bottom. The bottom type sampled consisted of fine silt over a hard base of stones and rocks. Four grab samples were taken on each
sampling date and each was immediately preserved in its entirety with formaldehyde. Benthic organisms were later separated from the substrate in the laboratory with the aid of a "bubbler" (Kingsbury and Beveridge, 1977) and then counted and identified to order or family.
2.3 Fish: Fish populations in Lac Ste-Anne were sampled periodically by leaving gill nets set in the lake overnight. Gangs of gill nets with 30 m sections of various mesh size ranging from 1.3 to $5.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ were run out from points of attachment along the shoreline towards the centre of the lake in the evening, and pulled the following morning. Fish caught in the net were removed and their total length, fork length, weight and sex recorded. A number of different organs and tissue types were then dissected out and frozen for later analysis for fenitrothion residues. The stomach with its contents was bottled separately and preserved with formaldehyde. Back in the laboratory the volume of the stomach contents was measured and their composition determined under a dissecting microscope.

## 3. Stream studies

3.1 Invertebrate Drift: The numbers and kinds of invertebrates drifting downstream with the current were measured over about a six-day period centred around the treatment dates at each sampling station. Drift nets were set for 15 -minute periods each morning and evening with the nets sampling a 46 cm wide portion of the stream's flow from surface to bottom, including the surface film. Additional drift net sets were made on the day of spray application at each treatment station. Water level measurements were
made at the same time drift samples were being taken. All drift net samples were preserved in the field with formaldehyde.
3.2 Bottom fauna populations: Bottom fauna populations at each sampling station were measured
periodically by two methods: Surber sampling and collecting invertebrates from rocks. Four $0.093 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (foot square) Surber samples (Surber, 1936) were taken on each sampling occasion, and at the same time, four rocks, approximately 20 cm in diameter, were collected and the aquatic organisms on them removed and preserved. Surber samples were preserved in their entirety in the field with formaldehyde, and the organisms in them were later separated from the substrate in the lab with the aid of a "bubbler" (Kingsbury and Beveridge, 1977). Benthic organisms collected by both methods were counted and identified to order or family, using the classification of Usinger (1974).
3.3 Fish: Samples of native fish populations from the study streams were collected periodically by using an electroshocker to stun the fish and then capturing them with a dip net. Fish captured were measured and weighed in the field, and their stomachs were removed and preserved with formaldehyde for subsequent analysis of the stomach contents in the laboratory. The volume of the stomach contents of each fish was recorded and their composition determined under a dissecting microscope.
IV. RESULTS

1. Insecticide deposit

The measured deposit of spray formulations on the study streams over all three applications ranged from 17.2 to $55.8 \%$ of the emitted
dosage (Table 1.). A1though the overall deposit of the aminocarb applications on bldck 305 was found to be considerably less than for the two early applications of fenitrothion (Aubin, 1977), the deposit of each spray was quite similar on individual streams. Ruisseau Lesseps appeared to get the heaviest deposit of the study streams and Ruisseau Grande Colline recorded the lowest levels of deposit. Good correlation was found between deposit of the first application measured colorimetrically and by spot counting, although more variability was detected in spot-counting results. Spot counting deposit assessment was not used for the second and third treatments.

## 2. Lake studies

2.1 Zooplankton: Zooplankton populations were present at very low densities in Lac Ste-Anne in the spring of 1977 (Table 2.). Calanoid and cyclopoid copepods were the only groups of zooplankters present in any kind of numbers throughout May and June. Very few cladocerans or rotifers were found in any of the samples taken except for the final samples taken in early July. The paupacity of zooplankton populations in Lac Ste-Anne early in the summer has been previously noted during inventories of the lake carried out by the Quebec Service de la Faune (Laperle, 1964).

Zooplankton populations in Lac Ste-Anne remained fairly constant over the period of insecticide applications (Fig. 4). Twelve hours after the first fenitrothion application ( 20 May, AM) numbers sampled were about twice as high as in pre-spray samples, but these dropped to low numbers two days after treatment and then levelled out at close to pre-spray numbers. Fluctuations of a similar magnitude were found
12.

Table 1

Insecticide deposit measurements from study sites in Block 305, Quebec, 1977

| Application | Stream | \&/ha | \% deposit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```1st``` | Lesseps-colorimetric | $0.24 \pm 0.03$ | 23.0 |
|  | Lesseps-spot counting | $0.30 \pm 0.13$ | 35.6 |
|  | Bonaventure-colorimetric | $0.19 \pm 0.08$ | 22.8 |
|  | Bonaventure-spot counting | $0.21 \pm 0.12$ | 25.3 |
|  | Overall Block 305* | 0.39 | 46.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & \text { (fenitrothion) } \end{aligned}$ | Grande Colline-colorimetric | $0.14 \pm 0.05$ | 17.2 |
|  | Revognah-colorimetric | $0.28 \pm 0.11$ | 33.5 |
|  | Overall Block 305* | 0.42 | 49.5 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 3rd } \\ \text { (aminocarb) } \end{gathered}$ | Lesseps-colorimetric | $0.47 \pm 0.24$ | 55.8 |
|  | Grande Colline-colorimetric | $0.19 \pm 0.04$ | 22.6 |
|  | Revognah-colorimetric | $0.28 \pm 0.06$ | 33.6 |
|  | Overall Block 305* | 0.10 | 11.4 |

* from Aubin, 1977.

Table 2
Combined zooplankton catches fram surface, 4 m and 8 m samples* taken from Lac Ste-Anne, Block 305, Gaspe, 6 May to 2 July, 1977

| Date | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { May } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | June 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { June } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | June $11$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { June } \\ 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 26 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { July } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leptodora | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | $\overline{3}$ | 1 |
| Daphnia | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Bosmina | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | - |  | - | - | 15 |
| Diaphanosama | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 14 |
| Holopedium | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Clodocera | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 61 |
| Calanoid copepods | 20 | 6 | 11 | 35 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 44 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Cyclopoid copepods | 13 | 27 | 16 | 38 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 41 | 25 | 11 | 16 | 11 | 14 | 38 | 34 93 | 186 |
| Nauplii | 20 | 5 | 19 | 31 | 11 | 33 | 15 | 16 | 42 | 18 | 26 | 61 | 65 | 93 |  |  |
| Total Copepoda | 53 | 38 | 46 | 104 | 21 | 53 | 50 | 101 | 80 | 39 | 53 | 84 | 111 | 172 | 144 | 377 |
| Kellicotia | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 21 |
| Asplanchna | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| Total Rotifera | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 21 |
| Acari | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Total zooplankton | 54 | 38 | 46 | 104 | 21 | 56 | 52 | 101 | 81 | 40 | 55 | 86 | 112 | 176 | 147 | 459 |

[^0]

Fig. 4. Zooplankton populations in Lac Ste-Anne, May 6 to July 2, 1977.
following the second fenitrothion treatment (29 May, PM). These fluctuations are well within the normal variability found when sampling zooplanktoh numbers in lakes and can not be attributed to effects of the insecticide applications. Zooplankton numbers showed a substantial increase in numbers following the aminocarb application (16 June, PM). This was characterized not only by a build up of copepod populations, but by the appearance of a number of types of cladocerans and moderately large numbers of rotifers in early July. This buildup of zooplankton populations was probably a response to turnover of the lake waters and resulting increases in phytoplankton populations. It indicates that normal conditions prevailed among the zooplankton community in spite of exposure to the insecticide applications.
2.2 Benthic fauna: The shallow portion of Lac Ste-Anne from which Ekman grab samples were taken supported a very rich benthic fauna (Appendix B, Table 1) consisting primarily of midge larvae (Diptera: Chironomidae), amphipods (Amphipoda) and fingernail claims (Gastropoda: Sphaeridae). Other aquatic insect groups consistently present in small numbers were alderfly larvae, Sialis sp. (Megaloptera: Sialidae), and biting midge larvae, Culicoides sp. (Diptera: Heleidae). Baetid mayfly nymphs (Ephemeroptera: Baetidae) were consistently found in moderate numbers until early June when they disappeared completely from samples.

Bottom fauna populations in Lac Ste-Anne showed a general increase in numbers over the treatment period with large increases and declines superimposed over this general trend (Fig. 5). These short term fluctuations resulted from large differences in the numbers of midge larvae collected in different samples and can be primarily attributed


Fig. 5. Bottam fauna populations in Lac Ste-Anne, May 5 to July 2, 1977
to normal sampling variability associated with sampling very dense populations of tiny organisms. The only group of benthic organisms showing a consistent decline in numbers at the time of the insecticide applications was baetid mayfly nymphs. These were present at about pre-spray levels three days after the first fenitrothion application, but had declined noticeably by the time of the second fenitrothion application and disappeared completely one week later. Scuba searches following the first and second fenitrothion application did not reveal any dead aquatic invertebrates but considerable activity was noted among mayfly nymphs and caddisfly larvae.
2.3 Fish: Variable fishing success was achieved with gill nets set
in Lac Ste-Anne over the period of insecticide treatment. Gill nets were first set on the evening of 5 May when only a very small portion of the north basin was free of ice. Over the prespray period, ten overnite gill net sets and two all day sets captured only ten brook trout, Salvelinus fontinalis Mitche11, and five lake trout, Salvelinus namaycush (Walbaum) (Appendix 3, Table 1). Between the first and second fenitrothion sprays eight brook trout and thirteen lake trout were caught in five overnite and five all day gill net sets. During this period the lake became free of ice and gill netting activities were moved into the south basin of the lake. This resulted in an increase in the catch of lake trout but less success in capturing brook trout. Twenty-seven lake trout and only five brook trout were caught in four overnite and three all day gill net sets following the second fenitrothion application.

Lake trout in Lac Ste-Anne were feeding almost exclusively on fish (brook trout) and amphipods prior to the first insecticide application (Table C-2). Their diet changed progressively to one primarily consisting of a variety of aquatic insects (chironomid larvae and pupae, caddisfly larvae, mayfly nymphs and alderfly larvae) over the period of the two fenitrothion applications. The mean volume of food present per lake trout stomach remained fairly constant over the sampling period indicating no abnormal increases or decreases in feeding. Brook trout fed primarily on amphipods over the sampling period except following the first fenitrothion application when feeding on baetid mayfly nymphs and caddisfly larvae increased sharply (Table C-3). This was reflected in a substantial increase in the mean volume of food present per brook trout stomach at this time. This indicates that mayfly nymphs and caddisfly larvae were affected to some extent by the fenitrothion treatment and rendered more susceptible to predation by fish as a result. This conclusion is supported by the observations of high levels of activity after fenitrothion spraying among these groups. The subsequent disappearance of baetid mayfly nymphs from bottom samples and decrease in their occurance in brook trout stomachs to low levels following the second fenitrothion application suggests that the effect on this group was significant. Despite this, they showed increased occurrance and importance in the diet of lake trout at this time. This may be because lake trout were beginning to feed deeper in the lake than brook trout and were feeding on deeper dwelling populations of baetid mayfly nymphs which hadn't been affected by the insecticide applications to the extent of the
shallow dwelling populations fed on by brook trout. The presence of such a deep dwelling mayfly nymph population is confirmed by scuba observations of large numbers of individuals on the bottom of the north basin of Lac Ste-Anne at depths of up to 10 m .

## 3. Stream studies

3.1 Invertebrate drift: Large increases in stream water levels over the period around the first fenitrothion application (Appendix A, Table 1) resulted in fluctuations in drift net catches and made drift sampling impossible shortly after the spray (Tables A-1 to 4). An initial increase in stream flow three days before treatment dislodged large numbers of stonefly nymphs (Plecoptera) and mayfly nymphs in Ruisseau Lesseps (Fig. 6) and smaller numbers of primarily stonefly nymphs in Ruisseau Bonaventure Ouest (Fig. 7). Effects of this spate in the control stream were much less noticeable, apparently because the increase in water levels was more gradual and it was a deeper stream to begin with. Shortly after the fenitrothion treatment very large numbers of blackfly larvae (Diptera: Simuliidae) were captured in drift net sets in Ruisseau Lesseps, apparently due to the insecticide treatment. These numbers tapered off over the next forty-eight hours following which rapidly rising water levels increased the numbers of drifting insects of all orders and further sampling became impossible. Only small increases in drifting insects were evident in Ruisseau Bonaventure Ouest immediately after the fenitrothion treatment until increasing water levels increased the drift of all aquatic insect groups and again brought an end to sampling.

For the second application of fenitrothion, drift sampling was carried out in small, temporary streams which gradually decreased in flow over the sampling period (Table A-5). These streams gave much smaller drift net catches (Tables A-6 and 7) than those studied during the first application. A very small increase in the drift of blackfly larvae after treatment was detected in Ruisseau Grande Colline (Fig. 8). A small but very short lived increase in the drift of stonefly nymphs was found in Ruisseau Revognah (Fig, 9).

Stream water levels were still decreasing at the time of the aminocarb application (Table A-8). Very small, short lived increases in the drift of aquatic organisms were recorded immediately after treatment in all three streams studied (Figs. 10 to 12 , Tables A-10 to 12). These small increases were obscrved among mayfly nymphs (Ephemeroptera), blackfly larvae, midge larvae (Diptera: Chironomidae) and stonefly nymphs.

> 3.2 Bottom fauna: The severe spate conditions associated with spring runoff in the spring of 1977 resulted in low bottom fauna populations being present in surber samples and rock collections taken from the control stream, Riviere Ste-Anne, throughout the summer (Appendix B, Tables 2 nd 3 ). Similar patterns in benthic fauna populations were found in Ruisseau Lesseps and Riviere Bonaventure Ouest (Figs 13 and 14, Tables $8-4$ to 7) excep: that large blackfly larvae and pupae populations built up on rocks in Ruisseau Lesseps towards the end of the summer. Benthic fauna populations in the two temporary streams studied werc relatively stable over the
period of the last two insecticide applications and demonstrate no substantial changes related to the treatments (Figs. 15 and 16 , Tables B8 to 11).
3.3 Fish: Brook trout at the untreated control station, Riviere Ste-Anne, fed on a fairly limited number of groups of aquatic insects with caddisfly larvae and various dipteran larvae important in the prespray period and mayfly nymphs and terestrial anthropods more important in late June (Appendix C, Tables 4 to 7 ). Brook trout in Riviere SteAnne at the edge of block station had a more stable and diverse diet of mayfly nymphs, stonefly nymphs, caddisfly larvae and dipteran larvae throughout May and June (Tables C8 to 11). A small increase in the utilization of blackfly larvae was noticeable the day after the first fenitrothion application on Block 305.

Increases in the number of food items consumed by brook trout in Ruisseau Lesseps were evident following the second fenitrothion treatment and were reflected in an increase in the mean volume of stomach contents (Tables C12 to 15). This was particularily noticeable for consumption of mayfly nymphs, stonefly nymphs, blackfly larvae and midge larvae. This effect declined rapidly over the first three days after treatment. In Riviere Bonaventure Ouest, some increased feeding on caddisfly larvae is suggested following the first fenitrothion treatment (Tables C16 to 19). An extensive series of brook trout stomachs sampled from Petite riviere Cascapedia Ouest following the first fenitrothion application show increased utilization of stonefly nymphs right after treatment but little overall effect on the quantity of food consumed (Tables C20 to 23).


Fig. 6. Aquatic invertebrates caught in 15 minute drift net sets in Ruisseau Lesseps and the untreated control station, Rivière Ste-Anne, around the date of the first fenitrothion application.


Fig. 7. Aquatic invertebrates caught in 15 minute drift net sets in Ruisseau Bonaventure Ouest and at the untreated control station, Riviere Ste-Anne, around the date of the first fenitrothion application.


Fig. 8. Aquatic invertebrates caught in 15 minute drift net sets in Ruisseau Grande Colline around the date of the second fenitrothion application.



Fig. 10. Aquatic invertebrates caught in 15 minute drift net sets in Ruisseau Lesseps and at the untreated control station, Riviëre Ste-Anne, around the date of the aminocarb application.


Fig. 11. Aquatic invertebrates caught in 15 minute drift net sets in Ruisseau Grande Colline around the date of the aminocarb application.


Fig. 12. Aquatic invertebrates caught in 15 minute drift net sets in Ruisseau Revognah around the date of the aminocarb application.


Fig. 13. Mean numbers of aquatic invertebrates collected in Surber samples from two treated streams, Ruisseau Lesseps and Rivière Bonaventure Ouest, and the untreated control stream, Rivière Ste-Anne, in 1977.


Fig. 14. Mean numbers of aquatic invertebrates collected from rocks from two treated streams, Ruisseau Lesseps and Rivière Bonaventure Ouest, and the untreated control stream, Rivière Ste-Anne, in 1977.


Fig. 15. Mean numbers of aquatic invertebrates collected in Surber samples from Ruisseau Revognah and Ruisseau Grande Colline in 1977.


## v. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The three insecticide applications to Lac Ste-Anne did not have dramatic adverse effects on resident zooplankton, benthos or fish populations. A significant effect of the fenitrothion treatments on shallow dwelling baetid mayfly nymphs is indicated, as is opportunistic feeding on affected mayfly nymphs and caddisfly larvae by resident trout populations. Deep dwelling mayfly nymph populations did not appear to be affected.

Severe spate conditions in streams in block 305 had far greater effects on aquatic fauna than the insecticide applications. Short lived disruption of aquatic insect populations was apparent from increases in the numbers of some groups collected in drift nets after each of the three treatments. Fenitrothion had the greatest effect on blackfly larvae and also affected stonefly nymphs. Effects of aminocarb were not as great but this may be partly due to decreased stream flow and seasonal differences in bottom fauna populations at the time of the aminocarb application. There were no indications that any of the increases in invertebrate drift resulted in significant depletion of bottom fauna populations. Some short-lived increases in the utilization of aquatic insects by brook trout were apparent following the fenitrothion treatments.

In summary, it can be concluded that the insecticide treatments applied to block 305 had no substantial short term impact on aquatic fauna.
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VIII. APPENDICES

## APPENDIX 'A'

## Stream water levels and drift net catches

```
Table A-1
Stream water levels*, first fenitrothion application**
Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec
```

| Days before or after insecticide application | -4am -4 pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rivière Ste-Anne (untreated control) | 71 | 73 | 77 | 86 | 94 | 93 | 88 | 89.5 | 91 | 97 | 101 | 110 | - |
| Ruisseau Lesseps | 67 | 67 | 68 | 77 | 83 | 81 | 85 | 88 | 88 | 93 | 91 | 102 | 103 |
| Rivière Bonaventure Ouest | 52.5 | 53 | 54.5 | 63 | 67 | 71 | 71 | 72 | 72.5 | 77.5 | 80 | 83 | 86 |

[^1]** 4:30 to 6:00 am, 20 May 1977.

Table A-2
Drift net catches*, Rivière Ste-Anne, untreated control stream, first fenitrothion application** Gaspe, Quebec

| Days Before or After |
| :--- |
| Insecticide Application $-4 a m-4 \mathrm{pm}-3 \mathrm{am}-3 \mathrm{pm}-2 \mathrm{am}-2 \mathrm{am}-1 \mathrm{am}-1 \mathrm{pm}-0$ am $+0 \mathrm{am}+0 \mathrm{pm}+1 \mathrm{am}+1 \mathrm{pm}$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | - | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | - | - | $\overline{1}$ | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Plecoptera : Baetidae | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 3 | - |
| Trichoptera | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Coleoptera:Hydrophilidae | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| :Elmidae | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| :Blephariceridae | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :Simuliidae | - | - | - | - | 17 | 6 | 19 | 15 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 47 | 12 |
| :Chironomidae | 4 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 19 | 15 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| :Rhagionidae | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
| :Empididae | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |  | - |
| Nematoda | - | - | - | 5 |  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | - | 6 |
| Oligochaeta | - | 1 | - | 5 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 |  | - | - | _ | 1 |
| Gastropoda | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Aquatic Organisms | 4 | 2 | 6 | 18 | 33 | 10 | 30 | 29 | 12 | 21 | 10 | 57 | 26 |
| Terrestrial Organisms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diplopoda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Lepidoptera | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 |
| Diptera | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Hymenoptera | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Total Terrestrial Organisms | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 3 |

* 15 minute net sets
** 4:30 to 6:00 am, 20 May 1977

Table A-3

Drift net catches*, Ruisseau Lesseps, first fenitrothion application**
Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec

| Days Before or AfterInsecticide Application $-4 \mathrm{pm}-3 \mathrm{am}-3 \mathrm{pm}-2 \mathrm{am}-2 \mathrm{pm}-1 \mathrm{am}-1 \mathrm{pm}+5 \mathrm{~min}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}+1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}+2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}+4 \mathrm{h***}+0 \mathrm{pm}+1 \mathrm{am}+1 \mathrm{pm}+2 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Organisms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | 8 | 6 | 163 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 23 | 34 | 20 | 10 | - | 3 | 32 | 11 | 39 |
| :Baetidae | 56 | 35 | 892 | 46 | 24 | 28 | 33 | 49 | 50 | 38 | 30 | 43 | 13 | 51 | 21 | 84 |
| Plecoptera | 17 | 14 | 899 | 6 | 15 | 12 | 23 | 86 | 51 | 21 | 15 | 6 | 18 | 57 | 19 | 63 |
| Trichoptera | 1 | - | 13 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 8 | 9 | 30 | 12 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 12 |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | - | - | 26 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| :Simuliidae | 8 | 26 | 107 | 75 | 35 | 112 | 61 | 157 | 116 | 300 | 891 | 6500 | 451 | 556 | 58 | 285 |
| :Chironomidae | 18 | 6 | 116 | 27 | 30 | 27 | 39 | 95 | 100 | 40 | 42 | 12 | 46 | 213 | 75 | 648 |
| : Heleidae | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | - | - | 2 | 6 | - | 1 | - | 12 |
| :Rhagionidae | - | - | 34 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| :Empididae | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| : Unknown | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 6 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 4 | 1 | 12 |
| Nematoda | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oligochaeta | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | 6 |
| Hydracarina | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Gastropoda | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Aquatic Organisms | 110 | 87 | 2259 | 181 | 130 | 190 | 175 | 452 | 371 | 433 | 1022 | 6579 | 539 | 933 | 193 | 1164 |
| Terrestrial Organisms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arachnida | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Collembola | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | _ | - | - |
| Plecoptera | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Coleoptera | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Lepidoptera | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| Diptera | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Total Terrestrial Organisms | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 12 |

Drift net catches*, Rivière Bonaventure Ouest, first fenitrothion application**
Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec

| Days Before or After Insecticide Application |  | $-3 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 am |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Organisms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | 2 | 9 | - | - | - | 1 | 9 | - | 1 | 3 | 15 | 16 | 7 | 6 | 21 |
| :Baetidae | 2 | 32 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 39 |
| Plecoptera | 6 | 194 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 34 | 4 | 15 | 72 |
| Trichoptera | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 18 |
| Coleoptera:Dytiscidae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | I | 1 | - | 3 |
| :Hydrophilidae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | - | - | - | I | I | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 14 | - | 1 | 9 |
| :Simuliidae | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 17 | $\overline{5}$ | - | 36 | 10 | 21 | 153 |
| :Chironomidae | 7 | 26 | 19 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 5 |  | 36 | 10 | 21 | 15 |
| :Stratiomyidae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| :Heleidae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  | 3 |
| :Rhagionidae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | _ | - | _ | 3 |
| :Empididae | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 3 |
| Oligochaeta | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Hydracarina | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Aquatic Organisms | 18 | 271 | 24 | 20 | 6 | 11 | 45 | 21 | 33 | 26 | 36 | 120 | 31 | 48 | 333 |
| Terrestrial Organisms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arachnida | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 6 |
| Collembolla | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Plecoptera | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 1 |  | 1 | 3 |
| Thysanoptera | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | - | - |
| Coleoptera |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | - | 6 |
| Lepidoptera | - | - | 1 |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | - | 6 |
| Diptera | 1 | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | _ | 3 |  | _ | 3 |
| Hymenoptera | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Terrestrial Orgamisms | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 27 |

[^2]** 4:30 to 6:00 am, 20 May 1977
Oligochaeta

Terrestrial Organisms
Arachnida

Table A-5
Stream water levels*, second fenitrothion application** Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec

| Days before or after insecticide application | -4 pm - $3 \mathrm{am}-3 \mathrm{pm}-2 \mathrm{am}-2 \mathrm{pm}-1 \mathrm{am}-1 \mathrm{pm}-0 a m-0 \mathrm{pm}+1 \mathrm{am}+1 \mathrm{pm}+2 \mathrm{am}+2 \mathrm{pm}+3 \mathrm{am}+3 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ruisseau Grande Colline | 44 | 37 | 28 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 13 |
| Ruisseau Revognah | 55.5 | 49.5 | 42 | 33 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 23.5 | 29 | 25 | 37 |

ث
** 1930 to 2015 pm, 29 May 1977

Table A-6
Drift net catches*, Ruisseau Grande Colline, second fenitrothion application** Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec

| Days Before or After |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 pm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Organisms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Baetidae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :Simuliidae | 1 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 16 | 10 | 3 |
| : Chironomidae | 11 | 47 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 7 |
| : Empididae | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :Unknown | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Oligochaeta | 6 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - |
| Hydracarina | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Gastropoda | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Aquatic Organisms | 19 | 78 | 13 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 4 | 32 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 14 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 10 |
| Terrestrial Organisms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arachnida | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Diplopoda | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Collembolla | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lepidoptera | 5 | 2 | - | 2 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 13 | 17 | 4 |
| Diptera | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Total Terrestrial Organisms | 11 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 20 | 8 |

Table A-7
Drift net catches*, Ruisseau Revognah, second fenitrothion application**
Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec
Days Before or After
Insecticide Application $-3 a m-3 \mathrm{pm}-2 \mathrm{am}-2 \mathrm{pm}-1 \mathrm{am}-1 \mathrm{pm}-0 \mathrm{am}-0 \mathrm{am}+5 \mathrm{~min}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}+1 \mathrm{~h}+1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}+2 \mathrm{~h}+1 \mathrm{am}+1 \mathrm{pm}+2 \mathrm{am}+2 \mathrm{am}+3 \mathrm{am}+3 \mathrm{am} \mathrm{m}$


## Table A-8

Stream water levels*, aminocarb application**
Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec


Table A-9
Drift net catches*, Rivière Ste-Anne, untreated control stream, aminocarb application**
Gaspé, Quebec

| Days Before or After Insecticide Application | -2 pm | -1 pm | -0 am | -0 pm | +1 am | $+1 \mathrm{pm}$ | +2 am | +2 pm | +3 am |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Organisms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | 6 | 20 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 3 | - | 3 |
| : Baetidae | 29 | 13 | 14 | 5 | 19 | 54 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| Plecoptera | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Tricoptera | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Coleoptera:Elmidae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| :Blephariceridae | 3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| :Simuliidae | 5 | 3 | 6 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| :Chironomidae | 16 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| : Empididae | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| : Unknown | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oligochaeta | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hydracarina | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Aquatic Organisms | 67 | 56 | 47 | 21 | 44 | 92 | 15 | 7 | 12 |
| 'Terrestrial Organisms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arachnida | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Ephemeroptera | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lepidoptera | 25 | 21 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 1 | - | - |
| Diptera | 7 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 12 | - | 4 | 1 |
| Hymenoptera | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Terrestrial Organisms | 34 | 33 | 8 | 7 | 13 | 24 | 1 | 4 | 2 |

Table A-10
Drift net catches*, Ruisseau Lesseps, aminocarb application**, Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec


[^3]** 1950 to 2030 pm, 16 June 1977

Table A-11

Drift net catches*, Ruisseau Grande Colline, aminocarb application**
Block 305, Gaspé, Nuebec


Terrestrial Organisms

| Arachinida | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diplopoda | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Collembola | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Coleoptera | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| Lepidoptera | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Diptera | 1 | 1 | 16 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 10 | 1 | - | 5 | - |
| Total Terrestrial Organisms | 1 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 |

[^4]** 1950 to $2030 \mathrm{pm}, 16$ June 1977

Table A-12
Drift net catches*, Puisseau Pevognah, aminocarb application**
Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec


[^5]** 1950 to 2030 pm, 16 June 1977

## APPENDIX 'B'

## Bottom Fauna populations

Table B-1
Bottom fauna populations* in Lac Ste-Anne, Block 305
Gaspé, May 5 to July 2, 1977

| Date | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | June 11 | June 15 | June 19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean depth (m) | 1.46 | 1.00 | 1.31 | 2.75 | 1.85 | 1.80 | 2.00 | 1.80 | 1.75 | 1.15 | 1.20 | 1.20 |
| Ephemeroptera: Baetidae | 2.8 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Odonata: Libellulidae | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| : Aeshnidae | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Plecoptera | - | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Megaloptera: Sialidae | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 4.2 |
| Trichoptera | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | - | 1.0 | - | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Diptera: Chironomidae larvae | 72.2 | 17.0 | 30.5 | 70.0 | 278.0 | 203.8 | 69.0 | 139.5 | 121.8 | 77.2 | 124.2 | 145.2 |
| : Chironomidae pupae | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 43.0 | 11.8 |
| : Heleiidae | - | 0.2 | - | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 12.0 |
| Nematoda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 |
| Oligochaeta | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| Amphipoda | 13.8 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 18.5 | 50.0 | 38.5 | 23.2 | 25.8 | 45.8 | 24.2 | 37.5 | 24.2 |
| Hydracarina | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Gastropoda: Sphaeridae | 13.5 | 10.2 | 30.2 | 24.8 | 49.8 | 54.8 | 20.0 | 21.2 | 26.8 | 11.8 | 46.2 | 83.0 |
| Total | 103.5 | 55.2 | 82.5 | 117.0 | 385.0 | 304.0 | 114.2 | 194.8 | 199.0 | 119.8 | 264.2 | 282.8 |

* mean numbers collected in four $232 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ Ekman grab samples.

Table B-2
Bottom fauna populations* in Rivière Ste-Anne, untreated control stream,
6 May to 10 August, 1977, Gaspé, Quebec

|  | 6 May | 12 May | 16 May | 14 June | 19 June | 26 June | 2 July | 10 Aug. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | $3.0 \pm 1.8$ | $1.0 \pm 0.8$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | C. $8 \pm 1.0$ | $6.8 \pm 5.0$ | - ${ }^{-}$ |
| : Baetidae | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $1.0 \pm 0.8$ | $2.2 \pm 1.5$ | $5.2 \pm 4.3$ | $1.5 \pm 1.1$ | $1.8 \pm 1.2$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| Plecoptera | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.8 \pm 0.5$ | $2.2 \pm 1.9$ | - | - | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | - | $1.2 \pm 1.2$ |
| Megaloptera:Sialidae | - | - | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trichoptera | $1.0 \pm 1.4$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | $2.2 \pm 2.1$ | $1.0 \pm 1.4$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Coleoptera: Dytiscidae <br> :Elmidae | 0.8 ${ }^{-}$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $1.0 \pm 0.8$ |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | 0.2 ${ }^{-}$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $2.3 \pm 1.0$ | $3.0 \pm 1.4$ | $1.8 \pm 1.0$ $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $2.2 \pm 2.6$ | $0.8 \pm 1.5$ |
| :Chirononidae | $2.0 \pm 1.8$ | $21.5 \pm 168$ | $21.8 \pm 19.1$ | $1.0 \pm 0.6$ | $4.5 \pm 4.8$ | $1.2 \pm 1.0$ | $1.5 \pm 2.4$ | $1.2 \pm 1.0$ |
| :Heleidae | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $4.0 \pm 2.9$ | $4.8 \pm 3.9$ | - | $0.2=0.5$ | - |  | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| : Empididae | - | $1.8 \pm 1.2$ | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.5 \pm$ ¢.5 | - | - | $2.0 \pm 3.4$ |
| Turbellaria |  | $\because \therefore 1.0$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Oligochaeta | $\therefore$ ? | $4.3-7$ | j7.8 | $1.0 \pm 1.4$ | $1.0 \pm 2.0$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $1.0 \pm 1.4$ | - |
| Gastropria | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Total | . 3 ) 5.2 | $29.8+8.8$ | $50.0 \pm 27.4$ | $8.5 \pm 1.4$ | $17.2 \pm 11.1$ | $7.5 \pm 2.6$ | $14.0 \pm 5.9$ | $8.0 \pm 4.1$ |

[^6]Table B-3
Aquatic invertebrates* collected from rocks taken from Rivière Ste-Anne, untreated control stream,
7 May to 10 August, 1977, Gaspé, Quebec.

|  | 6 May | 12 May | 16 May | 14 June | 19 June | 26 June | 2 July | 10 Aug. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | $3.0 \pm 1.6$ | - | $2.8 \pm 4.9$ | $6.8 \pm 15.9$ | $2.8 \pm 4.2$ | $4.2 \pm 1.7$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| :Baetidae | $1.0 \pm 1.2$ | - | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $22.2 \pm 15.6$ | $1.0 \pm 2.0$ | $7.0 \pm 9.4$ | $1.0 \pm 1.2$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| Plecoptera | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trichoptera:larvae | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | - | - |
| :pupae | $2.5 \pm 2.5$ | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $1.2 \pm 1.2$ |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | - |
| :Blephariceridae | $0.8 \pm 1.5$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :Simuliidae | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | - - | - ${ }^{-}$ |
| :Chironomidae | $22.2 \pm 13.7$ | $35.8 \pm 32.4$ | $90.0 \pm 44.8$ | $2.0 \pm 2.4$ | $1.2 \pm 1.4$ | $3.5 \pm 3.7$ | $3.8 \pm 3.5$ | $2.0 \pm 1.2$ |
| :Heleidae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $2.2 \pm 1.5$ |
| :Empididae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Turbellaria | - | - | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - |
| Hydracarina | - | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.8 \pm 1.5$ |
| Gastropoda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Total | $30.0 \pm 15.9$ | $36.0 \pm 32.1$ | $93.8 \pm 47.9$ | $32.0 \pm 24.4$ | $5.2 \pm 7.9$ | $16.5 \pm 12.4$ | $5.5 \pm 2.6$ | $7.5 \pm 3.1$ |

* Mean numbers and standard deviations of organisms collected from four rocks approximately 20 am in diameter.

Table B-4
Bottam fauna populations* in Ruisseau Lesseps, 5 May to 10 August, 1977
Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec


[^7]Table B-5
Aquatic invertebrates* collected from rocks taken from Ruisseau Lesseps, 6 May to 10 August, 1977
Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec.

|  | 6 May | 13 May | 16 May | 22 May | 2 June | 13 June | 19 June | 26 June | 2 July | 10 Aug. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ephemeroptera: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heptagenidae | $5.8 \pm 5.0$ | $1.5 \pm 1.7$ | $3.5 \pm 1.7$ | $3.5 \pm 6.4$ | - | $7.5 \pm 4.4$ | $2.5 \pm 4.4$ | $2.5 \pm 4.4$ | $3.0 \pm 2.2$ | $6.0 \pm 2.9$ |
| Baetidae | $9.8 \pm 6.2$ | $7.5 \pm 4.6$ | $7.0 \pm 8.1$ | $20.5 \pm 29.3$ | $1.2 \pm 1.5$ | $16.0 \pm 14.0$ | $5.5 \pm 4.6$ | $6.2 \pm 7.8$ | $5.2 \pm 2.2$ | $5.5 \pm 7.8$ |
| Plecoptera | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - |
| Trichoptera: larvae | $3.0 \pm 3.2$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.8 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| pupae | $2.5 \pm 4.4$ | - | $0.8 \pm 1.5$ | $2.5 \pm 2.1$ | $10.2 \pm 12.6$ | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | $4.0 \pm 8.0$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |  | $\pm$ |
| Iepidoptera: Pyralidae | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Diptera: Simuliidae: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| larvae pupae | - | - | $0.8 \pm 1.5$ | $2.2 \pm 2.2$ | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | $12.8 \pm 16.4$ | $11.0 \pm 12.8$ | $1.2 \pm 1.9$ | $77.0 \pm 90.6$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86.5 \pm 153.5 \\ 179.8 \pm 349.5 \end{array}$ |
| Chironomidae: larvae pupae | $10.0 \pm 5.4$ | $3.2 \pm 2.5$ | $12.0 \pm 5.5$ | $46.2 \pm 38.1$ | $6.0 \pm 6.0$ | $32.0 \pm 31.3$ | $24.0 \pm 26.5$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.2 \pm 26.5 \\ 3.5 \pm 5.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.8 \pm 10.8 \\ & 10.8 \pm 10.0 \end{aligned}$ | $40.0 \pm 26.2$ |
| Oligochaeta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Hydracarina | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - |
| Total | $31.8 \pm 19.1$ | $12.5 \pm 5.2$ | $24.2 \pm 15.8$ | $75.8 \pm 46.3$ | $18.5 \pm 8.9$ | $69.0 \pm 41.9$ | $47.0 \pm 46.1$ | $49.5 \pm 39.5$ | $118.2 \pm 78.1$ | $318.2 \pm 530.7$ |

[^8]Table B-6
Bottam fauna populations* in Rivière Bonaventure Ouest, 5 May to 10 August, 1977
Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec

|  | 5 May | 12 May | 16 May | 14 June | 19 June | 26 June | 2 July | 10 Aug. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ephemeroptera: Heptagenidae | $2.5 \pm 3.8$ | $1.0 \pm 0.8$ | $1.8 \pm 1.0$ | $10.5 \pm 4.7$ | $6.2 \pm 1.0$ | $18.2 \pm 4.0$ | $11.0 \pm 1.8$ | $7.2 \pm 4.5$ |
| :Baetidae | $2.0 \pm 3.4$ | $4.8 \pm 2.9$ | $5.8 \pm 1.2$ | $0.8 \pm 1.5$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $4.8 \pm 3.2$ | $2.5 \pm 2.4$ | $2.0 \pm 1.2$ |
| Plecoptera | $3.0 \pm 2.2$ | $2.8 \pm 3.1$ | $1.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $3.5 \pm 2.6$ | $2.0 \pm 1.4$ | $2.5 \pm 1.9$ |
| Megaloptera:Sialidae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Trichoptera | $4.0 \pm 3.2$ | $2.2 \pm 2.2$ | $1.5 \pm 1.9$ | $2.0 \pm 0.8$ | $4.0 \pm 2.2$ | $5.5 \pm 3.1$ | $4.0 \pm 2.4$ | $5.0 \pm 4.5$ |
| Coleoptera:Elmidae | - | - | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $1.0 \pm 0.8$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | - |
| :Simuliidae | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - |
| :Chironomidae | $4.8 \pm 8.8$ | $5.5 \pm 5.8$ | $4.2 \pm 7.8$ | $0.8 \pm 1.5$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $3.8 \pm 4.5$ | $2.0 \pm 1.8$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| :Rhagionidae <br> :Empididae | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | 0.2 $\quad \pm 0.5$ |
| Turbellaria | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | - | - |
| Nematoda | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | - | - |
| Oligochaeta | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Gastropoda | - |  | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - |
| Total | $17.5 \pm 16.7$ | $16.5 \pm 9.1$ | $15.8 \pm 11.0$ | $15.5 \pm 6.8$ | $13.0 \pm 4.1$ | $37.5 \pm 10.9$ | $22.5 \pm 1.7$ | $17.8 \pm 8.5$ |

[^9]
## Table B-7

Aquatic invertebrates* collected from rocks taken fram Rivière Bonaventure Ouest, 5 May to 10 August, 1977
Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec.

|  | 5 May | 12 May | 16 May | 22 May | 14 June | 19 June | 26 June | 2 July | Aug. 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ephemeroptera: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heptagenidae | $4.5 \pm 4.0$ | $4.2 \pm 3.4$ | $1.5 \pm 0.6$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $6.5 \pm 1.9$ | $6.5 \pm 3.7$ | $5.2 \pm 4.6$ | $9.8 \pm 5.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Baetidae | $1.0 \pm 1.4$ | $2.8 \pm 3.6$ | $5.0 \pm 4.5$ | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | $4.0 \pm 3.6$ | $7.0 \pm 10.1$ | $5.0 \pm 8.1$ | $6.8 \pm 4.0$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Plecoptera | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $2.0 \pm 2.7$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $2.0 \pm 2.8$ |
| Trichoptera |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| larvae | $1.0 \pm 1.4$ | $2.5 \pm 2.6$ | $7.5 \pm 9.9$ | $2.8 \pm 4.9$ | $0.8 \pm 0.5$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $3.5 \pm 3.3$ | $3.5 \pm 2.1$ | $1.5 \pm 1.9$ |
| pupae | $3.0 \pm 4.0$ | $1.2 \pm 1.5$ | - | $3.8 \pm 5.2$ | $1.2 \pm 1.5$ | $1.5 \pm 3.0$ | $9.0 \pm 3.7$ | $7.0 \pm 4.8$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Diptera: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tipulidae | 2.0 | - ${ }^{-}$- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1. 1 1.9 | - 2.8 | - ${ }^{-}$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| Simuliidae | $2.0 \pm 1.8$ | $4.2 \pm 4.3$ | $1.2 \pm 1.9$ | $2.8 \pm 2.8$ | $3.2 \pm 5.2$ | $2.2 \pm 2.1$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| Chironomidae | $3.8 \pm 4.3$ | $8.5 \pm 14.4$ | $74.5 \pm 87.7$ | $6.0 \pm 3.6$ | $28.0 \pm 19.7$ | $11.5 \pm 10.7$ | $17.0 \pm 11.0$ | $6.2 \pm 5.1$ | $17.5 \pm 10.2$ |
| Hydracarina | - | - | $4.2 \pm 8.5$ | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - |
| Total | $15.5 \pm 11.4$ | $23.5 \pm 24.5$ | $96.0 \pm 99.4$ | $16.8 \pm 7.3$ | $43.8 \pm 27.9$ | $29.5 \pm 22.5$ | $40.2 \pm 7.3$ | $33.5 \pm 11.3$ | $22.0 \pm 12.4$ |

[^10]Table B-8
Bottom fauna populations* in Ruisseau Grande Colline, 24 May to 26 June, 1977
Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec

|  | 24 May | 27 May | 2 June | 6 June | 10 June | 14 June | 19 June | 26 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae :Baetidae | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \pm 2.0 \\ &-\end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \pm 0.5 \\ & 0.2 \pm 0.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Plecoptera | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - |
| Megaloptera:Corydalidae | - | - | $0.8 \pm 1.5$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trichoptera | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Coleoptera:Elmidae :Dytiscidae | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | 0.5 $\pm 1.0$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| :Simuliidae | - | - | $1.0 \pm 2.0$ | $1.2 \pm 1.5$ | $4.8 \pm 2.2$ | $8.8 \pm 5.4$ | $24.0 \pm 14.7$ | $29.5 \pm 18.1$ |
| :Chironomidae <br> - Empididae | $5.5 \pm 3.1$ | $3.5 \pm 1.2$ | $21.5 \pm 37.1$ | $11.2 \pm 10.0$ | $14.8 \pm 10.0$ | $5.2 \pm 4.6$ $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $8.0 \pm 4.2$ | $29.2 \pm 32.9$ |
| Turbellaria | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - |
| Oligochaeta | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - |
| Gastropoda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Total | $6.2 \pm 3.3$ | $3.8 \pm 2.9$ | $25.0 \pm 37.5$ | $13.2 \pm 10.4$ | $20.5 \pm 12.3$ | $14.2 \pm 8.0$ | $32.8 \pm 16.1$ | $60.2 \pm 40.3$ |

[^11]Table B-9
Aquatic invertebrates* collected fram rocks taken from Ruisseau Grande Colline,
24 May to 26 June, 1977, Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec

|  | 24 May | 27 May | 23 une | 6 June | 10 June | 14 June | 19 June | 26 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ephemeroptera:Baetidae | - - | - | - | - | - | - | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | - |
| Trichoptera | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ |  |
| :Simuliidae | $3.2 \pm 6.5$ | $1.2 \pm 1.2$ | $7.0 \pm 5.4$ | $14.0 \pm 17.9$ | $2.5 \pm 2.1$ | $13.2 \pm 13.7$ | $15.0 \pm 16.3$ | $11.2 \pm 8.2$ |
| :Chironomidae <br> :Empididae | $8.5 \pm 17.0$ | $1.5 \pm 2.4$ | $8.5 \pm 7.3$ | $45.0 \pm 39.7$ | $24.2 \pm 40.0$ | $24.8 \pm 29.6$ | $81.0 \pm 141.8$ $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $\begin{aligned} 8.5 & \pm 2.1 \\ & =\end{aligned}$ |
| Turbellaria | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hydracarina | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | - |
| Total | $11.8 \pm 23.5$ | $3.0 \pm 3.5$ | $16.2 \pm 11.6$ | $59.2 \pm 57.1$ | $27.2 \pm 41.5$ | $38.0 \pm 34.1$ | $97.8 \pm 147.5$ | $19.8 \pm 7.0$ |

* Mean numbers and standard deviations of organisms collected from four rocks approximately 20 am in diameter.

Table B-10
Bottom fauna populations* in Ruisseau Revognah, 23 May to 26 June, 1977
Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec.

|  | 23 May | 27 May | 2 June | 6 June | 10 June | 14 June | 19 June | 26 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $1.5 \pm 1.9$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $1.5 \pm 2.4$ | $3.0 \pm 2.2$ | $3.0 \pm 1.2$ |
| :Baetidae | $2.8 \pm 1.7$ | $2.0 \pm 2.2$ | $2.5 \pm 2.1$ | $1.5 \pm 1.0$ | $2.0 \pm 0.8$ | $2.0 \pm 2.7$ | $2.2 \pm 1.0$ | $1.8 \pm 1.5$ |
| Plecoptera | $1.0 \pm 1.4$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - |
| Trichoptera | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $1.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | - | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :Simuliidae | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $1.2 \pm 1.0$ |
| :Chironomidae | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | $1.2 \pm 1.5$ | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $1.2 \pm 1.2$ | $2.2 \pm 1.5$ | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ |  |
| :Heleidae | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | - | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| : Rhagionidae | $7.0 \pm 6.0$ | $8.8 \pm 2.9$ | $4.2 \pm 2.2$ | $7.0 \pm 4.9$ | $6.5 \pm 2.4$ | $1.2 \pm 1.2$ | $6.2 \pm 3.6$ | $4.2 \pm 2.9$ |
| :Empididae | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | - |
| Turbellaria | $2.0 \pm 2.2$ | $1.2 \pm 1.5$ | $2.5 \pm 1.0$ | $1.5 \pm 1.3$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $1.0 \pm 0.8$ |
| Nematoda | - | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - |
| Oligochaeta | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Amphipoda | - | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Hydracarina | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Pelecypoda | - | - | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - |
| Total | $16.0 \pm 7.0$ | $16.5 \pm 3.8$ | $12.5 \pm 4.8$ | $12.8 \pm 6.9$ | $12.0 \pm 4.1$ | $8.2 \pm 5.0$ | $14.2 \pm 6.9$ | $12.8 \pm 6.8$ |

* Mean numbers and standard deviations of organisms collected in four $0.093 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ Surber samples.

Table B-11
Aquatic invertebrates* collected from rocks taken from Ruisseau Revognah, 23 May to 26 June, 1977
Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec

|  | 23 May | 27 May | 2 June | 6 June | 10 June | 14 June | 19 June | 26 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.5 \pm 0.6$ | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| :Baetidae | - | $1.2 \pm 1.2$ | $3.0 \pm 1.8$ | $3.5 \pm 0.6$ | $1.5 \pm 1.3$ | $2.0 \pm 1.6$ | $3.5 \pm 4.4$ | $1.5 \pm 1.3$ |
| Plecoptera | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | $1.5 \pm 3.0$ | $1.5 \pm 1.3$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | - |
| Trichoptera:larvae :pupae | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $\begin{aligned} 0.2 & \pm 0.5 \\ & =\end{aligned}$ | - | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | - | $1.0 \pm 0.8$ | 0.2 ${ }^{-} \pm 0.5$ |
| Diptera:Simuliidae | $0.8 \pm 0.5$ | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $2.0 \pm 1.6$ | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ |
| :Chironomidae | $22.2 \pm 2.8$ | $9.0 \pm 9.2$ | $14.5 \pm 8.6$ | $41.8 \pm 26.6$ | $9.2 \pm 5.1$ | $13.5 \pm 7.0$ | $31.0 \pm 32.6$ | $23.8 \pm 8.8$ |
| : Heleidae | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - |  | - |
| :Rhagionidae | - | - | - | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Turbellaria: | - | - | - | - | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | - | $1.5 \pm 1.9$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| Amphipoda: | - | - | - | - | $0.8 \pm 1.0$ | - | - | - |
| Hydracarina: | $0.5 \pm 1.0$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - | $1.5 \pm 0.6$ | $2.2 \pm 3.2$ | - | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | - |
| 'Total: | $24.0 \pm 3.7$ | $10.8 \pm 10.3$ | $18.8 \pm 7.0$ | $49.0 \pm 26.8$ | $17.8 \pm 7.4$ | $16.2 \pm 8.2$ | $39.8 \pm 37.6$ | $27.0 \pm 8.0$ |

[^12]62.

## APPENDIX ' C '

## Fish stomach contents

Table C-1
Fish sampled from Lac Ste-Anne, Block 305, Gaspé
May 7 to June 9, 1977


> Table C-2

Fish food items found in the stomachs of lake trout from Lac Ste-Anne Block 305, Gaspe, May 9 to June 9, 1977

|  | Percent occurence |  |  | Mean percent | contribut stomach | ion to total contents | Average | umber/St | mach |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prespray | Post 1 | Post 2 | Prespray | Post 1 | Post 2 | Prespray | Post 1 | Post 2 |
| Alderfly larvae (SIALIS sp.) | 20 | 15 | 33 | 0.2 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 12 | 33 | 11 |
| Amphipods | 80 | 54 | 48 | 40.8 | 37.3 | 9.1 | 10 | 40 | 90 |
| Blackfly larvae | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Caddisfly larvae | 20 | 69 | 26 | 0.5 | 20.2 | 21.0 | 2 | 5 | 50 |
| Chironomid larvae | 20 | 23 | 37 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 27.2 | 1 | 4 | 150 |
| Chironomid pupae | 0 | 31 | 48 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 17.1 | 0 | 8 | 115 |
| Dragonfly nymphs | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Fingernail clams | 0 | 31 | 11 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Fish | 40 | 23 | 18 | 48.2 | 22.3 | 12,3 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Leeches | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Mayfly nymphs (Baetidae) | 20 | 38 | 67 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 7.7 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| Stonefly nymphs | 20 | 8 | 4 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Empty stamachs | 20 | 0 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table C-3
Fish food items found in the stomachs of brook trout from Lac Ste-Anne, Block 305, Gaspe, May 7-31, 1977

|  | Percen | t Occure | nce | Mean percent co Volume of | contribut stomach | ion to total contents | Average N | umber/Sta | amach |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prespray | Post 1 | Post 2 | Prespray | Post 1 | Post 2 | Prespray | Post 1 | Post 2 |
| Alderfly larvae (SIALIS sp.) | 40 | 12 | 20 | 22.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 24 | 1 | 1 |
| Amphipods | 90 | 62 | 80 | 56.0 | 26.2 | 57.0 | 25 | 10 | 10 |
| Caddisfly larvae | 40 | 88 | 20 | 11.5 | 35.9 | 7.0 | 3 | 21 | 25 |
| Chironamid larvae | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Chironamid pupae | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Dragonfly nymphs | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Fingernail clams | 10 | 12 | 20 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Fish | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Mayfly nymphs (Baetidae) | 60 | 75 | 20 | 9.3 | 19.8 | 2.0 | 12 ${ }_{2}$ | 46 | 1 |
| Oligochaetes | 0 | 12 | 40 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 28.0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |

## Table C-4

Brook trout sampled for stamach content analysis fram Rivière Ste - Anne untreated control station 7 May to 28 June, 1977. Gaspを, Quebec.

|  | 7 May | 19 May | 18-20 June | 28 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Fish Sampled | 14 | 17 | 24 | 10 |
| Mean Total Length (mm) | 81.6 | 86.8 | 97.1 | 80.7 |
| Range | 61-130 | 56-208 | 64-155 | 62-125 |
| Mean Fork Length (mm) | 78.2 | 83.4 | 92.8 | 77.5 |
| Range | 58-126 | 54-202 | 60-148 | 59-120 |
| Mean Weight ( g ) | 5.40 | 7.91 | 12.0 | 7.05 |
| Range | 2.0-16.9 | 1.4-56.7 | 2.4-31.5 | 3.3-22.7 |
| Mean Volume Stamach Contents (mu) | 0.05 | 0.7 | 0.52 | 0.23 |
| Range | 0.0-0.1 | 0.0-0.3 | 0.0-2.2 | 0.1-0.5 |

Table C-5
Percent occurrence of various food items in brook trout stomachs, Rivière Ste-Anne untreated control station, 7 May to 28 June, 1977. Gaspé, Quebec.

|  | 7 May | 19 May | 18-20 June | 28 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptageniciae | 0 | 12 | 71 | 20 |
| :Baetidae | 0 | 0 | 83 | 60 |
| Plecoptera | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 |
| Trichoptera: larvae | 43 | 29 | 75 | 30 |
| :pupae | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coleoptera | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | 14 | 29 | 25 | 10 |
| :Blephariceridae | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| :Simuliidae | 7 | 0 | 21 | 20 |
| :Chironomidae | 21 | 0 | 17 | 10 |
| :Empididae | 14 | 6 | 0 | 20 |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |  |
| Oligochaeta | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Terrestrial Arthropods |  |  |  |  |
| Collembola | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hemiptera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Lepidoptera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| Hymenoptera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Coleoptera | 0 | 12 | 4 | 20 |
| Diptera | 29 | 0 | 8 | 60 |
| Arachnida | 7 | 0 | 4 | 20 |
| Empty Stomachs | 7 | 9 | 4 | 0 |

> Table C-6

Mean percentage of the volume of brook trout stomach contents contributed by various food items, Riviき̈re Ste-Anne, untreated control station, 7 May to 28 June, 1977. Gaspé, Quebec.

|  | 7 May | 19 May | 18-20 June | 28 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | 0.0 | 9.6 | 13.3 | 3.0 |
| :Baetidae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.1 | 22.0 |
| Plecoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 0.0 |
| Trichoptera:larvae | 25.4 | 35.0 | 32.4 | 13.0 |
| :pupae | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Coleoptera | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | 10.0 | 37.5 | 3.7 | 5.0 |
| :Blepariceridae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| :Simuliidae | 2.3 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| :Chironomidae | 11.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| :Empididae | 11.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |  |
| Oligochaeta | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| Terrestrial Arthropods |  |  |  |  |
| Collembola | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lepidoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.0 |
| Hymenoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| Coleoptera | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.2 | 2.0 |
| Diptera | 16.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 12.0 |
| Arachnida | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.0 |

Table C-7
Mean numbers of various food items in brook trout stamachs in which they oocurred, Rivière Ste-Anne, untreated control station, 7 May to 28 June, 1977. Gaspé, Quebec.

|  | 7 May | 19 May | 18-20 June | 28 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae :Baetidae | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Plecoptera | - | - | 2 | _ |
| Trichoptera:larvae <br> :pupae | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $2$ | - | 2 |
| Coleoptera | 1 | - | - | - |
| Diptera:Tipulidae <br> :Blephariceridae <br> :Simuliidae <br> :Chironomidae <br> : Empididae | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \hline 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 1 <br> - <br> - <br> - | 2 1 2 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \hline 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |  |
| Oligochaeta | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Terrestrial Arthropods |  |  |  |  |
| Collembola | 1 | - | - | - |
| Hemiptera | - | - | - | 2 |
| Lepidoptera | - | - | - | 11 |
| Hymenoptera | - | - | - | 2 |
| Coleoptera | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Diptera | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Arachnida | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |

Other Aquatic Invertebrates

Table C-8
Brook trout sampled for stomach content analysis from Rivière Ste-Anne, edge of block station, 15 May to 20 June, 1977. Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec

|  | 15-19 May | 21 May | 31 May-1 June | 5-9 June | 20 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Fish Sampled | 10 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 8 |
| Mean Total Iength (mm) | 103.8 | 101.3 | 120.3 | 117.4 | 116.4 |
| Range | 44-162 | 69-165 | 112-133 | 97-150 | 74-145 |
| Mean fork length (mm) | 99.4 | 97.0 | 111.7 | 111.6 | 111.6 |
| Range | 43-155 | 67-163 | 104-123 | 91-143 | 70-140 |
| Mean Weight (g) | 11.59 | 10.09 | 16.65 | 16.62 | 17.26 |
| Range | $0.6-29.7$ | 2.6-32.5 | 13.7-20.6 | 9.1-31.1 | 3.5-29.5 |
| Mean Volume Stomach Contents (ml) | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.11 |
| Range | 0.0-0.4 | 0.0-0.05 | 0.05-0.7 | 0.05-0.2 | 0.05-0.3 |

Table C-9
Percent occurrence of various food items in brook trout stomachs, Rivière Ste-Anne, edge of block station, 15 May to 20 June, 1977. Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec

|  | 15-19 May | 21 May | 31 May-1 June | 5-9 June | 20 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae <br> :Baetidae | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| Plecoptera | 30 | 30 | 67 | 40 | 62 |
| Trichoptera: larvae :pupae | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
| Coleoptera | 0 | 0 | 17 | 20 | 0 |
| Diptera:Tipulidae <br> :Simuliidae:larvae <br> :Chironomidae:larvae <br> :Heleidae <br> :Empididae | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 30 \\ 20 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 70 \\ 60 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 17 \\ & 17 \\ & 17 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 0 \\ 22 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 0 \\ 12 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terrestrial Arthropods |  |  |  |  |  |
| Homoptera | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lepidoptera | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 12 |
| Coleoptera | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 25 |
| Arachnida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Empty Stomachs | 20 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table C-10
Mean percentage of the volume of brook trout stamach contents contributed by various food items, Rivière Ste-Anne, edge of block station, 15 May to 20 June. Block 305, Gasps, Quebec.

|  | 15-19 M | 21 May | 31 May-1 June | 5-9 June | 20 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | 10.0 | 23.3 | 11.7 | 32.0 | 3.8 |
| : Baetidae | 33.8 | 2.2 | 26.7 | 6.0 | 15.0 |
| Plecoptera | 16.2 | 12.8 | 19.2 | 17.0 | 28.8 |
| Trichoptera:larvae | 10.0 | 13.9 | 16.7 | 18.0 | 41.2 |
| :pupae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Coleoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Dipetera:Tipulidae | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 5.0 | 3.1 |
| :Simuliidae:larvae | 5.0 | 38.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :Chironomidae: larvae | 15.0 | 8.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| :Heleidae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :Empididae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oligochaeta | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Terrestrial Arthopods |  |  |  |  |  |
| Homoptera | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lepidoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Coleoptera | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 6.9 |
| Arachnida | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |

Table C-11
Mean numbers of various food items in brook trout stamachs in which they occurred Rivière Ste-Anne, edge of block station, 15 May to 20 June, 1977. Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec.

|  | 15-19 May | 21 May | -31 May-1 June | 5-9 June | 20 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| :Baetidae | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Plecoptera | 4 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| Trichoptera: larvae | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| :pupae | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Coleoptera | - | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | 1 | - | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| :Simuliidae:larvae | 2 | 7 | 2 | - | - |
| :Chironomidae:larvae | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| :Heleidae | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| :Empididae | - | - | 1 | 3 | - |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oligochaeta | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Terrestrial Arthropods |  |  |  |  |  |
| Homoptera | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Lepidoptera | - | - | 2 | - | 1 |
| Coleoptera | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| Arachnida | - | - | - | 1 | - |

Table C-12
Brook trout sampled for stomacin content analysis from Ruisseau Lesseps, 10 May to 28 June, 1977
Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec

|  | 10 May | 20 May | 30 May | 31 May | 1 June | 20 June | 28 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Fish Sampled | 16 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 12 |
| Mean Total Iength (mm) | 62.4 | 64.4 | 68.2 | 76.2 | 64.0 | 84.3 | 58.9 |
| Range | 48.0-114.0 | 52.0-88.0 | 43.0-129.0 | 69.0-84.0 | 58.0-69.0 | 55.0-170.0 | 48.0-81.0 |
| Mean Fork Length (mm) | 59.9 | 60.6 | 65.4 | 72.6 | 60.0 | 80.6 | 56.8 |
| Range | 46.0-108.0 | 50.0-80.0 | 42.0-123.0 | 65.0-80.0 | 54.0-65.0 | 53.0-162.0 | 47.0-77.0 |
| Mean Weight (g) | 2.12 | 2.26 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 2.68 | 8.22 | 3.26 |
| Range | 0.5-10.0 | 1.0-5.0 | 1.3-19.1 | 3.1-5.4 | 1.9-4.4 | 1.3-41.1 | 1.6-7.1 |
| Mean Volume Stamach Contents (mi). | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.08 |
| Range | 0.0-0.1 | 0.05-0.15 | 0.05-2.6 | 0.05-0.3 | 0.05-0.1 | 0.05-1.3 | 0.05-0.2 |

Table C-13
Percent occurrence of various food items in brook trout stamachs from Ruisseau Lesseps, 10 May to 28 June, 1977. Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec

|  | 10 May | 20 May | 30 May | 31 May | 1 June | 20 June | 28 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | 12 | 0 | 62 | 100 | 71 |  | 0 |
| :Baetidae | 56 | 20 | 75 | 80 | 86 | 100 | 58 |
| Plecoptera | 44 | 60 | 75 | 0 | 86 | 58 | 50 |
| Trichoptera | 7 | 20 | 25 | 40 | 57 | 33 | 8 |
| Lepidoptera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 8 | 0 |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | 6 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 16 | 8 |
| :Simuliidae | 19 | 60 | 81 | 40 | 86 | 33 | 8 |
| :Chironamidae: larvae | 19 | 40 | 88 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 42 |
| :pupae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| :Empidae | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oligochaeta | 0 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown fish remains | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Terrestrial Arthropods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collembola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Plecoptera | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Homoptera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hymenoptera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 8 |
| Coleoptera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| Diptera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 25 |
| Arachnida | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 17 |

Table C-14
Mean percent of the volume of brook trout stamach contents contributed by various food items, Ruisseau Lesseps, 10 May to 28 June, 1977. Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec

|  | 10 May | 20 May | 30 May | 31 May | 1 June | 20 June | 28 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | 5.3 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 15.0 | 26.4 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| :Baetidae | 47.3 | 7.0 | 26.8 | 20.0 | 11.4 | 36.7 | 30.8 |
| Plecoptera | 24.0 | 30.0 | 26.8 | 32.0 | 22.1 | 12.8 | 27.9 |
| Trichoptera | 6.7 | 20.0 | 1.8 | 10.0 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 0.8 |
| Iepidoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| :Simuliidae | 11.3 | 26.0 | 10.4 | 8.0 | 13.6 | 2.6 | 1.7 |
| :Chironamidae: larvae | 4.0 | 3.0 | 18.1 | 9.0 | 17.8 | 24.7 | 12.9 |
| :pupae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| :Empididae | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oligochaeta | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown fish remains | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Terrestrial Arthropods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collembola | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Plecoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Hamoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hymenoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Coleoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| Diptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 16.7 |
| Arachnida | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.0 |

Table C-15
Mean numbers of various food items in brook trout stomachs in which they occurred, Ruisseau Lesseps, 10 May to 28 June, 1977. Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec.

|  | 10 May | 20 May | 30 May | 31. May | 1 June | 20 June | 28 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | 2 | - | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 | - |
| :Baetidae | 2 | 4 | 19 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Plecoptera | 2 | 1 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 3 |
| Trichoptera | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Lepidoptera | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | - |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| :Simuliidae | 3 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| :Chironomidae:larvae | 2 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 11 | 22 | 7 |
| :pupae | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| :Empididae | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oligochaeta | - | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown fish remains | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Terrestrial Arthropods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collembola | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Plecoptera | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Homoptera | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Hymenoptera | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Coleoptera | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| Diptera | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 4 |
| Arachnida | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |

Table C-16
Brook trout sampled for stomach content analysis fram Rivière Bonaventure Ouest, 10 May to 25 June, 1977. Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec

|  | 10-11 May | 20-21 May | 28 June |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Fish Sampled | 12 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Mean Total Length (mm) | 50.3 | 123.4 | 98.0 |  |
| Range | 36-85 | 48-165 | 52-141 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$ |
| Mean Fork Length (rm) | 48.3 | 118.8 | 94.9 |  |
| Range | 35-81 | 46-158 | 50-135 |  |
| Mean Weight (g) | 1.2 | 17.03 | 13.42 |  |
| Range | 0.3-4.5 | 1.0-33.3 | $3.0-29.1$ |  |
| Mean Volume Stomach Contents (ml) | 0.5 | 0.16 | 0.90 |  |
| Range | 0.05-0.1 | 0.0-0.4 | 0.05-2.8 |  |

## Table C-17

Percent oocurrence of various food items in brook trout stamachs from Rivière Bonaventure Ouest, 10 May to 28 June, 1977. Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec.

|  | 10-11 May | 20-21 May | 28 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae :Baetidae | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ |
| Plecoptera | 50 | 43 | 80 |
| Trichoptera:larvae :pupae | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| Diptera:Tipulidae <br> :Simuliidae <br> :Chironomidae:larvae :pupae <br> :Heleidae | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 8 \\ 58 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 | 10 30 90 20 10 |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  | 10 |
| Terrestrial Arthropods |  |  |  |
| Collembola | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| Plecoptera | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| Lepidoptera | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Hymenoptera | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| Coleoptera | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| Diptera | 0 | 28 | 60 |
| Arachnida | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Empty Stomachs | 0 | 14 | 0 |

Table C-18
Mean percentage of the volume of brook trout stanach contents contributed by various food items, Riviëre Bonaventure Ouest, 10 May to 28 June, 1977. Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec

|  | 10-11 May | 20-21 May | 28 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |
| EphemeropterasHeptagenidae | 3.3 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| :Baetidae | 30.0 | 6.7 | 18.4 |
| Plecoptera | 33.3 | 21.7 | 15.4 |
| Trichoptera: larvae | 5.8 | 41.7 | 5.1 |
| :pupae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| :Simuliidae | 8.3 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| :Chironomidae: larvae | 17.5 | 0.0 | 10.5 |
| :pupae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| :Heleidae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |
| Hydracarina | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Terrestrial Arthropods |  |  |  |
| Collembola | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Plecoptera | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| Lepidoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| Hymenoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.0 |
| Coleoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| Diptera | 0.0 | 28.3 | 5.2 |
| Arachnida | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |

## Table C-19

Mean numbers of various food items in brook trout stamachs in which they occurred Rivière Bonaventure Ouest, 10 May to 28 June, 1977. Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec

|  | 10-11 May | 20-21 May | 28 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | 1 | - | 9 |
| :Baetidae | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Plecoptera | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Trichoptera: larvae | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| :pupae | - | - | 1 |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | - | - | 1 |
| :Simuliidae | 1 | - | 2 |
| :Chironomidae:larvae | 3 | - | 6 |
| :pupae | - | - | 3 |
| :Heleidae | - | - | 1 |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |
| Hydracarina | - | - | 3 |
| Terrestrial Arthropods |  |  |  |
| Collembola | 2 | - | - |
| Plecoptera | - | 1 | - |
| Lepidoptera | - | - | 5 |
| Hymenoptera | - | - | 6 |
| Coleoptera | - | - | 3 |
| Diptera | - | 7 | 3 |
| Arachnida | - | - | 1 |

Table C-20
Brook trout sampled for stomach content analysis fram Petite rivière Cascapedia Ouest, 15 May to 20 June, 1977 Block 305, Gaspé, Quebec

|  | 15 May | 17 May | 20 May | 21 May | 22 May | 23 May | 25-26 May | 30-31 May | 5-9 June | 20 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. Of fish sampled | 5 | 12 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 4 |
| Mean Total Length (mm) | 122.4 | 59.4 | 98.7 | 96.7 | 94.7 | 81.2 | 102.8 | 94.0 | 93.3 | 64.2 |
| Range | 97-145 | 41-110 | $64-160$ | 50-160 | 62-150 | 45-112 | 73-139 | 68-132 | 50-120 | $51-83$ |
| Mean fork length (mm) | 117.4 | 56.8 | 94.7 | 93.2 | 91.2 | 77.2 | 98.5 | 90.2 | 89.3 | 60.5 |
| Range | 93-140 | 38-107 | 61-156 | 49-153 | 60-146 | 43-105 | 70-133 | 65-127 | 49-114 | 49-76 |
| Mean Weight (g) | 12.75 | 2.46 | 9.92 | 10.03 | 8.75 | 5.52 | 10.42 | 7.84 | 7.40 | 2.32 |
| Range | 7.6-18.1 | 0.4-12.0 | 1.9-30.0 | 1.1-30.0 | $1.7-26.1$ | 1.1-10.1 | 2.4-21.0 | $3.1-17.2$ | 1.1-11.0 | 0.8-4.6 |
| Mean Volume Stamach Contents (mi) | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| Range | 0.05-0.2 | 0.0-0.1 | 0.0-0.3 | 0.0-0.1 | 0.0-0.05 |  | 0.0-0.2 | 0.0-0.7 | 0.0-0.2 | 0.05-0.1 |

Table C-21
Percent occurrence of various food items in brook trout stamachs, Petite rivière Cascapedia Ouest, 15 May to 20 June, 1977 Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec
15 May 17 May 20 May 21 May 22 May 23 May $25-26$ May $30-31$ May 5-9 June 20 June


Table C-22
Mean percentage of the volume of brook trout stamach contents contributed by various food items, Petite rivière Cascapedia Ouest, 15 May to 20 June, 1977. Block 305, Gasp§, Quebec.

|  | 15 May | 17 May | 20 May | 21 May | 22 May | 23 May | 25-26 May | 30-31 May | 5-9 June | 20 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | 21.0 | 22.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :Baetidae | 54.0 | 24.4 | 28.6 | 12.2 | 6.2 | 10.0 | 33.3 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 55.0 |
| Plecoptera | 6.0 | 15.6 | 50.7 | 19.4 | 0 | 55.0 | 30.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Trichoptera:larvae | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Coleoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 50.0 | 7.5 |
| :Simuliidae:larvae | 8.0 | 7.2 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 16.6 | 22.5 | 3.3 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :Chironomidae: larvae | 3.0 | 22.8 | 14.6 | 1.1 | 16.6 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 10.0 |
| :Heleidae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| :Empididae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oligochaeta | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hydracarina | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Terrestrial Arthopods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Plecoptera | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Homoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 6.2 |
| Lepidoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Coleoptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 12.8 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 6.2 |
| Diptera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 |
| Diplopoda | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Arachnida | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table C-23
Mean numbers of various food items in brook trout stomachs in which they occurred, Petit riviêre Cascapedia Ouest, 15 May to 20 June, 1977. Block 305, Gaspe, Quebec.

|  | 15 May | 17 May | 20 May | 21 May | 22 May | 23 May | 25-26 May | 30-31 May | 5-9 June | 20 June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Insects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera:Heptagenidae | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | $\overline{-}$ |
| :Baetidae | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 5 |
| Plecoptera | 1 | 2 | 15 | 2 | - | 4 | 2 | 3 | - | - |
| Trichoptera:larvae | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | - | - |
| Coleoptera | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Diptera:Tipulidae | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :Simuliidae: larvae | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| :Chironomidae:larvae | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 2 | - | 1 |
| :Heleidae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| :Empididae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Other Aquatic Invertebrates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oligochaeta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| Hydracarina | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Terrestrial Arthropods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Plecoptera | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Homoptera | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Trichoptera | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Lepidoptera | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Coleoptera | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Diptera | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Diplopoda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Arachnida | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |


[^0]:    * $12 \ell$ samples taken with a Schindler-Patalas plankton trap.

[^1]:    * in centimetres

[^2]:    * 15 minute net sets

[^3]:    * 15 minute net sets

[^4]:    * 15 minute net sets

[^5]:    * 15 minute net sets

[^6]:    - Men vrnbers ant standevi daviactons of organisms collected in four $0.093 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ Surber samples.

[^7]:    * Mean numbers and standard deviations of organisms collected in four $0.093 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ Surber samples.

[^8]:    * Mean numbers and standard deviations of organisms collected fram four rocks approximately 20 cm in diameter.

[^9]:    * Méan numbers and standard deviations of organisms collected in four $0.093 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ Surber samples.

[^10]:    * Mean numbers and standard deviations of organisms collected from four rocks approximately 20 cm in diameter.

[^11]:    * Mean numbers and standard deviations of organisms collected in four $0.093 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ Surber samples.

[^12]:    * Mean numbers and standard deviations of organisms collected from four rocks approximately 20 cm in daimeter.

